

# Advancements and Frameworks in IoT-Based Air Pollution Monitoring Systems

Yash Gaonkar<sup>1</sup>; Prof. Siddhant Jalmi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Student, Dept. of ECE Agnel Institute of Technology and Design, Goa, India

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Dept. of ECE Agnel Institute of Technology and Design, Goa, India

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.51583/IJLTEMAS.2026.1502000038>

Received: 16 February 2025; Accepted: 23 February 2026; Published: 05 March 2026

## ABSTRACT

The Internet of Things (IoT) has emerged as a key technology for scalable, real-time environmental monitoring, addressing the growing global challenge of air pollution. This review synthesizes findings from more than twenty major deployments across urban, industrial and smart-city environments, including implementations from Hindustan Institute of Technology [1], Sathyabama Institute [2], Taiwan's integrated governance framework [3], the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences [4] and low-cost deployments in developing regions. Comparative analysis spans sensing hardware, communication technologies (WiFi, LTE/4G, LoRa), cloud architectures, machine learning integration and field performance. Results indicate significant improvements in spatial coverage, real-time responsiveness and data-driven governance, while highlighting persistent challenges in sensor calibration, cybersecurity and long-term reliability. Emerging advances in edge intelligence, spectral sensing, federated learning and hybrid communication architectures are examined as pathways toward next-generation environmental monitoring. This consolidated review provides a structured framework for scalable, resilient and policy-integrated IoT air-quality monitoring systems.

**Keywords:** IoT, air pollution monitoring, environmental sensors, real-time data, machine learning, smart cities, sustainability, cloud computing

## INTRODUCTION

Air pollution is a major environmental and public health threat, contributing to approximately 4.2 million premature deaths annually worldwide. Rapid urbanization, industrial growth and increased vehicular emissions have intensified environmental degradation, particularly in densely populated metropolitan areas. Traditional monitoring systems rely on centralized stations, offering limited spatial coverage, delayed reporting and high operational costs, restricting accessibility and real-time response [19][20].

IoT-based monitoring enables distributed sensing, low-power wireless communication, cloud analytics and machine learning, providing continuous, real-time and spatially dense environmental observation. Compared with legacy systems, IoT architectures deliver immediate data availability, scalable deployment and improved decision-making across municipal, regional and national governance levels [19][20].

This review synthesizes empirical evidence from multiple deployments, including Hindustan Institute of Technology and Science [1], Sathyabama Institute [2], Taoyuan smart city infrastructure [3], Bulgarian reliability studies [4] and community-driven low-cost systems [6]. The objective is to identify technological evolution, comparative performance, documented outcomes, persistent challenges and future research directions.

## SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW METHODOLOGY

This review follows a systematic approach aligned with PRISMA guidelines to ensure comprehensive and reproducible selection of studies. Databases searched include IEEE Xplore, Scopus, Google Scholar, and

SpringerLink (January 2018–October 2025). Keywords: 'IoT air pollution monitoring', 'IoT environmental sensors', 'smart city air quality IoT', 'LoRa/WiFi air pollution'. Inclusion criteria: peer-reviewed English papers on empirical IoT deployments with hardware/comms/ML details, > 6 months field testing. Exclusion: simulations only, non-IoT sensors.

## Hardware Architectures and Sensor Technologies

### Microcontroller Platforms

IoT air pollution monitoring systems employ diverse microcontroller architectures optimized for cost, power and processing capability.

**Arduino / ATmega Series:** The ATmega328P platform, used in Hindustan and Sathyabama deployments, provides 32 kB flash, 2 kB SRAM, 1 kB EEPROM, 23 GPIO pins, 10-bit ADC and serial communication interfaces (UART, SPI, I<sup>2</sup>C). Low-power operation supports battery-based deployments and its cost (≈\$2–5) enables scalable use in developing regions [1][2].

**NodeMCU ESP8266:** The ESP8266 integrates WiFi (802.11 b/g/n), up to 17 GPIOs, 10-bit ADC and clock speeds up to 160 MHz. Eliminating external communication modules, it enables compact real-time IoT nodes widely deployed in India, Senegal and Coimbatore [6][7].

**Raspberry Pi and Advanced Platforms:** Industrial and smart-city deployments employ Raspberry Pi-class single-board computers with multi-core processors, 1–8 GB RAM and full Linux operating systems, enabling edge analytics, local preprocessing and complex system integration [3][4].

### Gas Sensor Technologies

Sensor selection critically determines system capabilities, accuracy and cost-effectiveness.

**MQ-135 Multi-Gas Sensor:** Deployed across Hindustan Institute, Sathyabama and international implementations the MQ-135 detects CO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, benzene, smoke and other pollutants. Operating on tin dioxide (SnO<sub>2</sub>) semiconductor principles with a heated ceramic sensing element, it responds to gas exposure through changes in electrical conductivity.[1][2]

#### Specifications include:

- Detection range: 10 ppm to 10,000 ppm
- Response time: <\$10 s
- Operating temperature: –10 °C to 50 °C
- Sensitivity adjustable via potentiometer
- Output: Analog voltage (0–5 V) proportional to gas concentration
- Cost: \$3–5 per unit

**MQ-7 Carbon Monoxide Sensor:** Designed for CO detection with a typical range of 20–2000 ppm; used in traffic pollution assessment.

#### MQ-5 and MQ-6 Gas Sensors:

- MQ-5 detects LPG, methane and natural gas (200–10,000 ppm).
- MQ-6 targets LPG, isobutane and propane; common in industrial and vehicle monitoring.

**Environmental Integration Sensors:** DHT11/DHT22 humidity and temperature sensors (approximately  $\pm 2^\circ\text{C}$  for temperature,  $\pm 5\%$  for humidity) provide critical context variables affecting sensor readings and air quality interpretation.

### Sensor Calibration and Accuracy

A persistent challenge for air pollution monitoring across IoT implementations lies in ensuring long-term sensor accuracy and reliability. Field reports from Hindustan Institute and Sathyabama consistently documented deviations as high as  $\pm 10\%$  [1][2] for commodity gas sensors in varied environmental conditions. This drift arises from changes in humidity, temperature and physical fouling compounding over months of operation and eroding confidence in longitudinal datasets. More recent research from the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences employed Bayesian Deep Belief Neural Networks (BDBN) for auto-calibration, achieving reliability scores exceeding 95% [4]. Best practices for reliability, as compiled from international studies, include routine cross-validation against reference stations, developing firmware algorithms for temperature/humidity compensation, scheduled periodic recalibration and deployment in robust enclosures.[4][30]

### Data Transmission and Communication Protocols

#### WiFi-Based Urban Deployments

WiFi remains the transmission backbone for most urban IoT air monitoring networks. Its popularity is due to widespread municipal infrastructure, high bandwidth (enabling transmission of rich, high-frequency datasets) and low latency with many systems reporting latencies comfortably below 100 ms. Security protocols like WPA2 ensure reasonable protection against data interception and unauthorized access. Moreover, compatibility with existing city networks makes integration cost-effective.

WiFi-aware deployments are not without problems. Urban environments present numerous “dead zones” owing to building density and interference and data reliability suffers in areas more than 50–100 meters from public routers. Power draw during active transmission—often around 80 mW is nontrivial, impacting battery longevity unless nodes are plugged into mains or augmented with solar charging. Lastly, system throughput and stability can drop sharply during periods of network congestion, restricting reliable monitoring in megacities or areas of rapid population growth.[8]

#### LTE/4G Cellular Networks

Industrial, mobile, and vehicular networks often pivot to LTE/4G protocols for their enhanced geographic coverage and seamless mobility. These systems can support data collection from devices mounted on motorcycles, cars and industrial plants spread across broad areas. Integration with regulatory databases is an emerging trend, promising near real-time regulatory enforcement and dynamic threshold management.

Despite these strengths, cellular deployments introduce higher per-node operational costs—commonly ranging from \$5 to \$15 per device monthly—and depend heavily on regional cellular provider availability. They also tend to experience fluctuating latency (from 50 to 200 ms), which may lag behind WiFi for highly granular, time-sensitive measurements.

#### LoRaWAN Long-Range Protocol

Deployments in rural and industrial contexts increasingly favor LoRaWAN for its ultra-low power consumption (often below 10 mW during transmission) and impressive spatial reach (up to 10 km in open terrain, 1–3 km in urban settings). LoRa enables periodic, low-bandwidth readings at minimal cost, which is ideal for distributed sensor networks in resource-constrained environments.

However, LoRa’s bandwidth limitations mean payloads are small and transmission frequency is low, reducing temporal resolution and making it less suitable for rapid-response urban air quality tracking. Nevertheless, among large-area deployments with cost constraints, LoRa delivers robust performance.

## Cloud Integration and Data Transmission Protocols

Modern IoT platforms coordinate device-to-cloud data flows using protocols like MQTT and secured HTTP/HTTPS. The typical operational pipeline first preprocesses and calibrates raw sensor data on-device (Edge Preprocessing), then transmits via MQTT to brokers hosted by providers such as AWS, Google Cloud, or ThingSpeak (publish–subscribe mechanism).

Data is stored in cloud time-series databases with all relevant metadata; finally, web dashboards, mobile apps, or public APIs visualize the measurements for stakeholders. Specific platforms such as Blynk streamline integration and support threshold-based alerting, further enhancing utility for researchers and citizens.[9][10]

## Data Analytics and Machine Learning Integration

### Urban Networks:Hindustan Institute and Sathyabama Projects

The Hindustan Institute’s Chennai-based deployment (2021–2022) demonstrated the benefits of close-knit sensor networks, combining CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, temperature and humidity monitoring via MQ-7 and MQ-5 sensors linked to Arduino Uno microcontrollers and ESP8266 modules. Real-time monitoring was achieved, with all sensor readings reliably transmitted to Google Cloud’s Firebase and visualized on mobile apps. Following local awareness campaigns and system data utilization, PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations dropped by 15–22% in monitored neighborhoods. Uptime was exceptional (>98%), and the sensor readings remained within ±8–12% deviation against reference stations, reinforcing the value of regular recalibration and robust system architecture.[1]

Sathyabama Institute’s multi-node Chennai network similarly scaled from 12 to 15 sensor nodes, achieving comprehensive multi-pollutant tracking (CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>) across residential, commercial and industrial zones. Data aggregation leveraged WiFi and cloud storage, supporting a data delivery success rate above 90% and consistent sensor deviation below 10%. The system’s cost-effectiveness (approx. \$150–\$200 per node) enabled city-wide expansion, a point validated through project scalability pilots.[2]

### Smart City Integration: Taoyuan City, Taiwan

**System Architecture:** The Taoyuan smart-city IoT air-quality system consists of over 200 distributed multi-gas sensor nodes deployed across industrial, residential, and traffic zones. A hybrid LTE–WiFi communication backbone provides redundancy and resilient data transfer. Each node performs local preprocessing before transmitting data to a centralized municipal platform, where cloud analytics detect threshold exceedances, trends, and pollution hotspots. Automated workflows notify regulators and stakeholders in near real time, enabling integration with public dashboards, regulatory databases, and environmental health systems [3].

**Documented Outcomes:** The Taoyuan deployment has been extensively documented in both technical and high-impact environmental science journals. Over a five-year period, annual average PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations decreased dramatically from 42 to 28  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ , representing about a 33% reduction directly attributed to the data-driven interventions supported by the IoT network. Simultaneously, citywide NO levels fell by approximately 15% as identified in time-series analyses spanning before-and-after implementation periods. Public satisfaction surveys, tracked annually, revealed strong improvements, with approval of air quality management strategies rising from 42% to 71% between 2018 and 2023.

Equally important, policy response times to acute pollution events shortened drastically: whereas pre-IoT regulatory action often involved multi-month lags, current workflows now support city-level interventions within 24–48 hours—sometimes same-day for high-priority situations.[3]

**Integration with Urban Planning:** Real-time sensor data supports adaptive traffic rerouting during pollution spikes and automated industrial compliance monitoring. Emission exceedances trigger regulatory enforcement and fines. Health integration correlates pollution data with respiratory admissions for early-warning capability. Spatial analytics guide urban greening and pollution mitigation planning, demonstrating direct linkage between IoT sensing and city-level environmental governance [3]

## Industrial Monitoring: Dr NGP Institute and Manu- facturing Zones

The industrial air pollution monitoring system developed at Dr NGP Institute (Coimbatore), in line with contemporary best practices for industrial IoT, uti- lizes an array of SO<sub>2</sub>, CO, NO<sub>2</sub> and particulate sensors positioned at key facility outlets to ensure continuous, real-time emissions surveillance. Each sensor unit is connected via a LoRa or LTE network to centralized industrial monitoring centers, offering both high spatial coverage and robust resilience to communica- tion disruptions—essential in scattered, interference-prone factory environments. The data is not simply logged; embedded machine learning algorithms analyze emissions patterns and predict compliance risks and the entire system is deeply integrated with local and municipal regulatory databases.[7]

The system’s operational outcomes have been impressive. Toxic emissions are detected in real time, allowing for rapid notification and preventative action: for example, policy response metrics show an average of just 15–30 minutes from exceedance to action, outpacing traditional methods by an order of magnitude. Within the initial six-month deployment window, 45 major facilities received automated corrective notices based on detected out-of-bounds emissions events. Most notably, the overall compliance rate among participating industries rose dramatically: baseline rates (62%) jumped to 89% post-implementation, at- tributed largely to the transparent, data-driven feedback loops, frequent report- ing and automated alerting enabled by the IoT system. Health outcomes were also recorded, with a 32% reduction in local respiratory complaints in com- munities adjacent to monitored industrial zones evidence that such emission mitigation can have rapid, meaningful public health benefits.[7]

## Low-Cost Community Solutions: Africa and South Asia

In parallel to industrial systems, much recent research focuses on democratiz- ing air quality data through low-cost, community-centric monitoring initiatives. Typical system designs leverage cheap, reliable components such as the ESP8266 microcontroller, MQ-135 and DHT11 sensors and locally-sourced LCDs and pro- tective enclosures, for an estimated total hardware outlay of just \$40–\$60 per unit. Open-source firmware, commonly based on the Arduino IDE, guaran- tees adaptability and local maintainability, while ThingSpeak (or similar) dash- boards provide real-time visualization and threshold-based alert notifications on both computers and mobile devices—crucial for timely public health response in underserved regions.[6]

The results are strong on several dimensions. Community-managed nodes have demonstrated 18–24 months of stable operation, with data collection rates consistently between 85–92% despite occasional power or signal outages. Unlike top-down deployments, public access is a central design goal: open dashboards typically see 200–400 active users per monitoring zone and local stakeholders are routinely consulted for software upgrades and maintenance scheduling. Be- havioral impacts have also been documented—citizen awareness of air pollution hazards has risen noticeably, with observable changes in daily activity patterns (e.g., avoiding outdoor exposure during local spikes), suggesting data not only informs but measurably protects public health. Most importantly, the local capacity for low-cost repair and replacement ensures sustainability and fosters tech stewardship within the community, closing the loop between innovation, adoption and lived experience.[6]

## Comparative Analysis of System Architectures

Dimension	Urban WiFi	Smart City LTE	Industrial LoRa
Primary Platforms	Arduino, NodeMCU	Raspberry Pi, Industrial PLC	LoRa gateway, custom boards
Cost per Node	\$150–250	\$500–900	\$250–500
Deployment Den- sity	> 20 per km <sup>2</sup>	0.5–1 per km <sup>2</sup>	2–10 per 50 km <sup>2</sup>
Data Latency	< 100 ms	50–200 ms	2–10 minutes

Communication Range	50–100 m (indoor)	City-wide (>100 km)	1–10 km (rural)
Power Consumption	0.5–1 W	> 5 W	< 0.2 W
Scalability	High(WiF, infrastructure)	Very High (cellular)	High (sparse/industrial)
Data Accuracy	±5%	<1%	±10%
Government Integration	Limited	Extensive municipal	Industrial compliance
ML Implementation	Decision trees, basic	Deep learning (SDNN, LSTM)	Threshold, ensemble
Health Impact	Moderate	High (PM2.5 reduction 20–30%)	High (incidents prevented)

Table 1: Comparative summary of IoT architectures from PRISMA-selected studies (n=30), highlighting platforms, performance, and outcomes."

## Machine Learning Performance and Reliability

### BDBN Algorithm Performance in Reliability Analysis

A significant innovation in reliability assessment for IoT air pollution monitoring has been introduced by the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, who developed and validated a Bat-based Deep Belief Neural Network (BDBN) framework tailored for risk factor prediction in air quality data. This approach was tested rigorously under a range of challenging network and sensor error scenarios, representing real-world environmental conditions.

To test robustness, the evaluation involved deliberately injecting noise in the transport layer (with a simulated packet loss rate between 5% and 15%) and introducing occasional outlier sensor readings to emulate field anomalies. The BDBN model demonstrated remarkable resilience: when classifying the air quality state (e.g., “safe”, “alert”, “hazard”), it achieved a 95.3% accuracy rate, vastly outperforming traditional regression models under these disruptive conditions. Quantitatively, the mean absolute error (MAE) for carbon monoxide concentration predictions was just 3.2 ppm for BDBN, compared to 8.7 ppm for simple regression. Similarly, the root mean square error (RMSE) for BDBN was 5.1 ppm, as opposed to 12.4 ppm for regression—clear evidence of enhanced reliability and predictive stability.[4]

Correlational performance metrics further highlighted the improvement: the Pearson correlation coefficient was  $r = 0.94$  (indicating a very strong linear relationship between predicted and reference values) and the coefficient of determination reached  $R^2 = 0.88$ , showing that 88% of sensor reading variance was accurately captured by the model. The system’s overall error rate, notably the rate of air quality “state” misclassification, was reduced to just 4.7%, an order-of-magnitude better than rule-based models.[4]

The broader literature on BDBN and related deep neural network methods for IoT sensor reliability corroborates these findings, noting that embedded optimization and adaptive learning approaches yield dramatic gains in resilience, self-calibrating performance and cost-effectiveness for real-world environmental monitoring networks.

### Deep Learning for Predictive Analytics

The adoption of deep learning and ensemble machine learning techniques for real-time air pollution prediction is a rapidly maturing trend in IoT-based air quality research. Hemanth Karnati’s work, leveraging the ThingSpeak IoT cloud and sensor data streams, provides a representative case study of these approaches in practice. In his experiments, sensor networks comprising low-cost MQ135 and MQ3 modules captured on-the-fly air composition across urban settings. The resulting data, stored and managed on the ThingSpeak platform,

was subjected to machine learning analysis using both classical models (like Random Forest) and neural network variants such as LSTM and GRU, which are well-suited to time-series forecasting.[5]

Model evaluations demonstrated that short-term (24–72 hour) pollution level forecasting could be achieved with an accuracy of 82–88%, based on Mean Absolute Error (MAE) and Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) metrics for AQI prediction. This performance was competitive with or superior to traditional regression and older threshold-based approaches, particularly under complex and noisy urban data conditions. Critical for public health, these models also excelled in anomaly detection tasks: for pollution spike events or sensor drift outliers, the model achieved 91% sensitivity in detecting out-of-baseline events, allowing for early warnings and automated responses (such as user alerts or actuator deployment).[5]

Importantly, the implementation design ensured that false-positive rates—the likelihood of issuing unnecessary alerts—remained in the moderate range of 8–12%, which is considered a reasonable trade-off in operational smart city deployments that prioritize safety and rapid notification. End-to-end latency, from sensor measurement to cloud data processing and mobile notification, was measured at roughly 3–4 seconds in practical trials, affirming the viability of deep learning IoT platforms for near-real-time public health interventions. These findings are further corroborated by literature synthesizing multi-sensor deployments with deep learning pipelines on open-source cloud platforms, showing that the convergence of IoT hardware, cloud and advanced machine learning is a robust pathway for scalable, actionable urban air quality forecasting and management[5]

### Comparison with Traditional Methods

The emergence of machine learning (ML) for air quality analysis marks a substantial leap beyond threshold-based alerting systems that have long dominated field deployments. Conventional threshold approaches typically trigger warnings when pollutant concentrations exceed fixed regulatory values, without accounting for sensor drift, local variation, or complex pollutant interactions. This results in frequent false alarms or missed early warnings, limiting utility for public health protection and policy response.

In contrast, ML-based forecasting and classification systems—whether based on decision trees, random forests, or deep learning—consistently demonstrate a significant advantage in predictive performance. Comparative studies in both academic and applied settings report that ML systems improve forecast accuracy by 15–25% relative to naive threshold rules when measured by mean absolute error and out-of-sample prediction.

This boost derives from ML's ability to capture nonlinear dependencies across variables (such as the combined influence of meteorological parameters, emissions and seasonality) that threshold logic cannot model. Machine learning approaches are also more adaptable to new environments and evolving emission patterns, a key strength for urban deployments and smart city applications.[9]

Furthermore, advanced models - especially those employing ensemble methods, deep learning architectures like BDBN or LSTM, or hybrid approaches—demonstrate substantial reductions in the rate of false positives. Across multiple peer-reviewed field studies and benchmark datasets, false alarm rates have been reduced by 20–35% versus classic threshold systems. The inclusion of ML-driven anomaly detection or dynamic filtering also allows for early identification of sensor faults, data drift, or extreme pollution events, a critical benefit in practical deployments.[10]

Perhaps most importantly for operational use, real-time ML processing—whether on the sensor edge or in the cloud—enables actionable advance warning: practical deployments report early alerts 30–60 minutes before pollution episodes reach regulatory limits, a lead time sufficient to activate mitigation protocols ranging from traffic rerouting to issuing public health advisories.

This early detection is made possible by the models' ability to exploit subtle temporal and spatial trends invisible to static rules. Consequently, real-world deployments integrating ML analytics with IoT sensor networks not only increase data trustworthiness but materially improve the speed and effectiveness of urban air quality management.

## Challenges and Limitations

### Sensor Calibration and Drift

Low-cost sensors such as MQ-135 exhibit long-term drift of 8–15% over 18–24 months due to aging, environmental exposure, and particulate fouling. Humidity and temperature variations can introduce additional  $\pm 5$ –10% error without compensation algorithms. Mitigation strategies include periodic recalibration, auto-calibration using reference stations, environmental compensation firmware, protective enclosures, and redundant sensor deployment. These practices restore accuracy to approximately  $\pm 5$ –8% in field conditions [4].

### Data Privacy and Security

IoT air-quality systems require encrypted communication (MQTT over TLS/SSL) to prevent data interception and spoofing. Weak authentication and unencrypted transmission remain common vulnerabilities. Secure deployments require authenticated APIs, access control, rate limiting, and multi-factor authentication. Open data standards are recommended to avoid vendor lock-in and ensure portability. Continuous auditing and penetration testing are essential for maintaining data integrity and public trust [9][10].

### Network Connectivity and Reliability

Connectivity disruptions arise from urban dead zones (affecting ~5–15% of monitored areas), rain attenuation (signal reduction 10–30%), and power interruptions. Modern systems mitigate these issues using multi-network redundancy, local buffering, adaptive transmission scheduling, and failover mechanisms to ensure uninterrupted data continuity [8].

### Scalability Economics

Although hardware costs range from \$40–\$250 per node, large-scale deployment introduces installation (\$100–\$300 per node), maintenance (15–25% annually), and cloud/data costs (\$0–\$50 per node/month). Five-year total cost of ownership typically ranges from \$800–\$2,000 per node. Cost optimization strategies include modular hardware, open-source software, OTA updates, infrastructure sharing, and local maintenance training [9][10].

## Future Directions and Recommendations

### Hardware Evolution

**Next-Generation Sensors:** The past few years have witnessed a surge in innovation in air quality sensor hardware, with particular progress in spectral sensing, AI-enabled edge devices and the miniaturization of analytical platforms.

Recent advances include spectral sensing, AI-enabled edge devices, and miniaturized spectrometers capable of multi-pollutant detection with improved selectivity and reduced recalibration needs. Edge AI enables real-time anomaly detection and localized inference using lightweight models, reducing latency and bandwidth. Wearable air-quality monitors extend monitoring to personal exposure tracking and epidemiological research.

Energy autonomy is advancing through hybrid power solutions combining solar, thin-film batteries, thermoelectric and vibration harvesting, enabling long-term autonomous deployment with minimal maintenance [1][2].

### Communication and Connectivity

#### 5G Integration:

The deployment of 5G networks for IoT-based air quality monitoring is rapidly transforming possibilities for sensor data throughput, system latency and network reliability. 5G technology, with its enhanced data rates—often exceeding 100 Mbps for IoT modules—and ultra-low latency (as low as 1–20 milliseconds), supports the

transmission of large, real-time datasets from dense sensor arrays and enables true city-wide mobile and stationary node connectivity. One of the most significant architectural advancements is network slicing, which allows dedicated “virtual networks” over 5G infrastructure to be optimized for mission-critical environmental monitoring: for example, slices can be configured for ultra-reliability and low latency in disaster-prone zones, ensuring air pollution alerts or compliance data arrive instantly and securely, even during periods of network congestion or emergency response. Additionally, 5G’s support for multi-access edge computing allows real-time analytics, ML inference and rapid public dashboard updates right at the edge, reducing cloud dependency and enabling rapid regulatory and health interventions.

**Satellite IoT:** Non-terrestrial networks—especially new constellations of low Earth orbit (LEO) satellites—are rapidly closing the “connectivity gap” for remote, rural, or infrastructure-limited regions. Initiatives such as SpaceX’s Starlink (with >7,000 active satellites) and Amazon’s Project Kuiper (targeting 3,236 satellites, operational by 2029) now offer global, low-latency broadband with bandwidth and antenna technology designed to natively support IoT traffic at scale. Satellite IoT solutions seamlessly integrate with terrestrial 4G/5G, LoRaWAN, or WiFi air quality sensors, enabling deployment in deserts, mountainous terrain, oceans, or sparsely populated regions—the “final mile” of smart environmental monitoring. Starlink currently supports download speeds of 50–220 Mbps and latency as low as 20–30 ms, while Kuiper aims to deliver sub-100 ms latency and low-cost terminals.

Global coverage and platform interoperability, when combined with automatic failover and cloud integration, mean that even the most isolated sensors can continuously participate in coordinated monitoring, alerting and cross-border environmental inquiries. As both terrestrial 5G/6G and LEO satellite IoT mature, hybrid architectures are expected to become the norm for government, enterprise and NGO-led environmental monitoring initiatives.[8][9][10]

## Artificial Intelligence and Analytics

**Federated Learning for Privacy and Scalability:** Federated learning (FL) is emerging as a transformative approach for building privacy-preserving, scalable and decentralized AI across IoT-enabled air quality sensor networks. Rather than transferring raw sensor data to centralized cloud platforms, FL enables each device or local edge node to train its own model using local data. Only model updates or weights—without individual sensor’s raw data—are then aggregated on a global server, radically reducing transmission volumes and safeguarding sensitive environmental or citizen information. Multiple studies show FL achieves forecast performance very close to that of centralized deep learning while drastically improving privacy and reducing risks of data leakage, making it especially attractive for smart city or cross-jurisdictional deployments.

**Transfer Learning to New Regions and Sparse Datasets:** Classic deep learning models require large amounts of locally labelled air quality data, posing a challenge for cities or regions with newly deployed or sparse sensor networks. Transfer learning overcomes this limitation by leveraging pre-trained neural networks (often LSTM, Bi-GRU, or CNN-LSTM composites) developed in data-rich cities or sites and adapting their weights or patterns to target domains with less historical data. Studies demonstrate that transfer learning can maintain, or even improve, predictive accuracy for AQI and pollutant levels, enable cross-city forecast deployment and dramatically reduce both computational cost and required annotation effort, accelerating scalable model rollout and enabling robust operation in cities still building monitoring infrastructure.

**Explainable AI for Stakeholder Trust:** The “black box” nature of neu-

ral networks has traditionally hindered adoption of deep ML for decision making in public health and policy. Explainable AI (XAI) frameworks such as SHAP (SHapley Additive Explanations), LIME (Local Interpretable Model-Agnostic Explanations) and feature attribution methods are now being integrated with ensemble models (Random Forest, XGBoost) and neural predictors to transparently show which sensor features (e.g., PM2.5, traffic, humidity) are most influential in each prediction. XAI research for air quality and health risk assessment not only builds trust among policymakers, regulators and citizens, but also enables more precise interventions and calibration.

**Physics-Informed Neural Networks:** While data-driven AI models excel at pattern discovery, they often lack explicit modeling of the known physical and chemical processes that govern pollution formation, transport and dissipation. Recent advances integrate physics-informed neural networks (PINNs, neural ODEs, graph neural networks, etc.) that fuse domain-specific equations (e.g., advection-diffusion, atmospheric chemistry) with learned, flexible ML representations. These “hybrid” frameworks deliver superior performance in open urban systems, offer better generalization to unseen conditions and can deliver accurate, real-time forecasts even in the face of unmeasured variables, complex topographies, or variable emission sources.

Together, these four pillars—federated learning, transfer learning, explainable AI and physics-informed neural design—significantly expand the scalability, transparency, privacy and operational reliability of IoT-based air quality prediction pipelines, representing the vanguard of AI-enriched smart environmental monitoring in both academic and real-world deployments.[4][5]

## Policy and Governance Integration

**Real-Time Enforcement and Automated Fines:** IoT-based air quality monitoring networks provide city authorities and regulators with granular, up-to-the-minute data on emissions, enabling real-time detection of regulatory breaches and rapid intervention. In leading implementations (for instance, municipal pilot programs in Taiwan, India and Europe), these systems have been directly integrated with compliance frameworks so that pollution exceedances trigger automated workflows: regulated entities (like factories) are immediately flagged, legal notifications are sent and fines can be generated and enforced without manual audits or multi-week lags. This automation both improves regulatory reach and deters repeat offenses, as both enforcement and remediation can be nearly instantaneous.[3]

**IoT-Verified Carbon Credit Systems:** As carbon markets expand globally, IoT sensors are increasingly used to provide tamper-proof, real-time verification of emissions for carbon credit generation and trading. Recent research demonstrates that integrating certified sensors with blockchain and smart contract protocols ensures transparent and accurate accounting of emission reductions, guards against fraud and reduces verification time by over 80% compared to manual systems. Several pilot projects now employ peer-to-peer carbon credit marketplaces where farmers, industries, or cities can directly offer verified credits based on IoT-monitored reductions, dramatically increasing the efficiency and trustworthiness of environmental offset markets.

**Incentives for Low-Emission Behavior:** IoT-based monitoring creates actionable “nudges” by supporting innovative policy instruments: dynamic tolls for traffic, pollution-adjusted taxes or subsidies, reward points for low-emission activities and grants for industries or neighborhoods reducing emissions below threshold. Examples include city-specific programs where transportation or manufacturing emissions are tied to public or business incentives, stimulating community participation in air quality improvement efforts and reinforcing positive behavior with measurable, verified benefits.

**Public Dashboards for Transparency:** Transparency and citizen empowerment are hallmarks of successful smart city air quality projects. Publicly accessible dashboards—delivered via web, mobile app, or digital street displays—enable residents to understand and respond to local air pollution risks in real time. Many smart cities and open-data initiatives also provide full public access to raw or aggregated historical air quality data, enabling citizen science, academic research, urban activism and the development of third-party tools. The positive societal impact is now well documented: open dashboards increase accountability, build public trust in environmental policy and catalyze environmentally-informed choices in everyday urban life.

## Health and Environmental Co-benefits

**Epidemiological Links Between IoT Data and Health Outcomes:** The integration of IoT-based air quality monitoring networks has enabled new epidemiological studies that directly connect environmental exposure data with population health trends, particularly for respiratory and cardiovascular conditions. Real-time, high-resolution datasets allow researchers and public health officials to correlate spikes in pollutants like PM<sub>2.5</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, or ozone with hospital admissions, asthma exacerbations and chronic illness rates, often with neighborhood-level granularity. In recent field studies, visual analytic tools—such as the 3D HEPA-filter lung model driven

by IoT sensor streams—have powerfully illustrated the progressive and spatially variable impact of pollution on lung health, helping inform both policy and individual behavior.

**Alerts for Vulnerable Populations:** Modern IoT air quality systems can deliver targeted alerts to at-risk groups (e.g., elderly, children, asthma sufferers) through mobile apps, SMS, or web dashboards whenever local air quality sur- passes health-based thresholds. These timely notifications empower vulnerable people to adjust activities in real time—avoiding outdoor exposure, modifying commutes or seeking improved indoor air filtration—thereby reducing acute health risks.

**Occupational Health Protections:** In industrial and occupational set- tings, IoT sensor networks provide real- time, zone-specific exposure monitoring for workers. This capability supports automated detection of hazardous episodes (e.g., CO, NO<sub>2</sub>, dust exposure) and triggers on-site alarms, ventilation system control, or management notifications, aiding compliance with safety standards and reducing workplace health incidents. These systems also create valuable data archives for post-incident forensics and regulatory reporting.[7]

**Ecosystem Monitoring and Climate Resilience Planning:** Beyond direct human health applications, IoT- enabled networks underpin ecosystem conservation and climate resilience efforts. By monitoring air, soil and water quality, wildlife movements and microclimate variations, cities and conservation agencies can proactively address environmental degradation, understand the local impacts of climate change and optimize interventions for biodiversity and sustainability. AI-driven forecasting models further enhance preparedness by predicting adverse events (e.g., smog, heatwaves, wildfire smoke) and supporting targeted, real-time ecological management—a critical adaptive advantage in an era of intensifying climate stress.

## CONCLUSION

The global landscape of IoT-based air pollution monitoring systems reveals a striking convergence between technological innovation and practical, impact- ful deployment. As demonstrated by evidence from over 20 leading research projects, field trials and urban pilots—spanning environments as varied as In- dia’s dense city centers, Taiwan’s smart city networks, Bulgaria’s machine learn- ing analytics and community-driven setups in Africa and South Asia—IoT- enabled monitoring has advanced decisively beyond theoretical promise toward transforming environmental intelligence and public health.

### This review confirms several critical advancements:

- **Democratization and reach:** The dramatic reduction in cost (with node prices as low as \$40–\$250) now allows for unprecedented sensor density and spatial coverage, closing longstanding data gaps in low- and middle- income regions. Community and city-wide deployments are now feasible, with robust scalability from neighborhood experiments to national grids.
- **Real-time, actionable intelligence:** Unlike the delayed, coarse resolution of legacy station-based systems, IoT architectures enable immediate, hy- perlocal monitoring. Data pipelines from NodeMCU, Raspberry Pi and edge-enabled sensor networks feed directly into cloud and municipal dash- boards, facilitating rapid response and empowering both authorities and citizens.
- **Machine learning integration and predictive power:** The transition from threshold-based triggers to advanced ML (BDBN, LSTM, federated learn- ing, explainable and physics-informed neural networks) is a major leap. These approaches not only boost accuracy by 15–25% but also support robust anomaly detection, early warnings (30–60 min in advance) and dynamic learning in new environments—substantially enhancing health protection and regulatory readiness.
- **Multipurpose societal and policy benefits:** IoT-driven systems support im- pacts well beyond environmental compliance. Documented results include PM<sub>2.5</sub> reductions of 20–35%, measurable declines in respiratory hospital- izations, improved industrial compliance and stronger citizen engagement. IoT data streams now underpin epidemiological studies, trigger targeted alerts for vulnerable populations, enable automatic fines and incentives, strengthen climate resilience planning, verify carbon credits and drive new models of

**participatory environmental governance.**

- New frontiers in technology and governance: Innovations such as spectral and wearable sensors, edge AI, 5G and satellite integration, open dash-boards, blockchain-verified carbon trading and hybrid energy solutions are moving the field rapidly toward fully autonomous, universally accessible environmental management systems.

**Key limitations and research priorities remain:**

- Persistent challenges—sensor drift, calibration, network reliability, cyber-security, data privacy, policy fragmentation and rising maintenance/ownership costs at mass scale—are well-documented in both the literature and case studies. However, coordinated and interdisciplinary advances in resilient hardware, adaptive analytics, cross-sector standards and community partnership are repeatedly shown to mitigate these barriers effectively.
- The move toward federated and transferable learning, explainable models and multi-source data fusion is especially crucial for building public trust, facilitating calibration across geographies and accelerating adoption in policy and health systems worldwide.

In essence, the IoT revolution in air pollution monitoring exemplifies the convergence of affordable sensing, real-time cloud analytics, robust AI and open governance. These systems are not only enabling a more detailed and timely understanding of air quality dynamics, but are legitimizing a new, responsive model for environmental protection—one in which governments, industry and the public share both data and decision-making power.

The future of urban and environmental health is now tied to our ability to sustain, scale and responsibly advance these technologies. By fostering collaborative research, open standards, transparent platforms and empowered citizen science, the promise of IoT air monitoring can be universally realized—driving cleaner air, healthier communities and a more resilient, data-driven response to the escalating challenges of pollution and climate change.

**REFERENCES**

1. Hindustan Institute of Technology and Science. (2022). Design and Analysis of IoT Based Air Monitoring System.
2. Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology. (2022–2023). IoT- Air Pollution Monitoring System.
3. Taiwan Association for Aerosol Research. (2023). Development of IoT Technologies for Air Pollution Prevention and Improvement. AAQR.
4. Bulgarian Academy of Sciences. (2023). Reliability Analysis of an IoT-Based Air Pollution Monitoring System Using Machine Learning Algorithm-BDBN.
5. Karnati, H. (2023). IoT-Based Air Quality Monitoring System with Machine Learning for Accurate and Real-time Data Analysis. arXiv:2307.00580.
6. Gueye, A., Drame, M. S., & Niang, S. A. A. (2024). A Low-Cost IoT- Based Real-Time Pollution Monitoring System Using ESP8266 NodeMCU. *Journal of Environmental Engineering and Science*.
7. Dr. NGP Institute of Technology. (2024). IoT Based Pollution Monitoring System for Effective Industrial Pollution Monitoring and Control.
8. IJRTI. (2025). Real-Time Air Quality Monitoring Using IoT Sensors. Vol. 10, Issue 4.
9. International Research Journal of Modernization in Engineering Technology and Science (IRJMETS). (2025). Real-Time Air Pollution Monitoring Using IoT and Cloud Integration. Vol. 7, Issue 4.
10. STM Journals. (2024). IoT Based Industrial Pollution Monitoring System. Vol. 18, Issue 3.
11. International Journal of Research Methods in Education and Social Sciences (IJPREMS). (2025). IoT Based Air Pollution Monitoring System. Issue 3.
12. International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET). (2025). Air Pollution Monitoring System. Vol. 12, Issue 5.
13. Ahmed Gueye et al. (2024). Low-Cost IoT Deployment in Developing Regions. *Senegal*

*Environmental Technology Review.*

14. International Journal of Internet of Things and Web Services. (2024). Real-Time Industrial Emission Monitoring via IoT. Vol. 8, Issue 2.
15. Sathyabama Institute NAAC Report. (2023). IoT- Air Pollution Monitoring System.
16. Sathyabama Institute AQAR. (2022–2023). IoT Based Air Pollution Monitoring System. B.E-ECE Batch 19–23.
17. Review of IoT Systems for Air Quality Measurements Based on LTE/4G and LoRa Communications. (2024). *International Journal of Environmental Monitoring*, 15(4).
18. International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT). (2024). IoT Based Air Pollution Monitoring System. Vol. 4, Issue 6.
19. ScienceDirect. (2022). Air Pollution Monitoring System Using IoT Devices: Review.
20. ScienceDirect. (2025). Evolving Trends in Application of Low-Cost Air Quality Sensor Networks.
21. Nature. (2024). Machine Learning-Driven Framework for Real-Time Air Quality Monitoring and Prediction.
22. PMC. (2023). Internet of Things Based Visualisation of Effect of Air Pollution on Health.
23. IEEE Sensors Journal. (2022). Machine Learning for Air Quality Prediction and Data Analysis.
24. ScienceDirect. (2025). Artificial Intelligence in Environmental Monitoring.
25. ACM Digital Library. (2024). Evaluation of Low-Cost Air Quality Sensor Calibration Models.
26. SSRN. (2025). Air Quality Prediction and Analysis Using Machine Learning.
27. Omdia. (2025). Satellite IoT Market Landscape – 2025.
28. Nature. (2025). Explainable AI Analysis for Smog Rating Prediction.
29. ScienceDirect. (2024). Blockchain-Secured IoT-Federated Learning for Industrial Air Pollution Monitoring.
30. AAQR. (2023). What Influences Low-Cost Sensor Data Calibration? – A Systematic Review.