Overview of Design and Performance Analysis of a Downdraft Biomass Gasifier for Dual-Fuel Internal Combustion Engine Applications

[1]Pravinkumar Wankhade., *[2]Dr. Chetankumar Sedani

[1]PhD Research scholar Sant Gadge Baba Amravati University (SGBAU) Amravati (MS) India

[2]Professor Ajeenkya D Y Patil University Pune & PhD Research Supervisor Sant Gadge Baba Amravati University (SGBAU) Amravati (MS) India

***Corresponding Author**

DOI: https://doi.org/10.51583/IJLTEMAS.2026.150600252

Received: 16 July 2026; Accepted: 21 July 2026; Published: 01 August 2026

ABSTRACT

Energy security, fossil-fuel dependence, and the need for productive utilization of agricultural residues have renewed interest in biomass gasification for decentralized power and thermal applications in India. A downdraft biomass gasifier integrated with a dual-fuel internal combustion engine offers a practical route for reducing diesel consumption while using locally available biomass resources. This paper examines the design relevance, operating principles, feedstock considerations, and performance parameters governing such systems, with emphasis on brake specific fuel consumption, brake specific energy consumption, brake thermal efficiency, diesel replacement capability, and future AI-assisted performance prediction.

Keywords: Biomass gasification; downdraft gasifier; producer gas; dual-fuel engine; brake thermal efficiency; diesel substitution; AI-based prediction

Background

Energy security and the search for sustainable, locally available fuel alternatives remain among the most pressing challenges facing industrial and agricultural sectors in developing economies, including India. Thermochemical conversion of biomass particularly gasification has, over the past decade, attracted growing research and industrial attention as a proven route to converting solid organic feedstock into a combustible gaseous fuel, commonly termed producer gas or syngas, with char as the principal solid by-product. Biomass, as a renewable and widely distributed resource, presents a technically and economically valid alternative to depleting fossil fuel reserves.

India's biomass resource base underscores the scale of this opportunity. National assessments place gross crop-residue generation at roughly 750–990 million tons per annum, of which 120–150 million tones constitute surplus agricultural and forestry residue after accounting for fodder, fuel, and other competing uses corresponding to an estimated surplus-biomass power potential of the order of 18 GW [1], [2]. The International Energy Agency projects India to be the fastest-growing bioenergy market globally between 2023 and 2030, with feedstock demand expected to expand by nearly 50% over the decade to meet biofuel, biogas, and solid-biomass conversion needs [1][2][3]. Table 1.1 summarizes the principal national biomass availability statistics of relevance to the present study.

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XV, Issue VI, June 2026

Table 1.1 — India: Biomass Availability and Surplus Potential (Recent National Assessments)

Parameter	Estimate	Source
Total annual biomass generation	750 – 990 million tones	MNRE / IEA assessments [1], [2]
Surplus agricultural & forestry residue	120 – 150 million tones/year	MNRE-sponsored studies [2]
Estimated surplus-biomass power potential	~18,000 MW (18 GW)	MNRE [2]
Bagasse cogeneration potential	8,000 – 14,000 MW	MNRE / industry estimates [2]
Projected bioenergy feedstock demand growth (to 2030)	~50% increase over current levels	IEA Renewables 2024 [1]
India's share of global bioenergy demand growth (2023–2030)	>33%	IEA [1]

Gasification converts biomass into a combustible gas at temperatures ranging from approximately 600°C to 1500°C, using a gasifying agent typically air, oxygen, or steam while maintaining the oxygen supply below the stoichiometric requirement for complete combustion. When gasification is carried out at comparatively lower temperatures, the resultant gas generally termed product gas is composed principally of CO, CO2, H2, CH4, and minor hydrocarbons. At higher process temperatures, the resultant syngas is composed predominantly of H2, CO, CO2, and H2O, with substantially fewer contaminants. Syngas obtained through biomass gasification finds wide application in gas turbines, internal combustion engines, and biocatalytic and catalytic synthesis routes for alcohols, hydrocarbons, organic acids, and esters. Although biomass-derived syngas remains costlier than coal-derived syngas, they continue to be more cost-competitive than naphtha and diesel, a consideration of direct relevance to the present study, which is concerned with the design and performance of a downdraft biomass gasifier for industrial dual-fuel engine applications.

As biomass progresses through a gasifier typically heated from ambient temperature to approximately 1100°C it undergoes a sequence of thermo-chemical stages: drying (removal of inherent moisture, which may constitute up to 35% of raw biomass mass), pyrolysis (thermal decomposition in the absence of oxygen, yielding char, condensable tars, and permanent gases), oxidation (exothermic reaction of the carbonized fuel fraction with the limited air supply, sustaining the heat balance of the process), and reduction (a set of endothermic reactions producing the final combustible gas mixture of CO, H2, and CH4). The relative extent and efficiency of each stage is governed by feedstock composition, gasifying agent, and operating parameters such as equivalence ratio, temperature, and residence time each of which has been the subject of substantial recent research attention.

Downdraft Gasifier Configuration and Relevance to Engine Applications

Among fixed-bed gasifier configurations, the downdraft (co-current) gasifier is particularly well suited to engine applications. Air is introduced at the mid-level of the reactor, above the grate, and the resultant gas–air mixture is drawn downward through the high-temperature oxidation zone. This flow path subjects volatile pyrolysis products to thermal cracking at elevated temperature, substantially reducing tar content relative to updraft designs, and yielding a comparatively clean producer gas well suited for direct use as fuel in internal combustion engines — the central concern of the present research. A recent comprehensive review confirms that the downdraft configuration continues to be favored for engine-coupled applications precisely because of this in-situ tar-cracking characteristic and reports detailed techno-economic analyses capital and operating expenditure, payback period, and internal rate of return for downdraft systems at various scales [3]

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XV, Issue VI, June 2026

[11][14][24]. Recent experimental work on dual-stage-ignition downdraft gasifiers has demonstrated useful energy output of up to 86.4% with tar levels reduced to as low as 8 mg/Nm³ after gas cleaning, a substantial improvement over conventional single-stage design [4][5] [20]

The historical precedent for gasifier-powered engines is well documented: during the Second World War, fuel scarcity led to the widespread adoption of gasifier-powered vehicles, with more than a million trucks, buses, tractors, and motorcycles worldwide operating on gasified wood, charcoal, peat, coke, and coal. While the post-war availability of inexpensive petroleum led to a decline in gasifier technology, recurring volatility in fossil fuel prices and the strategic imperative of reducing dependence on imported petroleum have renewed interest in biomass gasification — particularly in countries such as India, which possess substantial agricultural residue and biomass resources.

India presently operates approximately 3.6 million diesel engine pump-sets in the 3.7 to 15 kW capacity range, predominantly serving small and marginal agricultural holdings, with this number estimated to grow by approximately half a million units annually. The integration of downdraft biomass gasifiers with these diesel engine systems operating in dual-fuel mode, wherein producer gas substitutes for a substantial proportion of diesel fuel presents a significant opportunity for fuel cost reduction, reduced dependence on imported diesel, and productive utilization of locally available agricultural and biomass residues such as rice husk and wheat straw. Beyond agricultural pumping applications, downdraft gasifier-engine systems in the 5 kW to 20 kW capacity range have direct relevance to small and medium-scale industrial applications including captive power generation, off-grid village electrification, and thermal process heating.

Recent experimental and simulation studies on downdraft gasifier-engine systems, summarized in Table 1.2, provide a contemporary performance benchmark against which the present investigation is positioned.

Table 1.2 — Summary of Recent Downdraft Gasifier–Dual-Fuel Engine Performance Studies (2022–2025)

Study	**Feedstock / System**	**Key reported performance**
Comparative co-gasification study, 2024 [5]	Coal-briquette, coconut shell, mahua blends	Max. BTE 27.6% at GER 0.12, full load; max. diesel saving 63.44% (coconut shell)
Sookramoon et al., 2024 [6]	Rubberwood, tamarind wood, sawdust; oil-drum downdraft reactor	Producer gas blended with diesel in a 94 hp diesel engine; drum-type reactor, throat dia. 31 cm
Li et al., 2024 [7]	Downdraft gasifier, biomass power system	Tar-cracking kinetics modelling combined with experimental optimization of gasifier design
Fazil et al., 2023 [8]	Paper-rich, high-ash refuse-derived fuel	Demonstrated feasibility of co-gasification of high-ash RDF blends in downdraft reactors
Dual-stage ignition study, 2022 [4]	Downdraft gasifier, 15.24–38.86 kW load range	86.4% useful energy output; tar reduced to 8 mg/Nm³; HHV 6.415 MJ/Nm³
Syngas dual-fueling CI engine study, 2024 [17]	Air-downdraft gasifier, biodiesel pilot fuel; GER 0.1–0.43, CR 16–18	Engine load 0–100%; performance mapped across gasifier equivalence ratio and compression ratio

Feedstock, Gasifying Agents, and Process Parameters: A Contemporary Perspective

Recent literature has substantially deepened the understanding of how feedstock characteristics and process parameters govern gasification performance. The proximate and ultimate composition of the feedstock moisture content, volatile matter, fixed carbon, ash content, and elemental composition directly determines achievable gas yield, gasification efficiency, and syngas quality. Elevated ash content, for instance, has been

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XV, Issue VI, June 2026

associated with reactor plugging, catalyst sintering, and a marked reduction in gasification efficiency and syngas heating value, while elevated volatile matter content tends to increase tar formation.

The choice of gasifying agent air, oxygen, or steam exerts a major influence on syngas composition, heating value, and downstream gas-cleaning requirements. Air, the most widely used gasifying agent owing to its abundance and simplicity, yields a comparatively dilute, lower heating value gas due to nitrogen ingress; oxygen gasification yields a higher heating value gas but incurs the cost of oxygen separation; and steam gasification favors hydrogen-rich syngas through water–gas shift and steam-reforming reactions, albeit at higher capital and operating complexity. The equivalence ratio (ER) the ratio of actual to stoichiometric air-fuel ratio has been repeatedly shown to govern the trade-off between gasification efficiency, carbon conversion efficiency, and syngas heating value, with an optimum ER range beyond which performance deteriorates. A recent systematic review of syngas utilization in internal combustion engines reaffirms this ER-dependent trade-off across a wide range of feedstocks and reactor configurations and consolidates evidence that engine-coupled gasifier performance is highly sensitive to gas-cleaning efficiency and syngas calorific value [9] [20]. Injection-strategy studies on the engine side further show that nozzle geometry and injection pressure optimization — using response-surface and simulation-based approaches such as Diesel-RK combined with RSM can meaningfully improve dual-fuel CI engine performance when operating on diesel–producer-gas combinations, complementing gasifier-side optimization with engine-side calibration [10] [17] Catalytic intervention using heterogeneous catalysts such as dolomite, olivine, and nickel-based formulations, or homogeneous catalysts such as CaO and NaOH has similarly been demonstrated to enhance hydrogen yield and reduce tar and char formation, at the cost of additional process complexity and, in some cases, catalyst deactivation. A related experimental study of an air-downdraft gasifier coupled to a biodiesel-piloted CI engine mapped performance across a gasifier equivalence ratio range of 0.1–0.43 and compression ratios of 16–18, underscoring that gasifier-side operating parameters and engine-side settings must be jointly optimized rather than treated independently [17] [22]

This Contempo gasifying engine synthesized from extensive literature provides the technical foundation against which the present experimental investigation of a downdraft gasifier-engine system is positioned, and inform the selection of feedstock, operating parameters, and performance metrics examined in this study.

Influence of Operating Parameters on Gasifier-Engine Performance and Diesel Substitution

The performance of a downdraft gasifier-engine system in dual-fuel mode is governed jointly by gasifier-side operating parameters and engine-side operating conditions, and the two cannot be treated in isolation. On the gasifier side, the equivalence ratio (ER) remains the single most influential parameter: operating below the optimum ER range starves the reduction zone of heat and yields a low-calorific, tar-laden gas, while operating above it drives excessive oxidation of combustible species, lowering gas heating value and, in turn, the achievable diesel replacement ratio. Reported optimum ER windows for downdraft systems coupled to CI engines cluster in the region of 0.10–0.43, with the highest brake thermal efficiency and diesel-saving figures typically obtained toward the lower-middle portion of this range at full or near-full engine load [17][22].

Gasification temperature exerts a closely related influence: higher zone temperatures in the oxidation and reduction zones promote tar cracking and improve carbon conversion, raising producer-gas calorific value, but temperatures that are too high accelerate ash sintering and clinker formation at the grate, restricting gas flow and destabilizing long-run operation. Feedstock moisture content compounds this effect: moisture above roughly 20–25% (wet basis) diverts a disproportionate share of the available heat to evaporation before pyrolysis and reduction can proceed, depressing both gas quality and cold-gas efficiency, which is why pre-drying of agricultural residues such as rice husk and wheat straw to below this threshold is treated as a practical prerequisite rather than an optional refinement in engine-coupled installations.

On the engine side, load and speed determine how effectively the available producer gas can be utilized: at low and part loads, the diesel pilot fraction required to sustain stable ignition is proportionally larger, so the achievable diesel replacement ratio is correspondingly lower, whereas at higher loads a greater share of the energy demand can be met by producer gas before knock or incomplete combustion limits become binding. This load-dependence is consistently reflected in brake specific fuel consumption (BSFC) and brake specific energy consumption (BSEC) trends reported for gasifier-engine systems, both of which typically improve (decrease) with increasing load up to a point before deteriorating at very high loads as combustion efficiency falls. Brake thermal efficiency (BTE) follows a broadly similar pattern, with peak values generally reported in the mid-to-high load range rather than at either extreme, underscoring that diesel substitution potential, BSFC, BSEC, and BTE must be evaluated together across the full load-speed envelope rather than at a single operating point [9][17][20].

Taken together, equivalence ratio, gasification temperature, and feedstock moisture content set the quality and calorific value of the gas delivered to the engine, while engine load and speed determine how much of that gas can actually be substituted for diesel without compromising combustion stability. The present research treats these gasifier-side and engine-side parameters as jointly optimized variables, consistent with the approach adopted in recent injection-strategy and equivalence-ratio mapping studies [10][17].

Emissions, Tar Reduction, Gas Cleaning, and Long-Term Operational Challenges

Dual-fuel operation on producer gas characteristically alters the exhaust emission profile of a CI engine relative to neat-diesel operation. Carbon monoxide and unburnt hydrocarbon emissions tend to rise at low and part loads, reflecting the leaner, more dilute nature of the air–producer-gas charge and locally incomplete combustion, while oxides of nitrogen and smoke/particulate emissions are generally reported to fall relative to neat diesel, owing to the lower flame temperature and the displacement of a portion of the carbon-rich diesel fuel by a hydrogen- and carbon-monoxide-rich gas. The net emissions outcome is sensitive to the achieved diesel replacement ratio and to gas cleanliness, since residual tar and particulate carry-over in the producer gas both degrade combustion quality and elevate hydrocarbon and smoke emissions.

Tar management is accordingly central to reliable engine-coupled operation and is addressed through primary and secondary measures. Primary measures act inside the gasifier itself — reactor throat geometry, staged or multi-stage air introduction, and reduction-zone residence time control — and aim to crack tar in situ before it leaves the reactor, an approach shown to reduce tar loading to single-digit mg/Nm^3 levels in dual-stage-ignition downdraft designs [4][5]. Secondary measures condition the raw gas downstream of the reactor and typically combine a cyclone separator (bulk particulate removal), a wet scrubber or water-seal unit (tar and residual particulate absorption), and a fine filter stage (fabric or granular bed) immediately upstream of the engine intake; catalytic tar-cracking beds using dolomite, olivine, or nickel-based catalysts offer a further route to tar reduction, at the cost of periodic catalyst regeneration or replacement.

Beyond gas cleaning, sustained engine-coupled operation raises a set of long-term operational challenges that are distinct from short-duration performance trials: ash and clinker accumulation at the grate can progressively restrict air and gas flow and requires scheduled de-ashing; condensate and tar residues collected in the scrubber and filter train constitute a waste stream requiring disposal or treatment; incomplete gas cleaning allows tar and particulate carry-over that fouls intake valves, injectors, and cylinder surfaces over extended operating hours, elevating maintenance frequency relative to neat-diesel operation; and seasonal variation in feedstock moisture, ash content, and particle size distribution can alter gasifier performance in ways that are not evident in short-term test campaigns. These considerations directly inform the scope and limitations of the present study and are treated as design and maintenance constraints to be characterized rather than incidental observations.

Towards Intelligent Performance Prediction: An Emerging Direction

A further dimension increasingly relevant to renewable and biomass energy systems is the application of Artificial Intelligence (AI) particularly machine learning and deep learning techniques for performance

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XV, Issue VI, June 2026

forecasting, process optimization, and intelligent control [15][18]. Such techniques have demonstrated considerable promise in the wider renewable energy domain, where they are used to predict the output of weather-dependent sources such as solar and wind power, manage intermittency through battery energy storage systems, and optimize dispatch by balancing supply, demand, and operating cost.

This direction is no longer purely aspirational for gasification systems: a growing body of recent work has applied machine learning directly to biomass and syngas prediction problems, reporting prediction accuracies that rival or exceed conventional process-simulation approaches such as Aspen Plus. Random Forest and Artificial Neural Network models have been shown to predict syngas composition and lower heating value with correlation coefficients (R^2) in the range of 0.8–0.95 across diverse feedstocks and reactor types [11][12], while more recent architectures including CatBoost and Transformer-based deep-learning models have been applied to multi-objective optimization of syngas yield and H2/CO ratio, and to pilot-scale syngas composition prediction, respectively, in each case reporting accuracy improvements over classical regression and reduced overfitting relative to tree-based ensembles [13][14]. Table 1.3 summarizes representative recent studies in this space. Most directly relevant to the present research, machine-learning-guided selection of fuel-flow rates has recently been applied to a biodiesel/producer-gas/hydrogen dual-fuel CI engine, with the optimized configuration achieving a maximum brake thermal efficiency of 22.18% alongside reduced hydrocarbon, carbon monoxide, and particulate emissions direct evidence that AI-guided optimization is applicable not merely to gasifier-side syngas prediction but to producer-gas-fueled engine operation itself [06] [16] [20]

This trend toward data-driven decision-making is also visible in the wider manufacturing and industrial-systems literature. Crowdsourcing-based manufacturing frameworks, for instance, have recently been proposed to harness distribute and collaborative data for improving efficiency, quality, and knowledge-sharing across industrial manufacturing processes [8][10][15][19]. While such frameworks are oriented towards distributed manufacturing organization rather than gasifier or engine performance specifically, they are illustrative of the broader momentum spanning manufacturing, energy conversion, and process industries alike toward embedding data-driven and AI-assisted decision-making into industrial systems, and this wider context further reinforces the rationale for exploring AI-based forecasting within the present research.

Table 1.3 — Representative Recent Machine-Learning Studies for Gasification/Syngas Performance Prediction (2024–2025)

Study	ML technique(s)	Prediction target / reported accuracy
Sakheta et al., 2024 [11]	XGBoost, SVM, Random Forest, ANN	CO, CO2, H2, CH4 composition — benchmarked against Aspen Plus process simulation
Ajorloo et al., 2025 [12]	Random Forest, ANN	Syngas yield/composition, fluidized-bed gasifiers; RF R^2 0.81–0.95, ANN R^2 0.57–0.92
AI generalizable modelling study, 2025 [13]	Random Forest, CatBoost, ANN	Multi-objective optimization of H2/CO ratio and syngas yield
Transformer-based model, 2025 [14]	FT-Transformer (vs. RF, GBM, XGBoost)	Pilot-scale syngas composition; outperformed tree-based models, reduced overfitting
ML-based dual-fuel engine optimization, 2025 [16]	ML-optimized fuel-flow-rate selection	Biodiesel/producer-gas/H2 dual-fuel CI engine; optimized operation gave max. BTE 22.18%, reduced HC/CO/PM

Analogous AI-based approaches hold significant potential for biomass gasifier-engine systems, where performance outcomes gas composition, calorific value, brake specific fuel consumption, and brake thermal efficiency are governed by a complex, non-linear interaction of feedstock properties, gasifier operating conditions, and engine load and speed. The present research, while primarily experimental in nature, identifies the development of AI-based predictive models for gasifier-engine performance forecasting as a significant direction for extension of this work, a direction now further substantiated by the demonstrated feasibility of such models in closely related gasification contexts [11][14] [16] [23]

Candidate algorithms for gasifier-engine performance forecasting span a spectrum of increasing model complexity. Tree-based ensemble methods — Random Forest, Gradient Boosting Machines, XGBoost, and CatBoost — handle the strongly non-linear, interaction-heavy relationships between feedstock properties, operating parameters, and performance outputs while remaining relatively data-efficient and interpretable through feature-importance ranking, making them a natural first choice given the moderate dataset sizes typical of experimental gasifier-engine campaigns. Artificial Neural Networks and multilayer perceptrons offer greater flexibility in capturing complex non-

linearities at the cost of requiring larger training sets and more careful regularization. Where the data possess a sequential or time-series character — for example, transient start-up behaviour or continuous logging of gas composition and engine load over an operating cycle — recurrent architectures such as LSTM networks, and more recently Transformer-based models, have been shown to outperform static tree-based and shallow-network approaches, at correspondingly higher computational and data cost [13][14].

The datasets required to train and validate such models would combine feedstock-side variables (proximate and ultimate analysis — moisture, volatile matter, fixed carbon, ash, and elemental composition), gasifier-side operating parameters (equivalence ratio, zone temperatures, air/steam flow rate), and engine-side variables (load, speed, injection timing, diesel-to-producer-gas ratio), with gas composition, calorific value, BSFC, BSEC, BTE, and emission indices as the target outputs. Model validation would follow standard practice for small-to-moderate experimental datasets: hold-out train–test splitting or, preferably, k-fold cross-validation to guard against overfitting; performance quantified through the coefficient of determination (R^2), root-mean-square error (RMSE), and mean absolute percentage error (MAPE); and, where feasible, benchmarking of model predictions against an independent test subset withheld from training, in the manner used to validate Random Forest and ANN models against Aspen Plus process simulation in comparable gasification studies [11][12].

Relative to conventional prediction routes such as Aspen Plus process simulation or detailed chemical kinetics/CFD modelling, machine-learning approaches offer three principal advantages for this application: they do not require an explicit, feedstock-specific specification of reaction kinetics or thermodynamic equilibrium assumptions, which are difficult to establish reliably for variable agricultural residues; once trained, they generate predictions at a small fraction of the computational cost of iterative process simulation, making them suitable for near-real-time control applications; and they can be retrained or fine-tuned as new feedstock or operating data become available, allowing the model to adapt to feedstock variability of the kind noted in Section 1.11, in contrast to physics-based models that typically require re-derivation for each new feedstock. These advantages, combined with the accuracy levels already demonstrated in closely related gasification and dual-fuel engine studies [11][12][13][14][16], motivate the identification of AI-based performance prediction as a direction for future extension of the present experimental work.

Problem Statement

Despite well-established thermo-chemical principles underlying biomass gasification, and a substantial and growing body of literature characterizing the influence of feedstock, gasifying agent, and process parameters on syngas quality, there remains a need for systematic, experimentally validated performance analysis of downdraft gasifier-engine systems operating in dual-fuel mode particularly with respect to varying load and speed conditions, feedstock variability, and engine performance metrics such as brake specific fuel consumption, brake specific energy consumption, and brake thermal efficiency. Further, the extent of diesel replacement achievable through producer gas substitution, without significant compromise to engine performance, requires structured investigation to support reliable deployment of such systems in Indian small and medium industrial and agricultural contexts.

Aim of Research

The present research aims to carry out a design and performance analysis of a downdraft biomass gasifier used in conjunction with an internal combustion engine system, with a view to establishing its operational suitability and performance characteristics for industrial and agricultural power generation and thermal applications in the Indian context.

Objectives of Research

To fulfil the above aim, the present research is structured around the following specific objectives:

1. To test and analyses the performance of a downdraft gasifier-engine system under varying load and engine speed conditions.

2. To study and analyze the effect of feed material (biomass type, proximate and ultimate composition) on the performance of the gasifier-engine system.

3. To study and analyze the brake specific fuel consumption (BSFC) and brake specific energy consumption (BSEC) of the gasifier-engine system.

4. To study and analyze the brake thermal efficiency (BTE) of the gasifier-engine system under dual-fuel operation.

5. To study and analyze the extent of diesel conservation achievable through producer gas substitution, and to establish the maximum feasible diesel replacement ratio without significant performance degradation.

6. To explore the potential application of AI-based predictive modelling for forecasting gasifier-engine performance, as a direction for future extension of the present experimental work.

Scope of the Study

The scope of the present research, while not exhaustive, encompasses the following aspects:

- Evaluation of the potential of downdraft gasifier-engine setups for power generation and thermal application across a representative capacity range of 5 kW to 20 kW, suitable for deployment across Indian industrial and agricultural applications.
- Investigation of the gasifier's suitability for the disposal and productive utilization of agricultural waste materials, including rice husk, wheat straw, and similar residues.
- Assessment of the influence of feedstock proximate and ultimate composition on gasification and engine performance.
- Determination of the maximum diesel replacement achievable through producer gas substitution without significant sacrifice in engine performance.
- Study of exhaust gas emission characteristics under conditions of maximum producer gas utilization.
- Identification of opportunities for AI-based forecasting and optimization of gasifier-engine performance as a forward-looking research direction.

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XV, Issue VI, June 2026

Limitations of the Study

1. The continuous operation of the gasifier-engine setup across the 5 kW to 20 kW capacity range is contingent upon the regional availability of biomass feedstock of the required density and calorific value in adequate and sustained quantity.

2. Continuous fluctuation in fossil fuel prices may influence the comparative economic viability of diesel engine operations relative to gasifier-engine dual-fuel operation over the study period.

3. Maintaining consistency in biomass feedstock quality across different seasons presents a practical constraint that may affect the uniformity of experimental results.

4. The AI-based performance forecasting direction identified in this study is exploratory in scope and is proposed as a recommendation for future research rather than as a core experimental contribution of the present thesis.

CONCLUSION

Artificial intelligence and machine learning techniques emerge as promising tools for performance forecasting, process optimization, and intelligent control in renewable-energy systems. Recent work has applied machine learning directly to biomass and syngas prediction problems, reporting accuracies comparable to or better than conventional process-simulation approaches such as Aspen Plus. Random Forest and Artificial Neural Network models have been used to predict syngas composition and lower heating value with strong correlation across diverse feedstocks and reactor types, while more recent studies have examined CatBoost and Transformer-based deep-learning models for multi-objective optimization and pilot-scale syngas prediction.

This direction is also relevant to engine operation. Machine-learning-guided fuel-flow-rate selection has recently been applied to a biodiesel/producer-gas/hydrogen dual-fuel CI engine, with the optimized configuration achieving a maximum brake thermal efficiency of 22.18% alongside reduced hydrocarbon, carbon monoxide, and particulate emissions. Such developments indicate that AI-assisted predictive models can become a useful extension for future downdraft gasifier-engine investigations.

On this basis, the present work may be around the following research focus:

- Performance analysis of a downdraft gasifier-engine system under varying load and engine speed conditions.
- Effect of biomass feed characteristics on gasifier-engine performance.
- Analysis of brake specific fuel consumption, brake specific energy consumption, and brake thermal efficiency.
- Evaluation of diesel conservation is achievable through producer gas substitution.
- Identification of AI-based forecasting as an advanced extension of the experimental work.

REFERENCES

1. International Energy Agency (IEA), "Unlocking India's Bioenergy Potential," IEA Commentary, Paris, 2024/2025.

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XV, Issue VI, June 2026

2. Ministry of New and Renewable Energy (MNRE), Government of India, "Evaluation Study for the Assessment of Biomass Power and Bagasse Cogeneration Potential in India," Final Report, 2021; and associated GIS-based waste-to-energy potential mapping studies.
3. "Review of Biomass Gasification Technologies with a Particular Focus on a Downdraft Gasifier," Processes (MDPI), vol. 13, no. 9, p. 2717, Aug. 2025.
4. "Experimental investigation of a dual stage ignition biomass downdraft gasifier for deriving the engine quality gas," Ain Shams Engineering Journal (ScienceDirect), 2022.
5. "Comparative analysis of Gasifier-CI engine performance and emissions characteristics using diesel with producer gas derived from coal-briquette-coconut shell-mahua feedstock and its blends," Energy, vol. 293, 2024.
6. K. Sookramoon et al., "Experimental Evaluation of Diesel Engine Performance using Producer Gas and Conventional Fuel: A Comparative Study," Engineering, Technology & Applied Science Research, Oct. 2024.
7. H. Li, X. Liu, B. Cao, C. Liu, J. Yang, and W. Chen, "Optimization of downdraft gasifier in biomass-fueled power generation system: Experimental analysis and chemical kinetics modelling with tar cracking," Energy, vol. 313, part C, 2024.
8. A.Fazil, S. Kumar, and S. M. Mahajani, "Gasification and Co-gasification of paper-rich, high-ash refuse-derived fuel in downdraft gasifier," Energy, vol. 263, part A, 2023.
9. P. Suparmi, R. Nurhasanah, L. O. Nelwan, and M. Angueira, "Syngas for Internal Combustion Engines, Current State, and Future Prospects: A Systematic Review," International Journal of Automotive and Mechanical Engineering, Dec. 2024.
10. L. K. Prajapati and J. V. Tirkey, "Effect of Injection Pressure and Nozzle Strategy Optimization for the Performance Improvement on CI Engine Fuelled with Diesel and Co-Gasified Biomass Producer Gas: A Diesel-RK and RSM-Based Approach," Process Safety and Environmental Protection, Apr. 2025.
11. Sakheta, T. Raj, R. Nayak, I. O'Hara, and J. Ramirez, "Improved Prediction of Biomass Gasification Models through Machine Learning," Computers & Chemical Engineering, vol. 191, p. 108834, 2024.
12. M. Ajorloo, M. Ghodrat, J. Scott, and V. Strezov, "Predicting and optimizing syngas production from fluidized bed biomass gasifiers: A machine learning approach," Fuel, Feb. 2025.
13. "Biomass Gasification for Waste-to-Energy Conversion: Artificial Intelligence for Generalizable Modeling and Multi-Objective Optimization of Syngas Production," Resources (MDPI), vol. 14, no. 10, p. 157, Oct. 2025.
14. "Prediction of syngas composition in entrained flow gasification systems using a Transformer-based deep learning model," Fuel Processing Technology / ScienceDirect, Jun. 2025.
15. N. S. Pisal and C. M. Sedani, "The idea of crowdsourcing manufacturing and a proposed framework with a review of the literature to improve industries manufacturing aspects – A Review," International Journal of Innovative Research in Technology (IJIRT), vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 1885–1892, 2025.
16. "Experimental and machine learning-based optimization of dual-fuel engine performance using biodiesel and hydrogen-producer gas mixtures," Fuel International Journal of Hydrogen Energy (ScienceDirect), Jul. 2025.
17. "Combustion and performance of syngas dual fueling in a CI engine with blended biodiesel as pilot fuel," air-downdraft gasifier and dual-fuel CI engine study (GER 0.1–0.43, CR 16–18), 2024.
18. Sedani C.M., Lakhe R.R. (2011), “ISO 9000 and TQM impact on performance: Empirical findings of Pilot study”, Journal of Indian Management & Strategy, (ISSN 0973-9335) Oct-Dec issue, pp 55-61
19. Sedani C.M., Mahulkar Y.R. (2016), "Performance of heat pipes under different inclinations and working fluids," International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering, March 2016, Vol. 5, No. 1, pp. 5–18.
20. Sedani C.M., Mahulkar Y.R. (2016), "Study of Parameters Affecting the Thermal Performance of Heat Pipe," International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering, March 2016, Vol. 5, No. 1, pp. 9–17

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XV, Issue VI, June 2026

21. Sedani C.M., Mahulkar Y.R., Jadhav M.K. (2017), "Experimental investigations and analytical Study of the heat transfer limits of a double layered wrapped screen mesh heat pipe system," J P Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, February 2017, Vol. 14, Issue 1, pp. 47–68
22. Sedani C.M., Mahulkar Y.R. (2018), "Parametric analysis in development of miniature loop heat pipe using ANOVA on heat pipe," International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, May 2018, Vol. 118, No. 24, pp. 1–21
23. Y. Mahulkar and C. Sedani (2019), "Development of nickel bi-porous wicks for miniature loop heat pipe applications," World Journal of Engineering, Vol. 16, Issue 2, pp. 331–339
24. P. P. Wankhade, B. N. Jajoo and R. P. Wankhade, "Performance Evaluation of Parameters for Biomass Gasifier-Diesel Engine Setup Using Woody Biomass (Subabool)," 2009 Second International Conference on Emerging Trends in Engineering & Technology, Nagpur, India, 2009, pp. 25-29, doi: 10.1109/ICETET.2009.175.