

Use of Factor Analysis to Improve Questionnaire-Based Research Design

R. Kanya Priya

Department of Mathematics, Sri Ramakrishna College of Arts & Science for Women

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.51583/IJLTEMAS.2025.1411000019>

Received: 07 November 2025; Accepted: 14 November 2025; Published: 02 December 2025

ABSTRACT

Questionnaire-based research remains one of the most commonly used methods in social sciences, management, psychology, education, and health studies. However, the reliability and validity of the conclusions depend heavily on the quality of the questionnaire. Factor Analysis (FA), a multivariate statistical technique, provides a systematic approach to evaluate and refine questionnaires by identifying underlying latent constructs, reducing redundant items, and improving overall measurement accuracy. This article discusses the conceptual foundation of factor analysis, its methodological applications in questionnaire design, and practical guidelines for researchers to enhance instrument reliability and validity.

INTRODUCTION

Questionnaires are powerful tools for collecting data from large and diverse populations. However, poorly designed questionnaires may include ambiguous, redundant, or irrelevant items that compromise data quality. Researchers often assume that items on a questionnaire measure the intended construct, but empirical verification is essential.

Factor analysis (FA) is one of the most effective tools for analyzing questionnaire items because it examines the structure of interrelationships among variables. It helps identify groups of items (factors) that measure the same underlying dimension and eliminates items that weaken the reliability of the questionnaire. Consequently, FA supports both exploratory and confirmatory approaches to instrument development.

This paper explores how factor analysis strengthens questionnaire-based research design and outlines a systematic approach to developing, assessing, and refining questionnaires using FA.

Understanding Factor Analysis

Factor analysis is a statistical method used to identify underlying dimensions (factors) that explain the pattern of correlations among observed variables.

Types of Factor Analysis

a. Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)

EFA identifies latent factors without predefined hypotheses. It is useful in early stages of questionnaire design to:

- Explore underlying dimensions
- Group related items
- Eliminate irrelevant or ambiguous items

b. Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA)

CFA tests hypotheses about factor structure. It is used to:

- Validate the factor model discovered through EFA
- Confirm the dimensionality of constructs
- Compare theoretical measurement models

Assumptions of Factor Analysis

Before applying FA, certain conditions must be met:

- Adequate sample size (generally > 100 respondents)
- Sufficient correlations between items
- Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin (KMO) > 0.6
- Bartlett’s Test of Sphericity significant ($p < 0.05$)

These assumptions ensure that the dataset is suitable for factor extraction.

Role of Factor Analysis in Questionnaire-Based Research

Refining Constructs and Dimensions

Questionnaires often aim to measure abstract concepts such as satisfaction, motivation, or attitudes. FA helps:

- Identify how many dimensions a construct has
- Detect items that do not align with any factor
- Remove items with low loading (< 0.40)

For example, a 20-item questionnaire on job satisfaction may reveal only three meaningful factors: work environment, compensation, and personal growth.

Reducing Redundant Items

Items that measure the same concept may create unnecessary repetition. FA groups these items, allowing researchers to:

- Combine similar items
- Remove duplicates
- Shorten the questionnaire without reducing information quality

This improves response rate and reduces fatigue among respondents.

Improving Reliability and Validity

FA strengthens both forms of validity:

Construct validity: Ensures items measure the intended theoretical construct.

Convergent and discriminant validity: Ensures that items load highly on their intended factor and minimally on others.

Reliability can also be improved by removing items that reduce internal consistency (based on Cronbach's alpha).

Enhancing Data Interpretation

With FA, researchers can interpret responses at the factor level rather than individual item level. This provides:

- More meaningful insights
- Reduced noise in data
- Better statistical power for regression or structural modeling

Methodology for Using Factor Analysis in Questionnaire Design

Step 1: Developing Initial Items

Begin by:

- Reviewing literature
- Conducting interviews
- Using expert judgment
- Generating more items than required

A comprehensive initial list increases the chances of capturing all dimensions.

Step 2: Conducting a Pilot Study

Administer the questionnaire to a small sample (30–50 respondents) to:

- Test wording clarity
- Identify confusing items
- Detect inconsistencies

Step 3: Performing Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)

After collecting main data, apply EFA:

1. Check KMO and Bartlett's test
2. Choose extraction method (e.g., Principal Component Analysis or Maximum Likelihood)
3. Determine number of factors using:
 - Eigenvalues > 1
 - Scree plot
 - Parallel analysis

1. Rotate factors (Varimax or Promax)
2. Interpret and label factors
3. Remove items with low communalities or cross-loadings

Step 4: Refining the Questionnaire

After EFA:

- Delete problematic items
- Reassign items to proper factors
- Re-evaluate reliability (Cronbach's $\alpha \geq 0.7$ recommended)

Step 5: Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA)

CFA is used on a new dataset to confirm the final structure. Researchers evaluate:

- Model fit indices (CFI, TLI, RMSEA, χ^2/df)
- Convergent validity (Average Variance Extracted > 0.5)
- Discriminant validity

If necessary, further modifications are made.

Step 6: Finalizing the Research Instrument

Once validated, the questionnaire:

- Has a clear factor structure
- Measures the intended constructs accurately
- Is ready for large-scale data collection

Practical Example

Consider a questionnaire measuring **online learning satisfaction** with 25 items. After applying EFA:

- Only 4 factors emerge: technology support, content quality, instructor interaction, and learner engagement.
- 7 items show low loading (< 0.4) and are removed.
- Remaining items regroup logically within factors.

CFA confirms:

- Good model fit (CFI = 0.95, RMSEA = 0.06)
- High reliability (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.88$)

Thus, factor analysis significantly improves the instrument's precision and usability.

Advantages of Using Factor Analysis in Questionnaire Research

- Strengthens construct validity
- Minimizes measurement errors
- Produces shorter, more effective questionnaires
- Supports theory building
- Enhances the accuracy of statistical modeling
- Improves respondent experience
- Increases credibility of research findings

Challenges and Considerations

Despite its benefits, factor analysis has certain limitations:

- Requires large sample sizes
- Depends on researcher judgment in interpreting factors
- May produce unstable results with poor-quality items
- CFA requires advanced statistical skills and software (AMOS, LISREL, R, SPSS)

Proper training and methodological rigor are essential for effective application.

CONCLUSION

Factor analysis plays a critical role in improving questionnaire-based research design by uncovering underlying constructs, removing weak items, ensuring reliability, and enhancing overall measurement quality. When used systematically—from initial item generation to EFA and CFA validation—it transforms raw questionnaires into scientifically robust research instruments. As research becomes more data-driven, the use of advanced statistical techniques like factor analysis becomes essential for producing high-quality, valid, and meaningful results.

REFERENCES

1. Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2019). *Multivariate Data Analysis*. Pearson.
2. Field, A. (2018). *Discovering Statistics Using SPSS*. Sage Publications.
3. Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2020). *Using Multivariate Statistics*. Pearson.
4. DeVellis, R. F. (2016). *Scale Development: Theory and Applications*. Sage.
5. Byrne, B. M. (2016). *Structural Equation Modeling with AMOS*. Routledge.
6. Costello, A. B., & Osborne, J. (2005). "Best Practices in Exploratory Factor Analysis." *Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation*, 10(7).
7. MacCallum, R. C., Widaman, K. F., Zhang, S., & Hong, S. (1999). "Sample Size in Factor Analysis." *Psychological Methods*, 4(1), 84–99.
8. Gorsuch, R. L. (2015). *Factor Analysis: Classic Edition*. Routledge.
9. Brown, T. A. (2015). *Confirmatory Factor Analysis for Applied Research* (2nd ed.). Guilford Press.
10. Jöreskog, K. G. (1969). "A General Approach to Confirmatory Maximum Likelihood Factor Analysis." *Psychometrika*, 34, 183–202.