

Development and Analysis of Eco-Friendly Composite Materials for Low-Load Structural Applications Using Plastic Waste and PET Bottles

Wesley Mukudi, Ezra Ombati Ombogo, Jattani Mohamed

School of Engineering, University of Eldoret, Kenya
Project Supervisors: Dr. Clement Kiptum, Theophilus Ngetich

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.51583/IJLTEMAS.2026.150400128>

Received: 17 April 2026; Accepted: 22 April 2026; Published: 26 April 2026

ABSTRACT

Rapid urbanization in Kenya triggers explosive demand for precast concrete products. Conventional production relies heavily on natural river sand. Over-exploitation causes scarcity and environmental destruction. Simultaneously, uncontrolled plastic waste accumulation worsens environmental health. This study investigates developing eco-friendly composite materials to produce interlocking paving blocks, partition blocks, and landscaping tiles. We replace natural fine aggregates with postconsumer recycled Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) waste. We replace river sand with shredded PET bottles at volumetric mass equivalent levels of 0, 5, 10, 15, and 20 percent in standard cementitious mixes. We evaluated physical and mechanical properties including workability, density, water absorption, and compressive strength per standard BS EN and ASTM protocols. Results indicate systematic reduction in density and workability with increasing PET content. Compressive strength decreases progressively due to stiffness incompatibility and hydrophobic nature of the interfacial transition zone. The 5 percent PET replacement mix achieved a 28-day compressive strength of 14.49 MPa. This formulation satisfies requirements for pedestrian walkways, cycle paths, and non-load-bearing applications. This research demonstrates the technical viability of diverting municipal plastic waste into sustainable urban infrastructure.

Keywords: Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET), Composite Materials, Eco-Friendly Concrete, Interlocking Paving Blocks, Circular Economy, Compressive Strength.

INTRODUCTION

Rapid urbanization in Kenya triggers explosive demand for precast concrete products. These include interlocking paving blocks and non-load-bearing partition blocks. Conventional production relies heavily on natural river sand. Intense unregulated mining of sand from major river basins causes severe environmental consequences. These include lowered water tables and destruction of riparian ecosystems. You face a dual crisis in Kenya. Over-exploitation of natural aggregates causes scarcity and environmental destruction. Uncontrolled plastic waste accumulation worsens the situation. You will find this research addresses this intersection by developing and rigorously characterizing an optimized eco-composite for paving blocks, partition blocks, and landscaping tiles. Postconsumer PET bottles serve as a partial replacement for natural fine aggregates. This study minimizes raw material consumption while delivering solid structural performance compliant with Kenyan construction standards.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK AND LITERATURE

Integrating recycled plastics into civil engineering applications has evolved. Initial research focused on 100 percent plastic binders melted at high temperatures. These exhibited zero water absorption and high impact resistance. They suffered from low elastic modulus and massive thermal expansion. Subsequent research shifted toward using shredded plastic as a partial replacement for mineral aggregates in traditional Ordinary Portland Cement matrices. Studies demonstrated replacing fine aggregate with PET flakes reduces compressive strength linearly. The Interfacial Transition Zone governs this reduction. Natural aggregates are rigid. Plastics are

flexible. Under load the plastic deforms faster than the surrounding cement. This causes debonding. The hydrophobicity of PET prevents capillary suction of the cement paste. This creates local voids with high water-to-cement ratios. These act as stress concentrators. Current literature lacks systematic optimization using real-world unrefined municipal waste streams targeting specific geometry and performance requirements of East African standards. This study fills this gap by utilizing locally collected unsorted PET waste for low load applications.

MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

Materials

We utilized Ordinary Portland Cement of grade 32.5N as the primary binding agent. Natural River sand sieved to remove particles larger than 4.75 mm served as the fine aggregate. We sourced waste PET bottles from local dumpsites in Eldoret. We manually sorted, washed, air-dried, and mechanically shredded the bottles into flakes ranging from 2 to 4 mm. We measured the specific gravity of the PET flakes at 1.38. This compares to 2.65 for natural river sand. The bulk density is 350 kg/m³. We used clean tap water meeting BS EN 1008 standards for mixing and curing.

Mix Design and Preparation

We employed a mass-based replacement method. We replaced specific percentages of the fine aggregate mass with PET flakes. We formulated five mix designs. These include a Control mix with 0 percent PET and four experimental mixes with 5, 10, 15, and 20 percent PET replacement. We homogenized the dry constituents in a pan mixer for 3 minutes. We introduced water gradually to achieve desired workability. We subjected fresh concrete to the slump test prior to casting into standard 150 mm steel cube moulds. We achieved compaction using a vibrating table at 3000 vibrations per minute for 60 seconds. We demoulded specimens after 24 hours and submerged them in a water curing tank at 20 degrees Celsius until the designated testing age.

Testing Protocols

We evaluated workability via the standard slump cone test per BS EN 12350-2 immediately after mixing. We measured density of hardened concrete at 28 days via dimensional and mass measurement per BS EN 12390-7. We tested water absorption in accordance with ASTM C642 via oven drying and 24-hour water immersion. We determined compressive strength using a Digital Universal Testing Machine per BS EN 12390-3 at 7, 14, and 28 days.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Physical Properties - Workability

The workability of fresh concrete influences placement. Results revealed a clear inverse relationship between PET content and workability. The control mix achieved a slump of 90 mm. As PET replacement increased to 20 percent the slump dropped to 40 mm. This represents a 55.6 percent reduction. We attribute this to the flaky irregular shape of the shredded PET. It increases inter-particle friction. The hydrophobic nature of the plastic prevents the formation of a stable lubricating water film. All mixes remained within the acceptable BS EN 12350-2 workable range for paving applications.

Density and Unit Weight

Density testing demonstrated a consistent linear reduction with increasing PET content. The control mix exhibited a normal-weight density of 2415 kg/m³. At 20 percent replacement the density decreased to 2090 kg/m³. This represents a 13.4 percent mass reduction. The specific gravity of PET is approximately half of sand. A mass-for-mass replacement increases the volume of lightweight inclusions. Mixes with 15 and 20 percent PET fall into the semi lightweight classification. They offer structural dead-load reduction and transport economics.

Water Absorption

Water absorption increased progressively from 4.2 percent to 6.5 percent. The poor bonding at the PET-cement interface generates micro-voids. This facilitates water ingress. The inherent zero-absorption characteristic of the PET particles mitigates porosity increases. All experimental mixes successfully complied with the KS 2769:2018 maximum allowable limit of 7 percent.

Table 1. Physical Properties of PET-Modified Concrete

Mix ID	PET (%)	Slump (mm)	Density (kg/m ³)	Water (%)
Control	0	90	2415	4.2
Mix A	5	78	2310	4.8
Mix B	10	65	2240	5.3
Mix C2	15	52	2160	5.9
Mix D	20	40	2090	6.5

Mechanical Properties

Compressive strength testing served as the primary indicator of structural viability. Table 2 outlines the strength development across 7, 14, and 28 days of curing. The control mix achieved a 28-day strength of 25.00 MPa. This conforms to the KS 2769 Class standard for residential driveways and light vehicle traffic. The introduction of PET resulted in a linear decrease in compressive capacity. Mix A retained approximately 58 percent of the control strength. It achieved 14.49 MPa. Mix D saw a 79.2 percent strength loss. It dropped to 5.19 MPa.

Table 2. Compressive Strength Development

Mix ID	PET (%)	7-Day (MPa)	14-Day (MPa)	28-Day (MPa)
Control	0	16.22	21.24	25.00
Mix A	5	9.42	12.31	14.49
Mix B	10	6.22	8.13	9.54
Mix C2	15	4.89	6.40	7.50
Mix D	20	3.41	4.40	5.19

Discussion on Strength Degradation

The systematic strength reduction directly links to the degradation of the Interfacial Transition Zone. As a hydrophobic polymer PET repels the hydration water of the cement paste. This results in locally elevated water-to-cement ratios at the particle boundaries. This yields fragile Ca(OH)₂ crystals rather than robust calcium silicate hydrate gels. Under axial load the ductile PET particles deform. They pull away from the rigid cement matrix and create macroscopic fissures. These fissures precipitate shear failure. The relative strength gain trajectory remained consistent across all mixes. The average gain is 52 to 54 percent between day 7 and day 28. This indicates the fundamental cement hydration chemistry remains uninterrupted by the chemically inert PET inclusions. Internal physical discontinuities purely limit the mechanical performance. The current experimental phase observes a severe 79.2 percent strength loss at a 20 percent PET replacement level. This limits the higher-load structural viability of the material. The study identifies stiffness incompatibility and ITZ hydrophobicity as the primary causes. We did not test mitigation strategies within this phase. We stopped mechanical testing at 28 days. Long-term durability and weather resistance remain unaddressed in the current scope.

Application Suitability Analysis

Benchmarking the results against the Kenya Standard KS 2769:2018 identifies appropriate application domains for these materials. The control mix qualifies for light vehicular traffic. The 5 percent PET composite borders on the 15 MPa threshold required for Class 15 pedestrian applications. We designate Mix A as the optimal formulation for non-traffic environments. These include pedestrian walkways, garden paths, public plazas, and internal non-load-bearing partition walls. We relegate mixes exceeding 10 percent replacement to decorative landscaping features. Structural integrity is not a design constraint here. You apply these materials based on your specific load requirements.

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

This study systematically investigated incorporating unrefined PET waste into cementitious composites. This addresses the concurrent plastic pollution and aggregate scarcity crises in Kenya. You will find the key findings and future recommendations listed below.

1. Integrating shredded PET waste reduces the density of precast concrete by up to 13.4 percent. This offers you logistical and structural dead-load benefits.
2. Workability decreases linearly with plastic content due to high inter-particle friction and hydrophobicity. Standard vibration equipment remains sufficient for your compaction up to 20 percent replacement.
3. Water absorption increases with higher PET volume due to ITZ micro-voids. All tested mixes remained below the 7 percent maximum threshold specified by KS 2769:2018.
4. Compressive strength drops due to the weak ITZ and stiffness incompatibility between PET and cement. The 5 percent mass replacement mix is the structural optimum. It achieves 14.49 MPa at 28 days.
5. The 5 percent PET composite is feasible for commercial deployment in Class 15 applications like pedestrian walkways. A production rate of 100 cubic meters per month sequesters approximately 60 tonnes of plastic waste annually. This drives direct contributions toward UN Sustainable Development Goals 9, 11, and 12.
6. Future research phases must actively address the weaknesses found at the particle boundary layer. Researchers should implement and test chemical surface treatments on the recycled PET flakes. Applying sodium hydroxide etching or silane coupling agents will improve adhesion at the ITZ and mitigate compressive strength loss.
7. Future researchers must conduct extended durability testing beyond the initial 28-day curing period. They need to assess material performance under continuous environmental exposure and physical wear.
8. Future researchers must explore alternative mix designs with supplementary cementitious materials. This will offset the mechanical penalties introduced by shredded plastic aggregates.

REFERENCES

1. Agyeman, S., Obeng-Ahenkora, N. K., Assiamah, S., and Twumasi, G. (2019). Exploiting recycled plastic waste as an alternative binder for paving blocks production. *Case Studies in Construction Materials*, 11, e00227.
2. American Society for Testing and Materials. (2015). *ASTM C642 Standard Test Method for Density, Absorption, and Voids in Hardened Concrete*. ASTM International.
3. Fraternali, F., Ciancia, V., Chechile, R., Rizzano, G., Feo, L., and Incarnato, L. (2011). Experimental study of the thermo-mechanical properties of recycled PET fiber-reinforced concrete. *Composite Structures*, 93(9), 2368–2374.
4. Kenya Bureau of Standards. (2018). *KS 2769:2018 Precast Concrete Paving Blocks*. KEBS.
5. National Environment Management Authority. (2023). *Kenya Plastic Waste Baseline Survey Report 2022–2023*. NEMA.

6. Saikia, N., and de Brito, J. (2014). Mechanical properties and abrasion behaviour of concrete containing shredded PET bottle waste as a partial substitution of natural aggregate. *Construction and Building Materials*, 52, 236–244.
7. Thorneycroft, J., Orr, J., Savoikar, P., and Ball, R. J. (2018). Performance of structural concrete with recycled plastic waste as a partial replacement for sand. *Construction and Building Materials*, 161, 63–69.
8. Tuyishime, C., Kabeja, A., and Niyonsenga, D. (2023). Plastic waste bricks and tiles for low-cost housing in Rwanda. *African Journal of Science, Technology, Innovation and Development*, 15(2), 189–198.
9. Wanjiku, E., and Muthengia, J. (2023). Development of high-volume plastic waste interlocking paving blocks for Kenyan urban areas. *East African Journal of Engineering*, 6(1), 45–58.
10. World Bank. (2023). *What a Waste 2.0: A Global Snapshot of Solid Waste Management to 2050*. World Bank.