INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF LATEST TECHNOLOGY IN ENGINEERING, MANAGEMENT & APPLIED SCIENCE (IJLTEMAS)

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XIV, Issue XI, November 2025

Artificial Intelligence and the Human Brain: Exploring Effects on Cognitive Load, Memory, and Attention

Dr. Karunasree Padala

Vice Principal, EThames Degree College, Osmania University, Hyderabad, Telangana.

DOI : https://doi.org/10.51583/IJLTEMAS.2025.1411000068

Received: 25 November 2025; Accepted: 02 December 2025; Published: 10 December 2025

ABSTRACT

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has rapidly integrated into daily human activity, reshaping learning, working, and healthcare practices. While its potential to optimize efficiency is celebrated, there are rising concerns about its subtle yet significant effects on the human brain. This qualitative study explores how continuous reliance on AI influences cognitive load, memory retention, and attentional control. Data were gathered through semi-structured interviews with 40 participants, including students, educators, corporate professionals, health workers, and members of the general public. Four core themes emerged: (1) Cognitive Offloading and Dependency, (2) Memory Transformation, (3) Attention Fragmentation and Focus Shifts, and (4) Health and Wellbeing Concerns. Participants reported that while AI reduced task burden, it simultaneously created dependency, reshaped recall strategies, fragmented attention, and raised anxiety regarding overreliance. Interpretations suggest a paradox: AI lightens mental strain but erodes certain cognitive practices. The study highlights underexplored dimensions of how AI is reconfiguring mental functions, raising implications for education, workplace policies, and public health. Findings stress the importance of balancing AI use with active mental engagement to protect long-term cognitive health.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Cognitive Load, Memory, Attention, Health, Human Brain, Qualitative Research

INTRODUCTION

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is no longer confined to futuristic projections—it is an embedded reality in everyday human experience. From smartphones and workplace tools to healthcare systems and educational platforms, AI functions as both a silent assistant and an active decision-maker. It streamlines tasks, accelerates data analysis, and reduces manual errors, yet its increasing integration into personal and professional domains has sparked urgent debates regarding its impact on the human brain. While productivity benefits are well-documented, the long-term effects of sustained AI reliance on fundamental cognitive processes—thinking, remembering, and focusing—remain insufficiently studied.

The central problem motivating this research lies in the paradoxical relationship between humans and AI: the very technology designed to augment intelligence may simultaneously reduce the necessity of exercising natural cognitive faculties. Students increasingly rely on AI-generated summaries instead of actively processing study materials; professionals outsource calculations and decision-making to AI-driven tools; healthcare workers depend on automated alerts and AI diagnostics; even in daily life, reminders and digital assistants have replaced memory-based tasks. Over time, such dependency could reduce cognitive resilience and adaptability.

What is known is that AI assists with knowledge access and reduces human cognitive load. What is unknown is how this shift affects long-term memory structures, attentional control, and the brain's adaptive functions. Scholars have examined technology's influence on attention spans and memory (Carr, 2010; Sparrow et al., 2011), yet most focus on general digital use rather than specifically on AI, which introduces new dimensions of automation and decision-making delegation. Furthermore, while educators and psychologists speculate about AI-induced cognitive laziness, empirical qualitative evidence capturing lived human experiences across diverse groups remains limited.

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XIV, Issue XI, November 2025

What is missing from existing studies is a holistic exploration of AI's impact across multiple dimensions of cognition and health. Few studies integrate perspectives across sectors—students, teachers, professionals, health workers, and the general public. Even fewer address the emotional and psychological implications of dependency on AI, such as anxiety, stress, and reduced confidence in one's natural cognitive abilities.

Objectives

1. To explore Participants lived experiences of how AI tools influence cognitive load across diverse groups.
2. To investigate the development of dependency and cognitive offloading resulting from continuous AI reliance.
3. To examine transformations in memory retention and recall strategies due to AI use, such as shifts from internal storage to external navigation.
4. To assess the effects of AI on attentional control, including fragmentation, focus shifts, and multitasking induced by notifications and recommendations.
5. To identify health and well-being concerns linked to AI dependency, such as anxiety, stress, reduced self-confidence, and mental fatigue.

Aim and Hypothesis

This research aims to explore how AI use influences human cognition, with particular attention to cognitive load, memory, and attention, and to examine related health consequences. The study hypothesizes that while AI reduces immediate cognitive burden, it fosters dependency, alters memory strategies, and fragments attentional control, with broader implications for mental health and wellbeing.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Cognitive Load and AI

Cognitive Load Theory (Sweller, 1988) emphasizes the limitations of working memory in processing new information. AI tools—such as search engines, recommendation systems, and generative assistants—are increasingly deployed to reduce this load. Research suggests that by outsourcing problem-solving to external systems, humans preserve cognitive resources (Mayer, 2019). However, cognitive offloading raises concerns about "learned dependency," in which the brain adapts by reducing effort when performing tasks independently (Risko & Gilbert, 2016). This adaptation may result in diminished resilience when AI support is unavailable.

Memory Transformation in the Age of AI

The shift from internal memory to "externalized" memory has been documented since the digital revolution. Sparrow, Liu, and Wegner (2011) describe the "Google Effect," whereby individuals are less likely to remember facts if they know information is easily retrievable online. AI accelerates this transformation by not only storing but also synthesizing and predicting information. Consequently, humans may be moving from memory as "storage" to memory as "navigation"—remembering where to find knowledge rather than retaining it. While this allows efficiency, it raises concerns regarding the erosion of deep, long-term memory structures critical for creativity and problem-solving.

Attention Fragmentation

Research on attention in the digital age indicates a significant shortening of attention spans (Richtel, 2010). Multitasking, task-switching, and distraction from constant notifications are major contributors. AI compounds this issue by not just demanding attention but also tailoring it. Recommendation systems deliberately fragment focus by pushing algorithmically selected content. Studies highlight that attention fragmentation may impair sustained concentration and higher-order thinking (Ophir, Nass, & Wagner, 2009). While some argue that humans are evolving adaptive attention strategies, critics worry about long-term impacts on focus and mental stamina.

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XIV, Issue XI, November 2025

AI and Mental Health

Emerging studies link technology overuse to anxiety, stress, and reduced self-confidence (Twenge, 2017). AI adds a unique layer, as dependency may undermine self-efficacy. Health workers relying heavily on AI-driven diagnostic tools, for instance, may experience "deskilling," whereby professional intuition and judgment are underutilized. For students, constant reliance on AI in academic tasks may foster a sense of inadequacy in problem-solving without technological support. This dependency has potential ripple effects on mental health, self-esteem, and professional identity.

Underexplored Areas

Most literature is quantitative, focusing on measurable changes in attention span or performance outcomes. Qualitative explorations capturing lived human experiences across varied demographics remain sparse. Cross-sectoral analysis—comparing how AI affects students, professionals, or health workers—is also missing. Moreover, the intersection of AI use with broader health outcomes, such as mental fatigue, stress, and psychosocial wellbeing, is underexplored.

Synthesis: The literature identifies key risks of cognitive offloading, memory transformation, attention fragmentation, and potential mental health concerns. However, gaps remain in qualitative, multi-perspective, cross-sectoral studies—precisely what this paper aims to address.

METHODOLOGY:

Research Design

This study employs a qualitative phenomenological approach to explore and describe the lived experiences of individuals engaging with artificial intelligence. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with 40 participants, divided equally among five categories:

- Students
- Educators
- Corporate Professionals
- Health Workers
- General Public

Data Collection

Data were gathered using open-ended interview questions designed to explore perceptions of AI's effects on cognition and health.

Sample Open-Ended Questions:

1. How often do you use AI tools in your daily life, and for what purposes?
2. Can you describe a situation where AI reduced your mental burden?
3. Do you feel that your memory has changed since relying on AI? How?
4. Has AI affected your ability to concentrate on tasks? In what ways?
5. Do you think using AI influences your stress or anxiety levels?
6. In your opinion, how does AI affect your professional or educational growth?
7. Do you feel dependent on AI tools? Why or why not?
8. Have you experienced any negative consequences of AI use on your brain functions?
9. How do you balance using AI with relying on your own skills?
10. What suggestions would you give for healthier AI usage?

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XIV, Issue XI, November 2025

Data Analysis and Trustworthiness

Thematic analysis followed Braun and Clarke's six-phase approach, beginning with familiarization and open coding of transcripts, then grouping codes into themes refined iteratively. Coding was done manually by the lead researcher, with a codebook developed progressively to capture patterns in participants' experiences.

To ensure trustworthiness, multiple strategies were employed:

- Member checking with 25% of participants to verify accuracy of themes
- Peer debriefing and inter-coder discussion with two qualitative experts, achieving high agreement
- Reflexive journaling to acknowledge researcher bias and maintain transparency
- Thick descriptions and diverse participant sampling to enhance transferability

FINDINGS

Theme 1: Cognitive Offloading and Dependency

- **Students** admitted that AI reduces workload by summarizing texts but acknowledged becoming dependent: "I don't try to solve problems myself anymore—I just ask AI."
- **Educators** expressed concern: "Students are outsourcing thinking; their cognitive endurance is shrinking."
- **Corporate professionals** valued AI efficiency: "AI makes decision-making quicker, but I worry if I can function without it."
- **Health workers** felt conflicted: "AI alerts help, but I fear losing diagnostic instincts."
- **General public** shared mixed views: "It saves time, but sometimes I forget how to do basic things myself."

Interpretation: Across categories, AI was seen as both a relief and a crutch. Dependency emerged as a common thread, indicating reduced independent cognitive effort.

Theme 2: Memory Transformation

- **Students:** "I don't memorize anymore; I just remember the keywords to search."
- **Educators:** "Students' long-term retention is weakening—they remember how to find information, not the information itself."
- **Corporate professionals:** "I recall processes less because AI automates them."
- **Health workers:** "Overreliance risks us forgetting clinical pathways."
- **General public:** "I depend on reminders for everything—birthdays, tasks, even shopping lists."

Interpretation: Participants described a shift from internal to externalized memory. Memory functions are being redefined from storing facts to navigating systems that store them.

Theme 3: Attention Fragmentation

- **Students:** "I get distracted by AI notifications even while studying."
- **Educators:** "Classroom focus has dropped—students multitask with AI tools."
- **Corporate professionals:** "AI pushes constant alerts, breaking concentration."
- **Health workers:** "Multiple automated prompts can overwhelm instead of help."
- **General public:** "I can't focus on reading long texts; AI has made me impatient."

Interpretation: Attention fragmentation was widespread. While AI increases access to information, it also disrupts sustained focus and promotes multitasking.

Theme 4: Health and Wellbeing Concerns

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XIV, Issue XI, November 2025

- **Students:** "I feel anxious if I can't access AI when I need it."
- **Educators:** "Overuse is making students less confident in their thinking."
- **Corporate professionals:** "Stress rises when systems fail—I rely too much on them."
- **Health workers:** "Dependence makes us anxious about clinical autonomy."
- **General public:** "I feel mentally lazy and guilty for depending too much."

Interpretation: AI influences emotional and psychological health. Stress, anxiety, and reduced self-confidence were common consequences of dependency across all categories.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

The study confirmed that AI reduces cognitive load but fosters dependency, alters memory practices, fragments attention, and raises health concerns. These findings align with existing literature on digital technology while extending it by focusing specifically on AI and incorporating multi-sectoral perspectives.

Comparison with Literature

Consistent with Sparrow et al. (2011), participants reported memory externalization. The observed attention fragmentation echoes Ophir et al. (2009), though AI-driven personalization intensifies this effect. The reliance on self-reported data introduces potential bias, and this study did not include objective quantitative measures to assess cognitive function. Unlike previous studies, this paper offers empirical insights across students, educators, professionals, health workers, and the general public simultaneously.

limitations:

The study's qualitative design limits generalizability. The sample size (40) was modest and context-specific. Self-reported experiences are susceptible to inherent biases, and the study did not incorporate quantitative assessments of cognitive function.

Implications And Recommendations:

Findings highlight the dual role of AI in easing cognitive load while promoting dependency, memory shifts, attention fragmentation, and well-being concerns, requiring balanced integration strategies across sectors.

Educational Practice:

- Incorporate AI-assisted and AI-free tasks to sustain problem-solving stamina and deep processing.
- Design assignments emphasizing reasoning transparency over final outputs, using AI as a scaffold.
- Integrate AI literacy and metacognitive training to foster critical use and reduce uncritical reliance.

Workplace Training:

- Implement human-in-the-loop protocols where employees critically evaluate AI suggestions.
- Train on distinguishing routine automation from complex judgment to avert skill erosion**.**
- Establish norms requiring documented human reasoning alongside AI analytics for accountability.

Healthcare Settings:

- Position AI as decision support while mandating independent diagnostic reasoning exercises.
- Conduct regular case reviews comparing human and AI judgments to calibrate intuition.
- Address AI-related deskilling anxiety through continuing education and coping strategies**.**

Individual Strategies:

- Schedule AI-free deep work periods to rebuild attention and cognitive endurance.

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XIV, Issue XI, November 2025

- Practice memory workouts like unaided recall to preserve internal storage functions.
- Adopt reflective use by attempting solutions before AI consultation.

Policy Guidelines:

- Create institutional policies with notification limits and AI-free zones for cognitive health.
- Monitor dependence and stress longitudinally to enable adaptive interventions.
- Launch campaigns portraying AI as a cognitive partner to protect memory and well-being.

CONCLUSION

This study explored how AI influences cognitive load, memory, and attention, while examining associated health implications. The purpose was to investigate not only how AI alleviates the mental burden but also how it reshapes and, at times, undermines core cognitive processes."

Findings reaffirm the study's aim: AI reduces effort but fosters dependency, reshapes memory into navigation rather than retention, fragments attention, and raises stress and anxiety. While AI delivers undeniable benefits, its overuse may compromise cognitive resilience and mental health.

Limitations, such as sample size and the lack of quantitative measures, restrict the scope, yet the study contributes valuable cross-sectoral insights.

Future research should adopt longitudinal mixed-method approaches, measure neurocognitive changes directly, and explore intervention strategies to maintain a healthy human-AI balance.

Ultimately, the challenge is not rejecting AI but integrating it responsibly—using AI as a tool to enhance cognition, not replace it.

REFERENCES

1. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77–101.
2. Carr, N. (2010). The shallows: What the Internet is doing to our brains. W. W. Norton.
3. Chattaraj, A., Singh, R., & Gupta, V. (2024). Artificial intelligence in positive mental health promotion: A narrative review. Indian Journal of Psychiatry, 66(1), 15–26.
4. Firth, J., Torous, J., Stubbs, B., Firth, J. A., Steiner, G., Smith, L., Alvarez-Jimenez, M., Gleeson, J., Vancampfort, D., Armitage, C. J., & Sarris, J. (2019). The "online brain": How the Internet may be changing cognition. World Psychiatry, 18(2), 119–129.
5. Bai, L., Wang, J., & Li, X. (2023). ChatGPT and the human mind: Cognitive opportunities and risks for learning and memory. Brain and Behavior, 13(4), e03012.
6. Fan, L., Zhang, Y., & Wang, W. (2022). Measuring cognitive load in AI-assisted second language writing: Development and validation of the CL-AI-L2W scale. Frontiers in Psychology, 13, 966974.
7. Khan, M. A., Rehman, A. U., & Abbas, A. (2024). Application of artificial intelligence in cognitive load detection and regulation: A systematic review. Expert Systems with Applications, 242, 122795.
8. Lan, M., Zhou, Y., & Chen, H. (2025). Artificial intelligence–empowered self-regulated learning in higher education: A qualitative systematic review. npj Science of Learning, 10(1), 12.
9. Lanaj, K., Johnson, R. E., & Barnes, C. M. (2014). Beginning the workday yet already depleted? Consequences of late-night smartphone use and sleep. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 124(1), 11–23.
10. Loh, K. K., & Kanai, R. (2016). How has the Internet reshaped human cognition? The Neuroscientist, 22(5), 506–520.
11. Ma, Y., Sun, P., & Zhang, Q. (2023). Human–AI interactions and cognitive well-being: A scoping review of behavioral and emotional impacts. Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence, 6, 1187423.

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XIV, Issue XI, November 2025

12. Mayer, R. E. (2019). Thirty years of research on online learning. Educational Psychologist, 54(3), 185–196.
13. Montag, C., & Diefenbach, S. (2018). Towards Homo digitalis: Important research issues for psychology and the neurosciences at the dawn of the Internet of Things and the digital society. Sustainability, 10(2), 415.
14. Ophir, E., Nass, C., & Wagner, A. D. (2009). Cognitive control in media multitaskers. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 106(37), 15583–15587.
15. Rahwan, I., Cebrian, M., Obradovich, N., Bongard, J., Bonnefon, J.-F., Breazeal, C., Crandall, J. W., Christakis, N. A., Couzin, I. D., Jackson, M. O., Jennings, N. R., Kamar, E., Kloumann, I. M., Larochelle, H., Lazer, D., McElreath, R., Mislove, A., Parkes, D. C., … Wellman, M. (2019). Machine behaviour. Nature, 568(7753), 477–486.
16. Risko, E. F., & Gilbert, S. J. (2016). Cognitive offloading. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 20(9), 676–688.
17. Roda, C., & Thomas, J. (2006). Attention aware systems: Theories, applications, and research agenda. Computers in Human Behavior, 22(4), 557–587.
18. Sparrow, B., Liu, J., & Wegner, D. M. (2011). Google's effects on memory: Cognitive consequences of having information at our fingertips. Science, 333(6043), 776–778.
19. Twenge, J. M. (2017). iGen: Why today's super-connected kids are growing up less rebellious, more tolerant, less happy—and completely unprepared for adulthood. Simon & Schuster.
20. Turel, O., & Bechara, A. (2019). Effects of technology use on the brain and cognitive functioning: A review. Addictive Behaviors Reports, 9, 100159.
21. Ward, A. F. (2013). Supernormal: "How the Internet is changing our memories and our minds." Psychological Inquiry, 24(4), 341–348.