

From Sustainability to Satisfaction: Investigating the Socio-Environmental Dynamics of Beach Tourism in South India

Keerthan, Shifali

Department of P G Studies in Commerce, Govinda Dasa College, Surathkal

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.51583/IJLTEMAS.2025.1408000097>

Abstract: Tourism along the coast can be a mixed bag. On the one hand, it creates jobs and brings money into the region. But at the same time, it often leads to damage, both to the environment and to the local way of life. In and around Mangalore, especially near beaches like Panambur, Tannirbhavi and Someshwara, the rise in visitors has changed things quite a bit. This study looked into how those changes are playing out for the people who live there. More specifically, it asked whether tourism that claims to be “sustainable” is actually improving life for locals. It also explored how involved the community really is and whether things like roads, facilities and investment make a difference. To find out, we collected responses from 200 people living close to those beach areas. They were asked about a few things, like whether they felt included in tourism decisions, what they thought about efforts to protect the environment, and how satisfied they were with local infrastructure. Based on what they said, a pattern started to emerge. People were generally more satisfied when tourism businesses made a real effort to be eco-friendly. This included managing waste properly, protecting marine life, using clean energy, and giving jobs to locals instead of bringing in outside workers. But there was something else too. The people who felt like they had a voice in how tourism was handled were noticeably happier. It might be because when people are part of the process, they feel respected and more connected to what’s happening around them. On the other hand, in areas where infrastructure was weak or where there was little investment, the benefits of sustainable practices didn’t feel as strong. The positive efforts were there, but they couldn’t fully take root without proper support systems in place. In the end, this points to something simple but important. If tourism is going to work over the long term in coastal places like Mangalore, it can’t just focus on being environmentally friendly. It has to genuinely involve the people who live there and make sure there is proper investment in infrastructure and development so that everyone benefits.

Keywords: Sustainable tourism, Community engagement, Beach tourism, Infrastructure, Investment, Mangalore, Community satisfaction.

I. Introduction

Tourism around coastal areas is a bit of a mixed story. Sure, it brings in money and helps create jobs, which is great. But people are also noticing that it can hurt the environment and chip away at the culture that makes a place unique. In Karnataka, the Mangalore coastline has become pretty popular, especially beaches like Panambur, Tannirbhavi, and Someshwara. Tourists, both local and international, keep coming in. And while that sounds like a win, not everyone is convinced it’s all positive. There have been concerns, and not just a few, about things like pollution, cultural changes that feel a little too forced, and the way profits from tourism don’t always end up helping the local folks equally.

Because tourism here is growing fast, people have started talking more seriously about doing it in a way that doesn’t ruin the place in the long run. That’s where sustainable tourism comes in. It’s the kind of travel that focuses not just on having fun, but on doing it responsibly by taking care of nature, supporting locals, and thinking about the future. From what I’ve seen in the research, when things like protecting marine life, keeping beaches clean, or using solar energy are actually followed, people living nearby feel better about the tourism happening around them. And even more so when they can see jobs being created or local services improving.

But let’s be honest, it’s not just about installing solar panels or putting up dustbins. The connection between sustainable practices and how people feel about tourism isn’t always direct. One thing that makes a big difference is whether people are actually asked what they think or involved in decisions. When locals are part of the conversation, they feel seen and respected. That can build trust and reduce pushback. At the same time, if the roads are bad, if basic facilities are missing, or if no one’s investing in the place, then even good sustainability plans can fall flat.

This whole study looks into exactly that. It tries to understand whether sustainable tourism is actually making a positive difference for the people living near the beaches in Mangalore. It also looks at whether including the community and improving infrastructure plays a role in how well all these efforts work.

II. Literature Review

Sustainable Tourism Practices: Sustainable tourism aims to maintain a balanced approach that considers the environment, local communities, and long-term economic success. Practices such as eco-friendly infrastructure, marine conservation, and waste reduction are associated with improved perceptions among local communities (Choi & Sirakaya, 2005). People living in tourist destinations are generally more supportive when they see practical benefits like job creation, better living conditions, and reduced environmental impact. On the other hand, rapid tourism expansion without proper environmental protections or fair sharing of profits often results in local discontent and pushback from the community.

Community Engagement: Local participation is a vital part of developing sustainable tourism. When people who live in a destination are given a voice in tourism planning, it helps build trust and fosters cooperation. Inclusive decision-making encourages greater transparency and makes residents feel more involved and respected. Multiple studies confirm that engaged communities report higher satisfaction levels and are more likely to support tourism initiatives (Nunkoo & Ramkissoon, 2011). Therefore, involving local stakeholders does not just support good governance, it also plays a major role in strengthening the positive effects of sustainable tourism on community well-being.

Role of Infrastructure: Tourism relies heavily on basic infrastructure such as transport systems, clean water, sanitation, and safe public spaces. These elements shape the overall experience for visitors and residents. Weak or poorly maintained infrastructure can diminish the perceived benefits of even the most well-intentioned sustainability efforts (Inskeep, 1991; Telfer & Sharpley, 2008). In many coastal areas, issues like frequent flooding, limited public services, or overcrowding can offset the benefits of green tourism practices. As a result, people may feel that tourism is more disruptive than helpful.

Impact of Investment: Adequate investment is a key factor in supporting and expanding sustainable tourism efforts. When both government and private sectors contribute regularly to tourism and environmental initiatives, destinations are better equipped to grow responsibly. Investments in education, entrepreneurship, and environmental protection create tangible community benefits. In contrast, low or poorly directed investment can stall progress and reduce support from the community, making it harder for sustainability goals to take hold.

Objectives of the Study

- ❖ To assess beach tourism's socio-economic and environmental impacts on the local community in Mangalore.
- ❖ To analysed the effectiveness of government policies and initiatives in fostering sustainable beach tourism in Mangalore.
- ❖ To evaluate the effectiveness and implementation of beach management practices in achieving sustainable tourism goals in Mangalore.

III. Research Methodology

Research Design: This study employed a quantitative, descriptive research design to assess the relationship between sustainable tourism practices and local community satisfaction in the Mangalore region. The design was selected to allow for structured data collection and statistical analysis of patterns and relationships among key variables including sustainability practices, community engagement, infrastructure, and investment.

Study Area: The geographical focus was on three prominent beach destinations in Dakshina Kannada: Panambur, Tannirbhavi, and Someshwara. These sites were selected due to their popularity among tourists and growing exposure to sustainability challenges.

Sampling Technique and Sample Size: A non-probability snowball sampling method was used to identify participants living in close proximity to the selected beaches. This approach facilitated access to community members who are directly affected by tourism-related activities. The final sample comprised 200 respondents, including local residents, small business owners, and community leaders.

Data Collection Instruments: Primary data were collected through a structured questionnaire, which included both closed-ended and Likert-scale questions. The questionnaire was designed to capture: Demographics (age, gender, occupation, etc.), Perceptions of sustainable tourism practices (e.g., waste management, marine conservation, renewable energy use), Degree of community engagement in tourism planning, Satisfaction with existing tourism infrastructure, Perceived level of investment (public/private) in tourism.

Secondary data were obtained from academic journals, government publications, and tourism policy reports to contextualize and support the primary findings.

Data Analysis Techniques: Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, and percentage analysis was used to analyse significance of relationships among variables.

Limitations

- ❖ The study was confined to a specific region (Mangalore), limiting generalizability to other coastal areas.
- ❖ Snowball sampling may introduce selection bias.
- ❖ Responses were self-reported, which may be affected by personal perceptions or social desirability bias.

IV. Major Findings of the Study

This research set out to understand how sustainable tourism affects the satisfaction of residents living near three key beaches in Mangalore - Panambur, Tannirbhavi, and Someshwara. A total of 200 people from these communities participated in the survey, offering personal insights on their experience with tourism and related developments.

A large portion of the respondents, about 83 percent, were familiar with sustainability efforts in their area. They specifically recognized practices like better waste management, efforts to protect marine ecosystems, and the use of clean energy sources. More than three-fourths of the participants felt these actions made a noticeable difference in both their environment and everyday life.

When it came to involvement in tourism-related decisions or events, around 72 percent reported feeling more positive about tourism when they were included. Their participation ranged from beach clean-up activities to eco-tourism events that involved the community directly. Many expressed that being part of the process helped build a stronger sense of trust and ownership, which in turn increased their overall satisfaction.

Despite this, infrastructure remained a major concern. Roughly 65 percent of the surveyed residents pointed out issues such as a lack of clean public toilets, poor systems for garbage disposal, weak transportation links, and limited safety features around the beaches. These gaps often diminished the benefits of sustainable tourism projects.

Investment levels also made a difference. Around 69 percent said they had a better impression of tourism when they noticed improvements funded by either the government or private groups. These included safety upgrades, better amenities, and local job creation. However, people living in areas with little to no investment were less confident that the tourism boom would be sustained. Even though tourism did bring some employment opportunities, only 42 percent of respondents felt they had received any direct or indirect financial gain. This uneven spread of benefits played a role in how strongly the community supported future tourism development.

V. Discussion

This research explored how sustainable tourism is affecting the everyday lives of people living near beaches in Mangalore, including Panambur, Tannirbhavi, and Someshwara. It became clear that while people are aware of and support eco-friendly practices, satisfaction depends on more than just those efforts. For instance, a large majority (83%) said they know about initiatives like waste control, renewable energy, and marine protection, and they viewed them as helpful to their quality of life. This reflects growing awareness among coastal communities and shows that people do notice when their environment is taken care of.

A key observation was that people felt better about tourism when they were part of the process. About 72% said their satisfaction increased when they were involved in small programs like beach clean-ups or eco-tourism projects. This suggests that real involvement, not just being informed, is what helps people feel connected and positive. Earlier studies have also pointed out that local voices must be heard if tourism is to work well in the long run.

However, the research also showed that good intentions don't always make up for weak infrastructure. Many respondents (65%) mentioned basic issues such as not enough toilets, poor drainage, and unsafe beach areas. These problems made it hard for them to fully appreciate the positive side of tourism. In other words, no matter how green or sustainable the programs are, if daily needs aren't met, frustration builds.

Another factor was money where it's coming from and how it's being used. Around 69% of participants said that they noticed improvements when governments or private groups invested in beach facilities, safety, or local jobs. On the flip side, when people felt investment was lacking or misdirected, they didn't believe the efforts would last. And while tourism is often seen as a job creator, only 42% felt they had benefited directly or indirectly. This highlights that not everyone is gaining equally, which could affect how willing they are to support future developments.

To sum up, sustainable tourism is welcomed but it's not enough by itself. For people to truly back it, they need to see real benefits, have a voice in shaping it, and feel that the system is fair. This means planners must think beyond green practices and focus on the day-to-day realities that shape how people experience tourism where they live.

VI. Conclusion

This study looked at how sustainable tourism affects how local communities feel about tourism in Mangalore's beach areas. It focused on whether community involvement plays a key role in shaping satisfaction and how things like infrastructure and investment make a difference. Overall, the findings suggest that eco-friendly tourism efforts do improve satisfaction but not in every case. The level of success depends a lot on how involved the community is and whether there are enough basic facilities in place.

Many people in the area seemed to know about and support green practices like recycling, marine protection, and renewable energy. But these efforts worked better when people had a say in how tourism was planned. Being involved gave them a sense of control and helped build trust. These are things that matter if tourism is going to last and truly help local people. At the same time, the research showed that when things like clean toilets, safety measures, or transport links were missing, it took away from the benefits. On the other hand, visible improvements brought about by public or private spending made people more hopeful and satisfied.

What this study really points out is that sustainability doesn't work well on its own. For coastal towns like Mangalore, the best results come from combining care for the environment with active community roles, strong infrastructure, and fair investment. When all of these work together, tourism can become something that helps both nature and the people who live there not just for today, but in the long run.

References

1. Andereck, K. L., & Nyaupane, G. P. (2011). Exploring the nature of tourism and quality of life perceptions among residents. *Journal of Travel Research*, 50(3), 248–260.
2. Blackstock, K. (2005). A critical look at community based tourism. *Community Development Journal*, 40 (1), 39–49.
3. Bramwell, B., & Lane, B. (2011). Critical research on the governance of tourism and sustainability. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 19(4–5), 411–421.
4. Choi, H. C., & Sirakaya, E. (2005). Measuring residents' attitude toward sustainable tourism: Development of sustainable tourism attitude scale. *Journal of Travel Research*, 43(4), 380–394.
5. Doxey, G. V. (1975). A causation theory of visitor-resident irritants: Methodology and research inferences. In *The Travel Research Association 6th Annual Conference Proceedings* (pp. 195–198).
6. Gössling, S., & Higham, J. (2021). The low-carbon imperative: Destination management under urgent climate change. *Journal of Travel Research*, 60(3), 525–537.
7. Inskeep, E. (1991). *Tourism planning: An integrated and sustainable development approach*. Van Nostrand Reinhold.
8. Joseph, P. D. (2017). Sustainable coastal tourism model. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Management*, 5(7), 6265–6273.
9. Nunkoo, R., & Ramkissoon, H. (2011). Residents' satisfaction with community attributes and support for tourism. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research*, 35(2), 171–190.
10. Pretty, J. (1995). Participatory learning for sustainable agriculture. *World Development*, 23(8), 1247–1263.
11. Rasoolimanesh, S. M., Ramakrishna, S., Hall, C. M., Esfandiar, K., & Seyfi, S. (2021). A systematic review of residents' attitudes and behavior research in sustainable tourism. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 29(1), 1–22.
12. Sharpley, R., & Telfer, D. J. (2015). *Tourism and development: Concepts and issues* (2nd ed.). Channel View Publications.
13. Telfer, D. J., & Sharpley, R. (2008). *Tourism and development in the developing world*. Routledge.
14. UNWTO. (2018). *Tourism and the Sustainable Development Goals – Journey to 2030*. World Tourism Organization.
15. <https://www.unwto.org/tourism-and-sdgs>
16. UNWTO. (2022). *Sustainable development of tourism*. World Tourism Organization. <https://www.unwto.org/sustainable-development>
17. Wondirad, A., Tolkach, D., & King, B. (2020). Stakeholder collaboration as a major factor for sustainable ecotourism development in developing countries. *Tourism Management*, 78, 104024.