

# The Role of Social Media in Shaping Public Opinion: A Comprehensive Literature Review.

Mr. Keyurkumar Modi, Dr. Vatsal B. Patel

Department of Business and Industrial Management, VNSGU, Surat

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.51583/IJLTEMAS.2025.1410000150>

**Abstract:** Social media has emerged as a significant force in shaping public opinion, offering a unique platform for information dissemination, political mobilization, and social movement facilitation. This literature review explores the role of social media in forming public opinion, highlighting its distinctive attributes, such as interactivity, immediacy, and user-generated content, which set it apart from traditional media. The review examines the influence of social media on public sentiment, where it has been shown to impact morale and support for government policies. However, the rise of echo chambers and filter bubbles on social media poses challenges, as these phenomena can distort public perception and contribute to polarization.

The review also discusses the potential of social media for real-time public opinion monitoring and its implications for policy-making. While social media enhances civic engagement and democratizes information, it also presents risks, such as the spread of misinformation and conspiracy theories. The review emphasizes the need for critical literacy among users to navigate the complexities of social media and mitigate its negative effects. Future trends and implications, including the impact of emerging technologies and evolving user behaviors, are also considered. Overall, this literature review provides a comprehensive overview of the role of social media in shaping public opinion, highlighting both its positive and negative aspects.

**Keywords:** Social media, public opinion, Literature review

## I. Introduction

Social media significantly shapes public opinion and perception of current events through its unique attributes, such as interactivity, immediacy, and user-generated content, which distinguish it from traditional media platforms (Swastiningsih et al., 2024). It serves as a vital tool for disseminating information, mobilizing political support, and facilitating social movements, thereby influencing societal engagement and discourse (Alimin, 2024) (Ausat, 2023). However, the rise of filter bubbles and echo chambers poses challenges, as these phenomena can distort public perception and contribute to polarization (Latif et al., 2024) (Anju, 2024). Furthermore, social media's role in real-time public opinion monitoring and its potential to influence policy-making underscore its importance in contemporary governance (Latif et al., 2024). Overall, while social media enhances engagement and diversity of perspectives, it necessitates critical literacy among users to navigate its complexities and mitigate misinformation (Swastiningsih et al., 2024).

Social media has become a pervasive element of modern society, significantly influencing communication, identity, and community engagement. With over 19,000 academic articles dedicated to the topic, research highlights its dual nature, serving both as a platform for connection and a source of anxiety and misinformation (Dwivedi et al., 2018). Social media facilitates the breakdown of geographical barriers, enabling e-participation and the formation of online communities, which empower consumers and enhance business interactions (Dwivedi et al., 2018). However, it also presents challenges, such as the difficulty in interpreting emotions and the potential for harmful behaviors like cyberbullying (Dwivedi et al., 2018). Furthermore, social media shapes self-perception and interpersonal relationships, reflecting both positive and negative impacts on self-esteem (K.C., 2024). As a result, the interplay between social media and society continues to evolve, necessitating ongoing examination of its implications (Bruns, 2015) (Baym, 2015).

## Definition and Characteristics of Social Media

Social media is defined as platforms that enable users to create, share content, and engage in social networking, characterized by multi-way interactions rather than the one-way communication typical of traditional media (Barrett & Mac Sweeney, 2019). Key characteristics of social media include diversity, real-time communication, globalization, and personalization, which collectively enhance user experience and creative expression (Chen, 2023). The platforms facilitate a shift from linear to group communication, allowing users to focus on personal interests while also participating in broader social dialogues (Темникова & Вандышева, 2022). Furthermore, social media's pervasive nature is underscored by its vast user base, with billions actively engaging across various platforms, reflecting a significant cultural and technological shift in communication practices (Barrett & Mac Sweeney, 2019). However, this evolution also raises concerns regarding privacy, surveillance, and the commercial exploitation of user data (Graham Meikle, 2023).

### Key Characteristics:

First off, user-generated content is really just about giving you the power to create, edit, and share whatever you want. It's all about letting people like you be the creators, not just passive viewers. You can throw up text, images, videos, even live streams—basically anything you can think of.

Then there's the whole network aspect. Imagine it like a web where everyone's connected to everyone else. You follow people, they follow you, you friend someone, they friend you back. It's all these digital relationships that help information flow between people and lets collaboration happen naturally.

What makes it exciting is that everything happens in real time. You post something, and boom—people see it instantly. You get comments, reactions, messages—all happening right now, not later. That's what keeps things feeling alive and responsive.

Now, here's where it gets interesting. There's actually an automated system constantly working to figure out what content you'll care about. It learns from what you like, comment on, and share. So your feed ends up being pretty personalized—you're seeing stuff that actually matters to you, not just random noise.

You've probably noticed those numbers—likes, shares, comments, reactions. Those are engagement metrics, and they're basically a way of measuring how much people are connecting with content. They show you what's resonating with your audience.

Your profile is your digital identity, and you get to customize it however you want. It's how people get to know you online, so you can make it reflect who you really are (or who you want to be).

Accessibility is key. Whether you're on your phone, tablet, or computer, whether you're at home or on the go with internet—you can access everything. That's what keeps you connected no matter where you are.

The whole thing works together to create this rich, interactive space where you're not just consuming content; you're actively part of the experience, sharing, connecting, and building community.

### **Key Features That Facilitate Opinion Formation and Sharing in Social Media**

Social media facilitates opinion formation and sharing through several key features: user-generated content offers diverse perspectives; algorithmic curation personalizes information exposure, reinforcing or challenging views; network structures enable rapid idea dissemination via community ties and influencers; real-time feedback encourages interaction and discussion; multimedia integration enhances message engagement; hashtags and trends organize conversations and drive collective opinions; and community groups reinforce shared views, strengthening collective narratives.

Social media platforms empower users to generate and disseminate content without traditional gatekeeping, enabling a vast and diverse array of voices to participate in public discourse. This open environment cultivates a rich tapestry of perspectives, reflecting varied cultural, social, and ideological backgrounds. As a result, social media serves as a dynamic forum where ideas continuously evolve through interactions, debates, and shared experiences, contributing to a multifaceted opinion landscape.

Moreover, the sheer volume of content produced daily on these platforms enhances the visibility of niche viewpoints and underrepresented communities, fostering inclusivity and democratization of information. However, this abundance also presents challenges such as information overload and the potential for misinformation, necessitating critical engagement from users

Algorithms show you content based on what you have looked at or liked before. This means they show you information that matches your current opinions or interests. Because of this, they can make your existing views stronger but can also introduce new ideas by suggesting content specifically chosen for you.

The interconnectedness of social networks allows ideas to disseminate through both direct and indirect connections, forming swift chains of influence. Strong community bonds and key influencers, such as thought leaders or celebrities, can significantly amplify opinions within large groups.

Instant feedback through likes, comments, and shares offers users immediate responses to their views and content. This level of interactivity promotes more engagement and dialogue among users, rapidly influencing public conversation.

Combining text, images, videos, and live streams offers engaging and varied methods for conveying messages. These rich media formats boost the persuasive impact of opinions and increase the chances of content becoming viral.

Hashtags serve to categorize similar discussions and enhance their visibility, thereby influencing the formation of collective opinions on popular subjects. Trending topics and viral challenges act as clear indicators of public mood and enable swift changes in opinions.

Communities such as groups, pages, and forums offer a space for individuals with similar interests to gather and strengthen their shared beliefs. The support from peers in these settings further entrenches personal perspectives and contributes to the development of wider collective narratives.

### **Comparison with Traditional Media**

(Jain, 2024) compares social media marketing (SMM) and traditional marketing, highlighting SMM's advantages in reach and engagement while addressing challenges. It evaluates their impact on consumer behavior and decision-making, providing insights for optimizing marketing strategies in today's marketplace. While (Cui & Li, 2024) highlights that social media significantly influences traditional journalism content change and reader behavior, with social media providing diverse news channels and impacting reader engagement, unlike traditional media, which relies on established formats and limited interaction. (Loitongbam

et al., 2023) reveals a significant preference for social media marketing over traditional media marketing among customers in Manipur, highlighting distinct characteristics such as attractive and informative advertising, which influence marketing strategy decisions in the evolving media landscape.

### **Traditional Media Vs. Social Media: A Comprehensive Comparison**

Traditional media operates through centralized broadcasting networks like TV stations, reaching millions simultaneously but without direct feedback channels. Social media enables multi-directional conversations where any user can simultaneously be a content creator and consumer, fostering immediate dialogue. This fundamental difference reflects a shift from hierarchical information distribution to networked peer-to-peer communication.

Traditional media relies on professional journalists and editors who follow strict editorial guidelines and fact-checking processes. Social media allows anyone with an internet connection to create and share content instantly, with minimal oversight or verification requirements. This democratization of content creation has both empowered individual voices and created challenges around misinformation and quality control.

Traditional media follows scheduled publishing cycles with significant production time and resource investment. Social media enables instant content sharing from any location, requiring only basic digital devices and internet connectivity. This shift has transformed the news cycle from a daily or weekly rhythm to a continuous, real-time stream of information and updates.

Traditional media primarily offers one-way communication with limited audience participation through letters or call-ins. Social media facilitates real-time conversations through comments, shares, and direct messaging between users and content creators. This interactive dimension has transformed passive consumption into active participation and community building.

Traditional media requires substantial infrastructure investment in printing presses, broadcasting equipment, or studio facilities. Social media platforms operate on minimal user-end costs, with most platforms offering free basic access. This economic shift has lowered barriers to entry for aspiring content creators and media outlets.

Traditional media content typically has a defined shelf life, such as daily newspapers or scheduled broadcasts. Social media content can remain accessible indefinitely and can resurface through shares and algorithmic recommendations. This permanence creates new considerations for content creators regarding long-term implications of their posts.

Traditional media reaches broad demographic groups with limited ability to segment audiences. Social media enables precise audience targeting based on user behavior, interests, and demographic data. This targeting capability has revolutionized advertising strategies and content personalization.

Traditional media relies on delayed metrics like Nielsen ratings or circulation numbers. Social media provides instant analytics on engagement, reach, and audience response. This real-time measurement capability allows for rapid iteration and strategy adjustment.

Traditional media typically delivers polished, professionally produced content in standardized formats. Social media accommodates diverse content types from casual smartphone videos to professional productions. This format flexibility has lowered production barriers and enabled authentic, unpolished communication styles.

Traditional media operates under established broadcasting and publishing regulations with clear accountability. Social media platforms face evolving regulatory challenges regarding content moderation and user privacy. This regulatory disparity reflects the difficulty of governing rapidly evolving digital platforms.

### **Social Media's Influence on Public Opinion Formation**

Social media significantly influences public opinion formation by providing platforms for immediate interaction, diverse perspectives, and user-generated content, which contrasts with traditional media's more passive consumption model (Swastiningsih et al., 2024). In contexts such as the ongoing war in Ukraine, social media has been shown to shape public sentiment crucially, impacting morale and support for government policies, although it can also lead to reduced interpersonal trust and critical evaluation of information due to phenomena like Fear of Missing Out (FOMO) (Babenko et al., 2024).

A systematic review highlights the role of various platforms in knowledge dissemination and the creation of echo chambers, which can amplify misinformation while also facilitating real-time public opinion monitoring and engagement between citizens and policymakers (Latif et al., 2024). Overall, while social media offers opportunities for engagement and mobilization, it also presents challenges that necessitate critical literacy and strategic use to mitigate misinformation and polarization (Alimin, 2024).

### **Mechanisms of Influence**

Instagram's mechanisms of influence are multifaceted, primarily driven by engagement strategies, storytelling, and social dynamics. Additionally, beauty influencers navigate complex social norms to maintain their prestige, balancing personal branding with audience expectations and brand partnerships (Duverné et al., 2022). Overall, Instagram's influence mechanisms intertwine content creation, social validation, and emotional connection, shaping user interactions and marketing effectiveness (Parekh, 2022).

Think about how Facebook, Twitter, and YouTube tailor your feed specifically to you. These platforms track what you click on, how long you spend on posts, and who you follow, then use that data to show you more of the same. The problem? You end up in what researchers call a "filter bubble"—basically a bubble where you're mostly seeing content that already matches what you believe. This can make people more polarized politically and keeps them from encountering ideas that might challenge their worldview.

Here's something that shouldn't surprise you: your friends and family shape your opinions. Research consistently shows that people tend to adopt the views that are popular in their social circles, especially when people they trust are promoting those views. Social networks operate on relationships, and that's a powerful force in how opinions spread and take root.

Ever notice how memes, videos, and infographics spread like wildfire on social media? That's because they take complicated topics and boil them down into something catchy and easy to share. This format makes ideas travel fast across networks. The downside? Oversimplifying complex issues often leads to misunderstandings and shallow interpretations of what's really going on.

Social media is rife with false or misleading information—sometimes by accident, sometimes on purpose. Misinformation refers to inaccuracies that spread unintentionally, while disinformation is deliberately planted false information. Both can seriously influence public opinion by creating confusion and making people skeptical of actual news sources and institutions. This erosion of trust in reliable information makes it even harder to have meaningful public discussions.

One of social media's defining features is that regular users can contribute—through comments, reviews, and posts—which creates a more democratic, bottom-up approach to opinion formation. Multiple perspectives get represented. But here's the catch: this openness can also be exploited through coordinated manipulation, like astroturfing (fake grassroots campaigns) or organized propaganda that artificially shapes how public opinion develops naturally.

### **Challenges and Future Directions**

Social media significantly shapes public opinion, presenting both opportunities and challenges. It facilitates real-time engagement and diverse perspectives, enhancing knowledge dissemination and political mobilization. However, the prevalence of misinformation, echo chambers, and filter bubbles complicates this landscape, often distorting public perception and polarizing discourse (Latif et al., 2024) (Swastiningsih et al., 2024). The role of social media influencers further exemplifies this dynamic, as they can sway public attitudes and consumer behavior, particularly in sectors like fashion and beauty (Alexander, 2024). Future directions for research should focus on developing critical media literacy among users to navigate these complexities, alongside exploring ethical implications and regulatory frameworks for influencer marketing (Shamilishvili & Sabashvili, 2023). While research has illuminated many aspects of social media's role in shaping public opinion, several challenges persist.

Establishing a clear causal relationship between social media exposure and opinion change is difficult due to the complex interplay of multiple variables over time. Future research must continue to refine methodologies that can isolate the effects of algorithmic curation and peer influence.

The potential for manipulation through social media calls for robust ethical standards and regulatory frameworks. Balancing the benefits of open communication with the need to protect against misinformation remains a critical ongoing debate.

As social media increasingly incorporates video, livestreams, and interactive content, understanding how these formats impact opinion formation will be essential. Researchers are called to adopt multimodal analysis techniques to explore these emerging trends.

### **Positive and Negative Effects of Social Media on Public Opinion**

Social media significantly influences public opinion, presenting both positive and negative effects. On the positive side, it enhances information dissemination, promotes political mobilization, and amplifies marginalized voices, thereby fostering broader engagement and diverse perspectives in public discourse (Swastiningsih et al., 2024). Conversely, the negative aspects include the proliferation of misinformation, the creation of echo chambers, and filter bubbles, which can distort public perception and polarize opinions (Latif et al., 2024) (Alimin, 2024). These dynamics necessitate critical literacy among users to navigate the complexities of digital information and highlight the importance of strategic communication to mitigate risks while leveraging social media's potential for informed civic engagement (Swastiningsih et al., 2024).

Social media has fundamentally transformed how public opinion is formed, shared, and influenced in contemporary society. This literature review examines the dual nature of social media's impact on public opinion formation and dissemination, exploring both its positive and negative effects on societal discourse and democratic processes.

### **Positive Effects**

Social media platforms have democratized public discourse by providing accessible channels for civic engagement. They have increased opportunities for marginalized voices to participate in debates. During crisis events, these channels facilitate the rapid sharing of critical information.

Users benefit from immediate access to diverse perspectives and multiple news sources. Social media contributes to greater transparency in governmental actions. Platforms also support fact-checking and verifying information in real time.

Online communities centered around specific issues or interests help build support networks. These communities can organize social movements and civic actions more efficiently over digital platforms. Connections between like-minded individuals are significantly strengthened.

### **Negative Effects**

The algorithm-driven content curation on social media often results in users being exposed to a narrow set of perspectives. Such dynamics reinforce existing beliefs and prejudices, limiting exposure to diverse viewpoints. This, in turn, decreases tolerance for opposing opinions and exacerbates divisions.

Social media can rapidly spread false information and conspiracy theories, often faster than factual corrections. Coordinated disinformation campaigns have the potential to manipulate public opinion. The challenge of distinguishing credible sources from unreliable ones remains a significant issue.

Social media can contribute to increasing political and social divisions by amplifying extreme viewpoints. This polarization reduces the quality of civil discourse and makes compromise more challenging among opposing groups.

The massive volumes of information generated on social media can be difficult to process and verify. Users often experience decreased attention spans and engage with content on a superficial level. Emotional exhaustion from constant exposure can further reduce proactive civic engagement.

### **Impact on Democratic Processes**

Social media has enhanced voter mobilization and engagement through targeted campaigns. However, it also exposes democratic processes to vulnerabilities such as foreign interference and manipulation. Political messaging and campaign strategies have evolved to incorporate social media dynamics.

The public has more direct input in policy discussions due to the accessibility of social media platforms. Rapid shifts in public sentiment can dramatically affect policy decisions. The volatility in public opinion poses challenges to the consistency of policy approaches.

The impact of social media on public opinion is characterized by a complex interplay of positive and negative effects. While these platforms have democratized access to information and bolstered civic participation, they have also introduced challenges such as misinformation, polarization, and echo chambers. Future research and policy development must carefully balance these effects, leveraging the benefits of social media while mitigating its risks to safeguard democratic processes.

## **II. Conclusion**

Social media's influence on how we form opinions is complicated and keeps changing. When you look at how algorithms decide what we see, how our networks shape our thinking, what goes viral, and how false information spreads, it becomes clear that social media creates both real opportunities and serious challenges for democracies. By understanding these different mechanisms, researchers help us grasp not just how digital communication works, but also how we can encourage more thoughtful and balanced conversations. As platforms and technology keep evolving, we'll need ongoing research from multiple disciplines to protect against the polarization problems while making the most of social media's ability to bring people together.

This review takes a deep dive into how social media shapes public opinion, showing just how much it's transformed the way information spreads, how people engage civically, and how our democratic systems work. A key takeaway is that social media cuts both ways—it has real positive impacts alongside some serious downsides. On the bright side, these platforms have opened up public conversation to more people, made it easier for everyone to access information from different sources, and helped people form communities around shared interests. They've given voice to groups that were previously left out of mainstream debates, made government more transparent, and made it possible to organize movements and social causes much faster. All of this has created a more dynamic and participatory public sphere.

That said, the review also points out some genuine problems that come with social media. When algorithms feed us only content that matches what we already believe, it creates bubbles where we only hear one side of things. Add to that the speed at which false information and deliberate misinformation can spread, plus the way these platforms can push us toward more extreme positions, and you've got some real issues. These things can distort how people see the world, deepen divides between groups, and make it harder for people to form balanced opinions.

The effects on democracy are particularly important to understand. While social media has definitely made it easier to get voters engaged and mobilized, it's also created new ways for people to interfere with elections and manipulate the process. You can see this clearly in how politicians and campaigns have completely reworked their strategies to take advantage of social media's reach and targeting capabilities. Looking forward, this review suggests we should focus on helping people become better at spotting reliable information, thinking seriously about the ethics of how social media influences us, and developing better ways to figure

out exactly how seeing things on social media actually changes people's minds. New technologies and changing user habits will likely bring fresh challenges and possibilities for how we understand social media's power over public opinion.

At its core, this review shows that social media's impact on public opinion is genuinely complex and multifaceted. Yes, it's made people more civically involved and given us easier access to all kinds of information, but it's also brought problems that need careful handling. As social media platforms continue to shift and grow, we need to keep researching and thinking critically about how to tap into their positive power while steering clear of the damage they can do to how we talk to each other and how democracy functions.

## Reference

1. Alexander, G. (2024). Role of Social Media Influencers in Shaping Public Opinion and Consumer Behavior in Greece. *International Journal of Communication and Public Relation*, 9(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.47604/ijcpr.2269>
2. Alimin, N. G. (2024). The role of social media in forming public opinion from a contemporary sociological perspective. *OSF*. <https://doi.org/10.31235/osf.io/d7g89>
3. Anju. (2024). Exploring the Impact of Social Media on Public Opinion Formation: A Comparative Analysis. *International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology*, 12(4), 307–311. <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2024.59619>
4. Ausat, A. M. A. (2023). The Role of Social Media in Shaping Public Opinion and Its Influence on Economic Decisions. *Technology and Society Perspectives (TACIT)*, 1(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.61100/tacit.v1i1.37>
5. Babenko, V., Romaniuk, V., Viytovych, T., Zhmaka, Y., & Ovchar, Y. (2024). Social media and public opinion formation in times of war: A case study from Ukraine. *Revista Amazonia Investiga*, 13(81), 187–196. <https://doi.org/10.34069/AI/2024.81.09.15>
6. Barrett, K. P., & Mac Sweeney, R. (2019). Social Media in Critical Care. *International Anesthesiology Clinics*, 57(2), 103. <https://doi.org/10.1097/AIA.0000000000000227>
7. Baym, N. K. (2015). Social Media and the Struggle for Society. *Social Media + Society*, 1(1), 2056305115580477. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305115580477>
8. Bruns, A. (2015). Making Sense of Society Through Social Media. *Social Media + Society*, 1(1), 2056305115578679. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305115578679>
9. Chen, X. (2023). Effect Analysis of Digital Communication of Social Media from the Perspective of New Media. *Communications in Humanities Research*, 10, 61–66. <https://doi.org/10.54254/2753-7064/10/20231242>
10. Cui, W., & Li, F. (2024). Social Media Impact on Traditional Journalism Content Change and Reader Behavior Analysis. *Applied Mathematics and Nonlinear Sciences*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.2478/amns-2024-2854>
11. Duvern , T., Yondre, F. L., & H as, S. (2022). Les influenceuses beaut  et leur cour: Les m canismes du prestige sur Instagram. *Questions de communication*, 42, Article 42. <https://doi.org/10.4000/questionsdecommunication.30446>
12. Dwivedi, Y. K., Kelly, G., Janssen, M., Rana, N. P., Slade, E. L., & Clement, M. (2018). Social Media: The Good, the Bad, and the Ugly. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 20(3), 419–423. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-018-9848-5>
13. Graham Meikle. (2023). Social Media. *Obo*. <https://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/display/document/obo-9780199791286/obo-9780199791286-0369.xml>
14. Jain, K. (2024). Social Media Marketing Vs. Traditional Marketing: Evaluating the Success Rate. *International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology*, 12(5), 3429–3450. <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2024.62335>
15. K.C., A. (2024). Exploring Identity in the Digital Age: Social Media on Self-Perception and Interpersonal Relationships. *Contemporary Social Sciences*, 33(3), 97–108. <https://doi.org/10.62047/CSS.2024.09.30.97>
16. Latif, D., Samad, M. A., Rinawulandari, & Kadir, S. A. (2024). Social Media in Shaping Public Opinion Roles and Impact: A Systematic Review. *Jurnal Komunikasi: Malaysian Journal of Communication*, 40(2), Article 2. <http://ejournal.ukm.my/mjc/article/view/73998>
17. Loitongbam, S., Sorokhaibam, R., & Singh, S. S. (2023). Social Media as a Marketing Tool. *Journal of Law and Sustainable Development*, 11(8), e1498–e1498. <https://doi.org/10.55908/sdgs.v11i8.1498>
18. Parekh, H. (2022). Social Influence through Social Media: Focusing on Instagram Influencers. *OSF*. <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/p72n8>
19. Shamilishvili, I., & Sabashvili, G. (2023). The role of social media in shaping public opinion. "Intercultural Dialogues" *Transactions*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.52340/idw.2023.87>
20. Swastiningsih, S., Aziz, A., & Dharta, Y. (2024). The Role of Social Media in Shaping Public Opinion: A Comparative Analysis of Traditional vs. Digital Media Platforms. *The Journal of Academic Science*, 1(6), Article 6. <https://doi.org/10.59613/fm1dpm66>
21. Темникова, Л. Б., & Вандышева, А. В. (2022). Social Media as an Integral Element of Modern Communication. *Communication Studies*, 9(2), 274–284. [https://doi.org/10.24147/2413-6182.2022.9\(2\).274-284](https://doi.org/10.24147/2413-6182.2022.9(2).274-284)