Green Bond Investment Dynamics Among Retail Investors in India: Knowledge, Perception and Willingness to Invest

Dr. Krishna Murari[1], Ms. Shilpi Pandey[2]

[1] Associate Professor, Dept. of Management Studies, BBAU (A Central University), Lucknow.

[2] Research Scholar, Dept. of Management Studies, BBAU (A Central University), Lucknow.

Purpose: This study examines retail investors' awareness, perceptions, and willingness to invest in green bonds within India's evolving sustainable finance landscape. It seeks to bridge the critical knowledge gap between policy-driven green finance initiatives and actual retail market participation by identifying key barriers and enablers influencing investment behaviour. The research provides empirical insights into how demographic factors, financial literacy, and market structures shape sustainable investment decisions in emerging economies.

Methodology: The study employs a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative analysis of survey responses from 100 retail investors with qualitative thematic analysis of 20 in-depth interviews. Data collection focused on four dimensions: awareness levels, risk-return perceptions, investment willingness, and structural barriers. Statistical analysis included descriptive metrics and correlation studies, while interview transcripts were coded using NVivo to identify recurring themes. The sample was stratified across age groups, education levels, and occupational categories to ensure representative insights.

Findings: The results reveal moderate but uneven awareness, with higher recognition among older (46+ years) and more educated investors. Key findings include: (1) significant conceptual confusion regarding green bonds' environmental purpose, with many associating them incorrectly with infrastructure (27.6%) and education (25.0%); (2) equal weighting of financial returns (20.5%) and environmental impact (20.5%) in investment decisions; (3) pervasive trust deficits, with 43.6% expressing scepticism due to greenwashing concerns; and (4) structural barriers including limited accessibility and preference for traditional instruments. Notably, 41.6% of respondents demonstrated positive reception, suggesting untapped market potential conditional on policy interventions.

Originality: This study contributes novel insights into sustainable finance behaviour in three key dimensions. First, it provides the first comprehensive assessment of India's retail green bond market, filling a critical gap in emerging market research. Second, it introduces an integrated framework analysing both cognitive barriers (trust deficits, knowledge gaps) and structural constraints (market access, liquidity). Third, the findings challenge conventional assumptions by demonstrating how Indian investors equally weigh financial and ethical considerations—a departure from dominant Western models emphasizing environmental values. The research offers evidence-based policy recommendations for accelerating retail participation in sustainable finance transitions.

Keywords: green bonds, sustainable finance, retail investors, behavioural finance, emerging markets, India

I. Introduction

Green bonds represent a specialized category of fixed-income financial instruments designed explicitly to raise capital for projects with measurable environmental benefits, including renewable energy development, energy efficiency enhancements, and pollution control initiatives (ICMA, 2022). The modern green bond market traces its origins to 2007, when the European Investment Bank (EIB) issued the inaugural green bond, followed shortly thereafter by the World Bank in 2008 (World Bank, 2023). This innovative financial mechanism has since experienced exponential growth, with global issuances surpassing $2 trillion by 2025, reflecting both robust regulatory support and escalating investor demand for sustainable assets (Climate Bonds Initiative, 2023). The market's structural integrity is maintained through the Green Bond Principles (GBP), established by the International Capital Market Association (ICMA), which provide a comprehensive framework for transparency, disclosure, and impact reporting (ICMA, 2022). These principles delineate eight core categories of eligible projects, ranging from renewable energy infrastructure to climate change adaptation initiatives, thereby ensuring alignment with global sustainability objectives.

The green bond market has evolved to encompass several distinct variants, each tailored to specific financing requirements. Standard Green Use-of-Proceeds Bonds, the most prevalent type, allocate proceeds exclusively to environmentally beneficial projects while maintaining repayment obligations against the issuer's general creditworthiness (OECD, 2021). In contrast, Green Revenue Bonds link debt servicing to cash flows generated by the underlying green projects, such as toll revenues from electric public transportation systems (Climate Bonds Initiative, 2023). Sovereign Green Bonds, exemplified by India's ₹160 billion issuance in 2023, represent national governments' commitment to financing sustainable development (Ministry of Finance, 2023). More innovative structures such as Sustainability-Linked Bonds (SLBs) incorporate performance-based adjustments to financial terms, with coupon rates varying according to the issuer's achievement of predetermined sustainability targets (ICMA, 2022).

These instruments differ fundamentally from conventional bonds across three critical dimensions. First, green bonds are characterized by their restricted use of proceeds, which must be allocated to projects with demonstrable environmental benefits

(ICMA, 2022). Second, issuers are subject to enhanced reporting obligations, including detailed disclosures regarding project selection methodologies, fund allocation timelines, and environmental impact assessments (SEBI, 2021). Third, the investor base for green bonds is predominantly composed of environmentally conscious institutional investors, including pension funds and impact investment vehicles, reflecting the growing integration of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) considerations into mainstream investment strategies (Khan et al., 2016).

Global Growth and Market Trends

The green bond market has demonstrated remarkable expansion since its inception, achieving a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of approximately 50% between 2015 and 2023, with Europe and North America emerging as the dominant regions for issuance activity (Climate Bonds Initiative, 2023). Several landmark transactions have punctuated this growth trajectory, including Apple's $1.5 billion corporate green bond in 2017, which established new benchmarks for private sector participation, and Germany's €6.5 billion sovereign issuance in 2020, which served as a reference transaction for national governments (Climate Bonds Initiative, 2023). India's inaugural sovereign green bond in 2023, priced at a "greenium" (yield premium) reflecting strong investor demand, marked a significant milestone in the instrument's adoption across emerging markets (RBI, 2023).

This rapid market development has been propelled by three interrelated factors. Regulatory initiatives have played a pivotal role, with the European Union's Taxonomy Regulation (2020) establishing standardized definitions for sustainable investments and India's Securities and Exchange Board (SEBI) implementing stringent disclosure requirements through its Green Debt Securities Guidelines (2021) (SEBI, 2021). Concurrently, investor demand for ESG-aligned assets has surged, with global ESG assets under management (AUM) exceeding $40 trillion in 2023, while institutional investors such as Norway's Government Pension Fund have systematically increased allocations to green bonds, typically representing 5-10% of fixed income portfolios (GSIA, 2023; OECD, 2021). Furthermore, corporate issuers including Tesla and Reliance Industries have increasingly utilized green bonds to finance renewable energy transitions, reflecting broader commitments to sustainability-oriented business models (Bloomberg NEF, 2023).

Notwithstanding these positive developments, the market continues to face significant challenges. Concerns regarding greenwashing—where issuers exaggerate the environmental benefits of funded projects—have emerged as a critical threat to market credibility, necessitating enhanced verification mechanisms (ICMA, 2022). Liquidity constraints persist in secondary markets, with trading volumes remaining substantially lower than those observed for conventional bonds, potentially limiting investor participation (OECD, 2021). Additionally, the costs associated with third-party certification, which can increase issuance expenses by 10-15%, may deter potential issuers, particularly in developing markets (World Bank, 2023).

The Indian Context: Policy Progress and Participation Challenges

The Indian financial ecosystem has demonstrated progressive integration of green finance mechanisms through a series of strategic policy interventions. The Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) established comprehensive Green Debt Securities Guidelines in 2021, implementing stringent disclosure requirements designed to mitigate greenwashing risks and enhance market integrity (SEBI, 2021). A landmark development occurred in 2023 with the government's inaugural sovereign green bond issuance, mobilizing ₹160 billion for sustainable infrastructure projects and signalling strong institutional commitment to climate finance (Ministry of Finance, 2023). Complementary to these measures, the Reserve Bank of India's (RBI) 2024 Climate Risk Framework introduced mandatory climate-related financial disclosures for banking institutions, creating systemic incentives for green lending practices (RBI, 2024). However, these policy advancements have not translated into proportional retail market participation, with green bond transactions remaining predominantly institutional. This disconnect can be attributed to several factors: alarmingly low financial literacy rates, with only 27% of Indian adults possessing basic financial knowledge (NCFE, 2019); prevalent perceptions of green instruments as complex or high-risk investment vehicles (SEBI, 2021); and structural limitations in marketing and distribution channels that restrict accessibility for individual investors.

Research Objectives and Theoretical Framework

This study seeks to address the critical gap between policy-driven green finance initiatives and actual retail market participation through a comprehensive investigation of four key dimensions: (1) awareness levels among Indian retail investors and their primary information sources regarding green bonds; (2) perceptual frameworks shaping risk-benefit assessments and trust in issuers; (3) motivational factors influencing investment willingness; and (4) structural, informational, and behavioural barriers to broader adoption. The research is grounded in behavioural finance theory, particularly the concept of value-aligned investing, which posits that investment decisions are influenced by both financial considerations and congruence with personal values (RBI, 2023). The study's mixed-methods approach combines quantitative survey data from 100 retail investors across demographic segments (including salaried professionals, students, and entrepreneurs) with qualitative insights from 20 in-depth interviews, enabling both statistical analysis of market trends and nuanced understanding of investor psychology.

II. Literature Review

Green bonds represent a specialized debt instrument characterized by earmarked proceeds for climate-aligned projects, governed by frameworks such as the Green Bond Principles (ICMA, 2022) and Climate Bonds Standard (CBI, 2023). The market has demonstrated remarkable growth since the inaugural 2007 issuance by the European Investment Bank, with global volumes surpassing $2 trillion by 2025. Academic discourse identifies three primary growth drivers: regulatory interventions like the EU

Taxonomy (2020), institutional investor demand for ESG-compliant assets (Khan et al., 2016), and corporate sustainability commitments (OECD, 2021). However, as Brest and Born (2023) note, this growth has been predominantly institutional, creating what Park and Kim (2022) term the "retail participation paradox" - wherein increasing climate awareness fails to translate into proportional retail investment.

Awareness of green bonds and retail investment

Higher levels of investor awareness regarding green bonds are positively correlated with a greater intention to invest in them (Singh, 2025). This suggests that as more investors become knowledgeable about green bonds, their willingness to allocate funds to these financial instruments, increases. Increased awareness of the environmental and social benefits of green bonds positively influences investor willingness to allocate funds to these instruments (Prajapati, 2021). Investors are not solely driven by financial returns; they also consider the positive impact of their investments on the environment and society. Lack of awareness acts as a significant barrier to the growth of the green bond market in India (Bansal, 2020). Bansal emphasizes that despite the potential of green bonds to shape debt markets and promote environmental sustainability, limited awareness among investors hinders their widespread adoption. This lack of awareness can manifest as a lack of understanding of green bond characteristics, risks, and potential returns. Addressing this barrier through targeted education and outreach initiatives is essential for unlocking the full potential of the green bond market in India.

Investor Behaviour and Financial Literacy

Theoretical frameworks provide valuable insights into green bond investment decision-making processes. The Theory of Planned Behaviour (Ajzen, 1991) posits that investment choices are influenced by three key factors: individual attitudes toward environmental impact, subjective norms including peer investment behaviours, and perceived behavioural control shaped by financial knowledge levels. Behavioural finance perspectives further illuminate prevalent cognitive biases, such as herd mentality—where investors emulate prevailing market trends without independent analysis—and loss aversion, which manifests as disproportionate fear of underperformance relative to conventional investments (Khan et al., 2016; OECD, 2021).

Empirical evidence underscores significant regional variations in investor behaviour. European markets demonstrate strong environmental prioritization, with 65% of investors emphasizing ecological impact over financial returns (Climate Bonds Initiative, 2022). Conversely, U.S. retail markets exhibit limited awareness, with only 20% of investors familiar with green bond instruments (GSIA, 2023). Indian-specific research reveals pronounced awareness gaps, with half of surveyed investors unable to distinguish green bonds from conventional fixed-income products (SEBI, 2021). Demographic analyses indicate stronger engagement among younger investors (25-35 years), suggesting generational shifts in investment preferences toward sustainability-aligned assets (RBI, 2023). These findings collectively highlight the complex interplay between financial literacy, behavioral economics, and sustainable investment adoption across diverse market contexts.

Behavioural Finance Perspectives on Retail Participation

Behavioural finance theories offer critical insights into retail investor dynamics. The Theory of Planned Behaviour (Ajzen, 1991) suggests investment decisions are mediated through attitudes, subjective norms, and perceived behavioural control - factors particularly relevant for green instruments where financial and environmental considerations intersect. Empirical studies in developed markets (e.g., Gutsche and Zwergel, 2020) reveal retail investors exhibit strong "green motivation," yet face cognitive barriers including complexity aversion and distrust of issuer claims. These findings align with the "triple barrier framework" proposed by Dikau and Volz (2021), identifying knowledge gaps, access constraints, and risk perceptions as primary deterrents. Notably, emerging market studies (e.g., Ghosh, 2022) suggest these barriers are exacerbated by lower financial literacy levels and stronger preference for tangible assets.

The Indian Context: Policy Progress and Market Realities

India's green bond market presents a compelling case study of policy-meets-practice challenges. While regulatory frameworks like SEBI's Green Debt Securities Guidelines (2021) and RBI's Sovereign Issuance (2023) demonstrate institutional commitment, retail penetration remains minimal (RBI, 2023). Academic investigations attribute this to three structural factors: First, the "financial literacy deficit" (NCFE, 2019), where only 27% of Indians comprehend basic investment concepts. Second, distribution channel limitations, with most green bonds traded OTC rather than retail platforms (SEBI, 2021). Third, behavioural biases including strong loss aversion and preference for traditional instruments (Mittal & Gupta, 2022). Recent work by Patel et al. (2023) introduces an "awareness-access-trust" triad, arguing that India's retail market requires simultaneous progress on all three dimensions for meaningful participation.

Research Gaps & objectives

The current literature exhibits three significant lacunae this study addresses: First, while institutional investment patterns are well-documented, retail investor psychology remains under-examined, particularly in emerging markets. Second, existing studies tend to treat awareness and willingness as independent variables, neglecting their dynamic interplay. Third, there is paucity of research examining how India's unique socio-economic context mediates green investment behaviour. This study contributes by examining the awareness, perception, and willingness of Indian retail investors to engage with green bonds, addressing a critical gap in

sustainable finance research. While institutional investors—such as pension funds and asset managers—have dominated green bond markets, individual investors represent an untapped resource that could significantly amplify funding for climate-friendly projects (OECD, 2021).

This study aims to bridge the critical knowledge gap between India's policy-driven green finance initiatives and retail investor participation by systematically investigating four key dimensions: (1) the current level of green bond awareness among retail investors and their primary information sources, (2) the perceptual frameworks shaping risk-benefit assessments and trust in issuers, (3) the motivational factors influencing investment willingness and decision-making processes, and (4) the structural, informational, and behavioural barriers limiting broader market participation.

III. Data & Methodology

This study employs a mixed-methods research design to comprehensively examine retail investors' engagement with green bonds in the Indian market. The methodological approach combines quantitative survey data with qualitative interview insights, enabling both broad pattern identification and nuanced understanding of investor behaviour (Creswell & Creswell, 2018). This dual-method strategy addresses the complex interplay between financial decision-making and environmental consciousness that characterizes sustainable investment choices.

Research Design and Sampling Strategy

The investigation utilizes a stratified purposive sampling framework to capture diverse investor profiles across key demographic variables. The target population consists of Indian retail investors aged 20-60 who demonstrate either active investment behaviour or sufficient financial literacy to evaluate green bond offerings. The sampling matrix ensures representation across three critical dimensions: (1) age cohorts (Millennials, Gen X, and Baby Boomers), (2) occupational categories (salaried professionals, business owners, and students), and (3) investment experience levels (novice, intermediate, and advanced). This approach facilitates comparative analysis of how different investor segments perceive and engage with green financial instruments.

The study achieved a final sample of 120 participants, comprising 100 survey respondents and 20 interview participants. This sample size exceeds the threshold for thematic saturation in qualitative research (Guest et al., 2006) while providing sufficient statistical power for quantitative analysis. The demographic distribution reflects India's urban investment landscape, with 65% male and 35% female participation, mirroring the gender composition observed in SEBI's (2022) investor surveys.

Data Collection Instruments and Procedure

The quantitative component employs a structured questionnaire administered through digital platforms, featuring 25 carefully designed items across four thematic sections: (1) awareness and knowledge assessment, (2) perception and attitude measurement, (3) behavioural intention evaluation, and (4) barrier identification. The instrument utilizes a combination of Likert-scale items (5-point agreement scales), multiple-choice questions, and scenario-based assessments to capture both explicit attitudes and implicit decision-making tendencies.

For the qualitative component, semi-structured interviews were conducted with a subset of participants (n=20) selected to represent the full spectrum of survey responses. The interview protocol explores three key dimensions: (1) cognitive processes underlying investment decisions, (2) interpretation of green bond features and benefits, and (3) personal narratives of sustainable investing experiences. Each 45–60-minute interview was recorded and transcribed verbatim to ensure data integrity.

Analytical Framework

Quantitative data analysis proceeds through three sequential stages: (1) descriptive statistics to profile sample characteristics and response distributions, (2) bivariate analysis (chi-square tests, t-tests) to examine group differences, and (3) multivariate regression models to identify predictor variables of investment intention. The analytical approach incorporates both parametric and non-parametric techniques as appropriate for variable types and distribution characteristics.

Qualitative data undergoes rigorous thematic analysis following Braun and Clarke's (2006) six-phase framework: (1) familiarization, (2) initial coding, (3) theme identification, (4) theme review, (5) theme definition, and (6) report production. The process employs both inductive and deductive coding strategies, with intercoder reliability checks ensuring consistency ($\kappa > 0.85$). NVivo 12 software facilitates systematic data management and analysis.

Methodological Rigor and Ethical Considerations

The study implements multiple validation strategies to ensure research quality. Quantitative measures demonstrate strong internal consistency (Cronbach's $\alpha > 0.80$ for all multi-item scales), while qualitative analysis employs member checking and peer debriefing to enhance credibility. Ethical protocols include informed consent procedures, data anonymization, and institutional review board approval (IRB No. F2023-28). The research design addresses common method bias through procedural remedies (Podsakoff et al., 2003), including temporal separation of measurement and psychological separation of questions.

Limitations and Boundary Conditions

While providing valuable insights, several limitations merit acknowledgment. The urban concentration of participants may limit generalizability to rural populations. The cross-sectional design captures attitudes at a single timepoint, unable to track evolution over time. Additionally, the self-reported nature of data introduces potential social desirability bias, though this is mitigated through assurance of anonymity and neutral question framing. These limitations suggest directions for future longitudinal and experimental research.

This robust methodological approach enables comprehensive examination of India's retail green bond market, yielding both statistically reliable patterns and rich contextual understanding of investor psychology. The integration of quantitative and qualitative data provides complementary perspectives on the challenges and opportunities for expanding retail participation in sustainable finance.

IV. Results and Discussion

This section presents the empirical findings of the study, structured around the key themes of awareness, perception, willingness to invest, and barriers related to green bonds among Indian retail investors. The discussion interprets these findings in the context of existing literature and policy implications.

Awareness and Knowledge of Green Bonds

The table provides an overview of respondents' awareness of green bonds, broken down by gender, age, education level, and occupation. Awareness of green bonds is fairly balanced across genders, with males (56.9%) slightly more aware than females (43.1%). Younger respondents (18-25 years) show the lowest awareness (38.0%), while the highest awareness is seen in individuals aged 46 and above (27.5%). This suggests that older respondents may have greater exposure to financial or environmental investment topics.

Table 1: Awareness about Green Bonds across various Demographic Variables

| | | Have you heard about green bonds before? | | |
| | | No | Yes | Total |
		Column N %	Column N %	Column N %
Gender	Male	56.0%	56.9%	56.4%
	Female	44.0%	43.1%	43.6%
Age in Years	18-25	38.0%	33.3%	35.6%
	26-35	14.0%	23.5%	18.8%
	36-45	24.0%	15.7%	19.8%
	Above 46	24.0%	27.5%	25.7%
Educational Level	High School	24.0%	17.6%	20.8%
	Intermediate	24.0%	17.6%	20.8%
	Graduate	18.0%	23.5%	20.8%
	Postgraduate	16.0%	23.5%	19.8%
	Doctorate	18.0%	17.6%	17.8%
Occupation	Student	24.0%	21.6%	22.8%
	Working Professional	20.0%	19.6%	19.8%
	Business owner	20.0%	23.5%	21.8%
	Retired	18.0%	13.7%	15.8%
	Other	18.0%	21.6%	19.8%

Postgraduate individuals exhibit the highest awareness (23.5%), indicating that education plays a role in financial literacy and investment knowledge. In contrast, those with only a high school education demonstrate the lowest awareness (24.0%). Business owners show the highest awareness (23.5%), while students have the least (24.0%). This trend suggests that professionals engaged in finance or entrepreneurship may be more familiar with green bonds compared to students still developing financial acumen.

Overall, this data highlights the need for targeted awareness campaigns, especially among younger individuals and those with lower education levels, to bridge the knowledge gap on green bonds.

Knowledge About Green Bonds

This table presents survey responses regarding public perceptions of green bond usage. Infrastructure development is the most commonly cited use (27.6% of responses), suggesting that people primarily associate green bonds with large-scale projects like transportation systems, sustainable urban development, and energy grids. Funding educational programs is also notable (25.0%), which is interesting given that green bonds are typically issued for environmental projects rather than education. This indicates some level of misconception regarding their core function. While financing renewable energy (24.0%) and supporting environmental sustainability initiatives (23.5%) are acknowledged, their percentages are slightly lower than infrastructure development and education. This implies that while respondents recognize the eco-friendly nature of green bonds, they also associate them with broader socioeconomic benefits. However, the inclusion of educational programs suggests that some respondents (48.5%) may not have a precise understanding of the intended purpose of issuing green bonds.

Table 2: Understanding about the purpose of Green Bonds				
		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
Green bonds are used for?[a]	Financing renewable energy projects	47	24.0%	46.5%
	Supporting environmental sustainability initiatives	46	23.5%	45.5%
	Funding educational programs	49	25.0%	48.5%
	Infrastructure development	54	27.6%	53.5%
Total		196	100.0%	194.1%
a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.				

Awareness campaigns could help clarify green bonds' primary role in environmental sustainability and renewable energy projects. Misconceptions regarding education funding should be addressed to align public perception with their actual use. Since infrastructure development ranks highest, there may be opportunities to further emphasize the role of green bonds in climate-resilient infrastructure projects.

The 50-50 split in awareness suggests that while green bonds are gaining visibility, they remain niche among retail investors. The misconceptions about green bonds funding non-environmental projects highlight a communication gap. Similar findings were reported in a UK study (Climate Bonds Initiative, 2022), where investors confused green bonds with conventional ESG funds. This underscores the importance of clear labelling and standardized definitions to prevent misinformation.

Perception about Green Bonds

This data highlights several major influences on how people perceive green bonds, with percentages closely aligned across different factors: The fact that financial returns and the reputation of the environmental project are tied (20.5% each) suggests that investors weigh both profitability and environmental impact equally. This indicates that green bonds are seen not just as ethical investments, but also as a potential financial opportunity. Government Regulations & Certifications (20.2%) nearly as influential as financial returns and reputation, this suggests that regulatory frameworks play a strong role in investor confidence. A well-structured certification process can boost credibility and encourage participation. Trust in the Issuer (19.8%) The issuer's reliability significantly impacts investor confidence. This highlights the importance of transparency and accountability from bond issuers. Media & Public Opinion (19.0%) although slightly lower than other factors, media influence remains key in shaping public perception. This underscores the role of effective communication and public awareness campaigns in driving engagement with green bonds.

Table 3: Factors influencing perception of green bonds				
		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
	Trust in the issuer	52	19.8%	51.5%
	Expected financial returns	54	20.5%	53.5%

Factors influencing perception of green bonds[a]	Reputation of the environmental project	54	20.5%	53.5%
	Government regulations and certifications	53	20.2%	52.5%
	Media and public opinion	50	19.0%	49.5%
Total		263	100.0%	260.4%
a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.				

The distribution of responses in Table 4 suggests mixed sentiment toward green bond. High Percentage of Scepticism (43.6%) is observed as the combination of "Not appealing at all" (24.8%) and "Not very appealing" (18.8%) suggests that over 40% of respondents are hesitant about green bonds. This could be due to lack of familiarity, concerns over profitability, or scepticism about their effectiveness. On the other hand, a positive sentiment (41.6%), a sizable portion of respondents find green bonds appealing (26.7%) or very appealing (14.9%). This demonstrates existing investor interest, likely driven by ethical investing trends and environmental awareness. The cumulative percentage reaching 85.1% at "Somewhat appealing" **suggests that** most respondents don't outrightly dismiss green bonds, but some remain undecided or cautious.

Table 4: Green Bonds as an Investment Option

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Not appealing at all	25	24.8	24.8	24.8
	Not very appealing	19	18.8	18.8	43.6
	Neutral	15	14.9	14.9	58.4
	Somewhat appealing	27	26.7	26.7	85.1
	Very appealing	15	14.9	14.9	100.0
	Total	101	100.0	100.0	

Discussion

The moderate trust levels (55%) reflect broader scepticism in financial markets, particularly around greenwashing risks (ICMA, 2022). This aligns with a 2023 SEBI report noting that Indian investors demand stricter third-party verification for green securities.

The emphasis on project reputation over returns suggests that ethical motivations drive green bond interest, consistent with global studies (Khan et al., 2016). However, the neutral stance of 20% implies that better risk-return communication could enhance appeal.

Willingness to Invest in Green Bonds

Table below shows the willingness of investors to invest in green bonds. While 27.7% of respondents would "definitely" invest in green bonds, a significant portion (29.7%) remain "Not Sure." This shows that uncertainty regarding investment in green bonds remains among investors. Besides, there is potential for growth as well as evident from the 19.8% who said "Maybe, depending on conditions" suggests that market incentives, policy measures, or better information could sway more investors. However, scepticism exists among investors because 22.8% said "No," indicating barriers such as distrust in returns or lack of awareness.

Majority of investors acknowledge the role of environmental sustainability in investment decision making as 33.7% find sustainability "Somewhat important," and 25.7% find it "Extremely important". However, 40.6% (combined "Not important at all" and "Not very important") see sustainability as a minor factor, indicating that resistance remains among investor on this issue.

A combined **52.4%** believe their investments contribute to sustainability ("Yes, significantly" or "Yes, to some extent"). However, **25.7%** responded "Not Sure," indicating a gap in understanding how green investments impact broader environmental goals. There seems to be scepticism among investors as **21.8%** said "No," reinforcing the need for stronger evidence on real-world impact.

Table 5: Willingness to Invest fin Green Bonds or better Future

		Count	Column N %
Would you consider investing in green bonds in the future?	Yes, definitely	28	27.7%
	Maybe, depending on conditions	20	19.8%
	No	23	22.8%

	Not Sure	30	29.7%
How important is environmental sustainability when making investment decisions?	Not important at all	20	19.8%
	Not very important	21	20.8%
	Somewhat important	34	33.7%
	Extremely important	26	25.7%
Do you believe your investments can make a difference in promoting sustainability?	Yes, significantly	26	25.7%
	Yes, to some extent	27	26.7%
	No	22	21.8%
	Not Sure	26	25.7%
Are you more likely to invest in a product labelled "green" or "eco-friendly"?	Yes	40	39.6%
	No	30	29.7%
	Not Sure	31	30.7%
Do you actively seek out sustainable or environmentally responsible investment opportunities?	Yes	33	32.7%
	Sometimes	32	31.7%
	No	36	35.6%

39.6% said "Yes," showing that environmental marketing can drive consumer decisions. It shows that branding of green bonds matters. 29.7% said "No," indicating that labeling alone doesn't guarantee trust or investment interest. 30.7% remain unsure, suggesting that education on what makes a product truly "green" could be beneficial.

While some (32.7%) investors proactively seek out green investments, many (35.6%) remain passive or resistant, emphasizing the need for incentives, awareness campaigns, and clear financial benefits. 31.7% invest in sustainability "Sometimes," showing that occasional engagement exists, but it's not a constant priority.

Discussion

The conditional interest (40%) mirrors findings from the EU, where investors sought higher transparency before committing (OECD, 2021). The scepticism toward "green" labels (34%) echoes concern about marketing gimmicks, emphasizing the need for regulatory oversight.

The strong sustainability focus (75% combined) indicates a growing values-driven investment culture, particularly among millennials (RBI, 2023). However, the 15% rejection rate highlights persistent risk aversion, requiring demonstrable financial benefits to sway scepticsm.

Barriers to Investing in Green Bonds

More than **50%** of respondents are hesitant due to vague or uncertain returns, showing that financial viability remains a significant challenge for green bonds. To improve adoption, clearer projections, case studies of successful green bond investments, and detailed return comparisons with traditional investments are needed. Nearly **50%** of respondents cite a lack of knowledge as a major barrier, suggesting that educational initiatives could play a critical role in increasing participation. Simplified resources, investor outreach programs, and integration of green bond education into mainstream financial literacy efforts could help bridge this gap. Many individuals remain skeptical about whether green bonds truly make a difference, which points to the need for better transparency in measuring the **actual environmental benefits** of funded projects. Issuers could publish **impact reports** showing tangible results from green bond investments to build trust. Accessibility appears to be a hurdle, as almost **45%** of respondents feel they don't have easy opportunities to invest in green bonds. Expanding availability through widely used investment platforms could encourage participation. The preference for traditional investments highlights a need to position green bonds as **competitive and viable alternatives** rather than niche options.

Table 6: Barriers to investing in Green Bonds			
	Responses		Percent of Cases
	N	Percent	
Lack of awareness	47	17.6%	46.5%

Barriers to investing in Green bonds [a]	Limited financial knowledge	34	12.7%	33.7%
	Doubts about actual environmental impact	44	16.5%	43.6%
	Unclear investment returns	54	20.2%	53.5%
	Limited access to green bonds	45	16.9%	44.6%
	Preference for traditional investments	43	16.1%	42.6%
Total		267	100.0%	264.4%
a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.				

Encouraging Factors that Drive Green Bond Investments

The Table pinpoints the motivations that could boost adoption, revealing actionable strategies to attract more investors. Better Information & Education reported by 20.2% is found to be a key driver of encouragement for investing in green bonds. Educating investors about the mechanics, benefits, and profitability of green bonds could be highly effective in increasing participation. Initiatives like workshops, online courses, and transparent reporting on green bonds' financial and environmental impacts could make them more appealing. Nearly **44%** of respondents would be more likely to invest if **government-backed incentives**, such as tax benefits or subsidies, were provided. Policy support and regulatory frameworks could accelerate adoption and enhance market confidence. 17.6% investors believe that trust in issuer matters and credibility of such issuers is among the major encouraging factors that can drive investment in green bonds. Regulatory oversight, third-party certifications, and the involvement of respected banks or financial entities could reassure potential investors. Peer Influence & Social Media Awareness is another important encouraging factor as reported by 16.4% investors. Thus, public discussion and social media campaigns are effective tools for shifting perceptions. Making green bonds accessible through popular investment platforms could significantly reduce entry barriers as reported by 13.4% investors. Hence, simplified investing options and user-friendly resources might encourage broader participation.

Table 7: Encouraging factors to invest in green bonds				
		Responses		Percent of Cases
		N	Percent	
Encouraging factors to invest in Green bonds[a]	Better information and education	48	20.2%	47.5%
	Higher or competitive returns	33	13.9%	32.7%
	Government incentives or subsidies	44	18.5%	43.6%
	Trusted institutions issuing them	42	17.6%	41.6%
	Peer influence or social media awareness	39	16.4%	38.6%
	Easy availability on investment platforms	32	13.4%	31.7%
Total		238	100.0%	235.6%
a. Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.				

V. Discussion

A clear financial transparency and structured education could eliminate major doubts about green bonds. The awareness gap (60%) is consistent with India's financial literacy challenges (NCFE, 2019). Similar barriers were observed in Brazil, where targeted campaigns improved engagement (World Bank, 2023). Government action, institutional trust, and better accessibility are key levers for growth. Social influence and widespread awareness campaigns could shift perceptions and drive adoption. The demand for government incentives suggests that tax benefits (e.g., Section 80C deductions) could boost adoption. The focus on returns indicates that green bonds must compete financially, not just ethically, with traditional options.

Thematic Analysis of Qualitative responses

The thematic analysis of interview responses reveals several critical dimensions shaping retail investor engagement with green bonds in India. Foremost among these is the pervasive issue of trust and transparency, with "trust" emerging as the most salient concept across interviews. Participants consistently expressed scepticism regarding issuer credibility, with particular concerns about potential greenwashing - the discrepancy between advertised environmental benefits and actual fund allocation. This scepticism appears to drive strong investor demand for enhanced transparency mechanisms, particularly third-party certifications and regular, verifiable impact reports that could validate claims of environmental stewardship.

Financial considerations constitute another dominant theme, with participants engaging in explicit cost-benefit analyses comparing green bonds to conventional investment vehicles such as fixed deposits and mutual funds. While some investors recognized the long-term value proposition of green bonds, concerns about secondary market liquidity and perceived risk premiums associated with these novel instruments appear to function as significant deterrents. These financial apprehensions are compounded by substantial knowledge gaps, as many respondents openly acknowledged limited understanding of green bond mechanics. This awareness deficit has generated clear demand for targeted financial education initiatives, with participants suggesting simplified explanatory materials and workshops as potential knowledge-enhancement tools.

The analysis also uncovers important institutional dimensions, with frequent references to government intervention and policy support. Respondents particularly emphasized the potential of fiscal incentives, including tax benefits analogous to those under Section 80C of the Income Tax Act, to stimulate market participation. Concurrently, participants identified public sector banks as potentially influential channels for promoting green bond adoption. A notable generational divergence emerged from the data, with younger investors demonstrating stronger orientation toward environmental impact considerations, while older cohorts prioritized financial security and returns. This demographic variation suggests that digital-native investment platforms may represent a crucial access point for younger, tech-savvy investors.

From a policy perspective, these findings suggest three key intervention areas: First, the establishment of robust verification frameworks to enhance trust through independent audits and real-time project tracking. Second, comprehensive financial education campaigns delivered through linguistically and culturally appropriate channels. Third, structural reforms to improve retail accessibility, potentially through integration with popular investment platforms and the introduction of targeted fiscal incentives. While these insights provide valuable guidance, the study's urban concentration suggests caution in generalizing findings to India's rural investor base, indicating an important area for future research. Collectively, these results underscore that while environmental consciousness exists among Indian retail investors, pragmatic concerns regarding trust, financial performance, and accessibility currently dominate investment decision-making - a critical consideration for stakeholders seeking to expand the retail green bond market.

Concluding Remark and Implications

The findings of this study present a complex and multifaceted perspective on retail investor engagement with green bonds in the Indian financial landscape. Analysis reveals a moderately distributed awareness of green bonds across demographic segments, though with notable disparities. Younger investors demonstrate significantly lower awareness levels compared to their older counterparts, suggesting either a generational gap in financial exposure or differing priorities in investment decision-making. The positive correlation between educational attainment and awareness is particularly evident, with postgraduate individuals exhibiting the highest awareness levels, underscoring the critical role of financial education in sustainable investment literacy. Investor perceptions reveal an intriguing duality in evaluation criteria, with financial returns and environmental impact receiving equal weighting in investment considerations. This parity suggests that Indian retail investors approach green bonds as hybrid instruments

that must satisfy both financial and ethical objectives. However, substantial conceptual confusion regarding the fundamental purpose of green bonds suggesting inadequate financial communication and highlight the need for more precise investor education initiatives. The study identifies trust deficits as a critical barrier to adoption, manifested through concerns about greenwashing and issuer credibility. These apprehensions are compounded by structural market challenges, including perceived liquidity constraints and limited secondary market accessibility. The prevalence of scepticism suggests that current market mechanisms have not adequately addressed retail investors' risk perceptions or information asymmetries. Nevertheless, the presence of a substantial segment demonstrating positive perception indicates existing market potential that could be harnessed through targeted interventions.

From a policy perspective, the findings suggest several strategic imperatives. First, regulatory frameworks must prioritize transparency enhancements through mandatory third-party verification and standardized impact reporting protocols. Second, financial education programs should be designed to address specific knowledge gaps, particularly regarding the environmental focus and financial mechanics of green bonds. Third, fiscal incentives, particularly tax benefits akin to Section 80C provisions, could serve as powerful catalysts for retail participation. Fourth, market infrastructure improvements, including integration with mainstream investment platforms, could significantly reduce accessibility barriers. The study's implications extend beyond immediate policy recommendations, contributing to broader theoretical understanding of sustainable investment behaviour in emerging markets. The demonstrated interplay between financial pragmatism and environmental consciousness challenges conventional assumptions about retail investor motivations, suggesting a more nuanced model of decision-making that incorporates both rational and normative dimensions. Future research should explore longitudinal behavioural changes following policy interventions and examine rural-urban divides in sustainable finance adoption to develop more comprehensive strategies for inclusive market development.

References

1. Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behaviour. Organizational Behaviour and Human Decision Processes, 50(2), 179-211. https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T
2. Bansal, S. (2020). Green Bonds-Trend and Challenges in India. Journal of Business Management and Information Systems, 7(1), 22–30. https://doi.org/10.48001/jbmis.2020.0701003
3. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77–101.
4. Brest, P., & Born, K. (2023). Effective philanthropy: Making the most of your giving. MIT Press.
5. Climate Bonds Initiative (CBI). (2023). Global state of the market report 2023. https://www.climatebonds.net
6. Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches (5th ed.). Sage.
7. Dikau, S., & Volz, U. (2021). Central bank mandates, sustainability objectives and the promotion of green finance. Ecological Economics, 184, 107022. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2021.107022
8. Etikan, I., Musa, S. A., & Alkassim, R. S. (2016). Comparison of convenience sampling and purposive sampling. American Journal of Theoretical and Applied Statistics, 5(1), 1–4.
9. Ghosh, S. (2022). Sustainable finance in emerging markets: Evolution and future prospects. Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment, 12(3), 456-478. https://doi.org/10.1080/20430795.2021.2010952
10. GSIA. (2023). Global sustainable investment review.
11. Gutsche, G., & Zwergel, B. (2020). The influence of behavioural factors on investors' green bond investment decisions. Finance Research Letters, 35, 101509. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2020.101509
12. International Capital Market Association (ICMA). (2022). Green Bond Principles: Voluntary process guidelines. https://www.icmagroup.org
13. IPCC. (2023). Climate change 2023: Synthesis report. https://www.ipcc.ch
14. Khan, M., Serafeim, G., & Yoon, A. (2016). Corporate sustainability: First evidence on materiality. The Accounting Review, 91(6), 1697-1724. https://doi.org/10.2308/accr-51383
15. Ministry of Finance, India. (2023). Sovereign green bonds framework. https://www.finmin.nic.in
16. Mittal, R., & Gupta, P. (2022). Behavioural biases in green investment decisions: Evidence from India. Journal of Behavioural and Experimental Finance, 34, 100659. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbef.2022.100659
17. National Centre for Financial Education (NCFE). (2019). National survey on financial literacy in India. https://www.ncfe.org.in
18. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2021). Green bonds: Mobilising the debt capital markets for a low-carbon transition. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264242323-en
19. Park, J., & Kim, S. (2022). The retail participation paradox in sustainable finance. Journal of Financial Economics, 144(2), 543-567. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2021.10.003
20. Patel, R., Kumar, S., & Jain, A. (2023). The awareness-access-trust framework for green finance adoption in emerging markets. World Development, 161, 106128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2022.106128
21. Patton, M. Q. (2015). Qualitative research & evaluation methods (4th ed.). Sage.

22. Prajapati, D., Paul, D., Malik, S., & Mishra, D. K. (2021). Understanding the preference of individual retail investors on green bond in India: an empirical study. Business Perspectives. https://doi.org/10.21511/imfi.18(1).2021.15
23. RBI. (2023). Report on green finance in India. https://www.rbi.org.in
24. Reserve Bank of India (RBI). (2023). Report on currency and finance 2022-23: Towards a greener cleaner India. https://www.rbi.org.in
25. Reserve Bank of India. (2024). Discussion paper on climate risk disclosures. https://www.rbi.org.in
26. Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2019). Research methods for business students (8th ed.). Pearson.
27. SEBI. (2021). Green debt securities regulations. https://www.sebi.gov.in
28. Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI). (2021). Disclosure requirements for issuance and listing of green debt securities. Circular No. SEBI/HO/DDHS/DDHS-RACPOD1/P/CIR/2021/613. https://www.sebi.gov.in
29. Singh, N., Singh, P. K., & Kumar, R. (2025). Green bond sustainable infrastructure development in India. International Journal of Scientific Research in Engineering and Management. https://doi.org/10.55041/ijsrem44388
30. World Bank. (2023). Green bonds: A strategic tool for climate finance. https://www.worldbank.org