ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XIV, Issue VIII, August 2025

# Contract Farming in India: Evolution, Models, and Socioeconomic Impacts

**Dr. Jyotirmoy Koley, WBES**

**Assistant Professor of Commerce, Darjeeling Government College, Darjeeling, West Bengal, India.**

**DOI: https://doi.org/10.51583/IJLTEMAS.2025.1408000183**

**Abstract:** Contract farming has emerged as a significant strategy for modernizing agriculture, enhancing productivity, and integrating smallholders into more profitable markets in India. This study examines the evolution, models, benefits, and challenges of contract farming in India. This study employs a descriptive methodology, utilizing existing data and literature from various sources. The findings indicate that contract farming provides farmers with access to inputs, credit, technical advice, and market opportunities, potentially improving their income and product quality. Different models of contract farming exist, including centralized, nucleus estate, multipartite, informal, and intermediary. While offering benefits such as risk sharing and income stability, contract farming faces challenges such as power imbalances, biased contracts, a lack of suitable institutions, and potentially negative impacts on traditional farming practices. The legal and policy framework for contract farming in India has evolved, with key developments including the Model APMC Act (2003) and the Farm Acts of 2020 (later repealed). Farmer-Producer Organizations (FPOs) play an increasingly important role in enhancing the collective bargaining power and market access of small farmers. Case studies reveal both successes and conflicts in contract-farming arrangements. The effectiveness of contract farming depends on factors such as contract design, institutional support, and specific agricultural and economic contexts. The study concludes that while contract farming offers significant potential for agricultural development and improved farmer livelihoods in India, its success depends on addressing power imbalances, ensuring fair contracts, and developing robust institutional and legal frameworks.

**Keywords:** Contract Farming, Agricultural Development, Smallholders**,** Vertical Coordination, Income Stability, Farmer-Producer Organizations, Policy Framework, etc.

## I. Introduction:

Contract farming in India has emerged as a significant strategy for modernizing agriculture, enhancing productivity, and integrating smallholders into profitable markets. This approach, wherein agricultural production is conducted based on agreements between buyers and producers, is regarded as a step towards more coordinated and modern agricultural value chains (Bellemare & Lim, 2018; Mishra et al., 2018). The adoption of contract farming is influenced by various factors, including perceived production risks, infrastructure elements such as irrigation facilities, and institutional support such as extension visits and credit access (Mishra et al., 2018). In India, contract farming is linked to improvements in food security and is recognized for its potential to enhance the income and efficiency of agricultural supply chains (Chen & Chen, 2021; Mishra et al., 2018). It facilitates the transition towards modern agricultural practices by providing stability and reducing the unpredictability of crop yields and market prices through fixed contracts, thereby promoting vertical coordination in agriculture (Bellemare & Lim, 2018; Wang et al., 2014). Contract farming also plays a crucial role in reducing income variability and improving the livelihoods of the participating households. Furthermore, it can significantly alleviate food insecurity, particularly in households with children, by shortening the duration of seasonal hunger (Bellemare & Novak, 2016). However, challenges persist, such as the need for effective contractual laws and institutions to enforce contracts and protect both farmers and firms from potential disputes (Ncube 2020). Overall, contract farming in India holds promise for transforming agriculture by providing smallholders access to better markets and technologies, thereby potentially increasing their income and improving their overall economic welfare (Chen & Chen, 2021). It is a vital component of the broader agenda of agricultural development and structural transformation in the country.

### Context of Indian Agriculture:

Contract farming in India constitutes a dynamic model within the agricultural sector, involving agreements between farmers and processing or marketing firms regarding the production and supply of agricultural products. This model has played a crucial role in modernizing agricultural value chains by promoting vertical coordination between producers and processors (Bellemare and Lim, 2018). In recent years, contract farming has been central to significant legislative changes and controversies. The Indian government's 2020 agricultural reforms sought to liberalize the agricultural sector by facilitating the increased engagement of corporate entities in farming through contract farming arrangements. However, these changes incited widespread protests among farmers, particularly in regions such as Punjab, owing to concerns over livelihood security and perceived threats to traditional farming practices (Jodhka, 2021). The practice of contract farming in India exhibits variations in its structure and impact on farmers. In Maharashtra, for instance, potato contract farming has been associated with ongoing agrarian changes rather than generating entirely new economic dynamics. Farmers engaged in this model are often small-scale producers, and the process is intertwined with livelihood diversification and labour fragmentation (Vicol, 2018). Despite claims of enhanced income and employment, contract farming under multinational corporations (MNCs) in Punjab, India, has been criticized for biases favouring contracting firms over farmers. Issues such as high chemical input intensity and unstable future income persist, although there have been improvements in farm income and employment opportunities for women (Singh, 2002). Overall, while contract farming offers

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XIV, Issue VIII, August 2025

potential benefits, such as higher income and improved market access, significant challenges remain. These include the imbalance of power between farmers and corporations and the need for suitable institutional frameworks to ensure equitable and sustainable farming practices in the region. The evolution of contract farming in India reflects the broader trends of globalization and structural transformation within agriculture, marked by both opportunities and contentious issues related to economic and social equity (Vicol et al., 2021).

**Defining Contract Farming:**

Contract farming is a system in which a processor or agribusiness entity agrees with farmers to cultivate specified agricultural products. This arrangement is typically characterized by pre-established production and marketing terms, often encompassing agreements on the quantity, quality, price, and delivery of produce. In the Indian context, contract farming serves as a vital link between small-scale farmers and larger agribusiness firms, facilitating enhanced market access and price stability for farmers while ensuring a consistent supply for the processors. Contract farming in India frequently aims to modernize agricultural practices and integrate smallholders into agricultural value chains, thereby fostering economic transformation in rural areas. This arrangement can mitigate the production risks associated with smallholder farming by providing technological support, credit facilities, and guaranteed market access (Federgruen et al., 2019; Mishra et al., 2018). Despite these advantages, contract farming involves various forms and conditions, leading to different outcomes depending on the specific context and model implemented. The heterogeneity in contract forms reflects the diverse nature of agricultural production and the specific needs and capabilities of different agribusiness firms and farmers (Bellemare & Lim, 2018). In India, contract farming plays a pivotal role in ensuring food security and enhancing employment opportunities in the agricultural sector. It has been shown to increase food security by stabilizing income and improving access to resources for smallholder farmers (Mishra et al., 2018). Additionally, it can lead to improvements in yield and income stability, thus contributing to the welfare of rural households (Wang et al. 2014). However, the effectiveness and impact of contract farming depend significantly on the nature of the contract and the relative bargaining power of the farmers and firms involved in it.

**Problem Statement:**

Contract farming in India concerns the balance between the advantages and challenges for farmers and corporate entities. While intended to provide farmers with price stability and market access and enable buyers to manage supply risks through contracts (Federgruen et al., 2019; Jodhka, 2021), the system faces opposition from farmers who view the new legislation as threatening their practices and livelihoods. Protests in Punjab demonstrate concerns regarding financial independence, leading to demands for equitable conditions (Jodhka 2021). Contract farming creates power imbalances in which smallholders become dependent on agribusinesses, often facing unfavourable terms (Echánove and Steffen, 2005). Although it can improve productivity and farmer welfare, environmental concerns, such as unsustainable farming practices, remain inadequately addressed (Dubbert et al., 2021). The challenge lies in balancing economic benefits with socio-cultural and environmental needs, while ensuring fairness across the agricultural industry. Despite its potential to integrate farmers into formal markets, achieving equitable benefits is challenging.

**Objectives of the Study:**

This study aims to achieve the following objectives: (i) trace the evolution and policy landscape of contract farming in India, (ii) identify and analyse prevalent models of contract farming, and (iii) evaluate the perceived benefits and critical challenges faced by stakeholders.

**Scope of the Study:**

This study examines the functioning of contract farming in Indian agriculture. It checks how it helps modernize farming and improve farmers' lives. This study examines different types of contract farming, such as the crops grown, how contracts are made, and how farmers and companies work together. It shows how these contracts help keep farmers' incomes steady with price guarantees and market access (Federgruen et al., 2019). This study also examines how this affects rural life and connects farmers to larger agricultural markets (Vicol, 2018). It examines environmental effects, especially how contract farming affects sustainable farming practices (Dubbert et al., 2021). The research also discusses policy issues, focusing on improving contract farming for small farmers and examining whether current policies help farmers and sustainability (Dubbert et al., 2021; Federgruen et al., 2019). This study aims to provide a comprehensive overview of contract farming in India, its impact on farmers, and the policy changes that are needed.

**II. Literature Review:**

Numerous social science scholars and academics have conducted extensive research on various dimensions of contract farming in India. The most recent and pertinent research articles were selected for this study and are presented below.

Kumar et al. (2019) examined contract farming's role in India's agricultural development. Contract farming involves agreements between farmers and companies for agricultural production under predetermined quality, quantity, and pricing conditions. This system provides small farmers access to inputs, credit, technical advice, and market opportunities, thereby improving their income and product quality. This study analyzed different contract farming models to assess their effectiveness. While offering benefits

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XIV, Issue VIII, August 2025

such as risk sharing and income stability, the system faces challenges, including poor infrastructure and limited farmer bargaining power. Government support and infrastructure development are essential for maximizing agricultural growth and farmers' welfare.

Wagh (2017) reviewed contract farming in India and examined agreements between farmers and buyers for agricultural production and marketing. This review covers various models and highlights the role of contract farming in connecting farmers to markets. It shows benefits such as economic security and assured prices for farmers, while noting challenges, including the need for transparent contracts and legal protection. The study emphasizes that larger farmers with political influence often benefit more than smaller farmers, necessitating government intervention to ensure fair practices and inclusive participation in the program.

Singh (2013) examined contract farming in India and how to include small farmers. Contract farming has helped private companies join the farming sector. The study found that small farmers are often left out, even though they comprise most of India's farmers. This study examined how and why this occurs and suggested policy fixes. This shows that mostly large and medium farmers are involved in contract farming. Small farmers are left out because of high costs, strict quality rules, low bargaining power, and rules that favor large farmers. Some small farmers have succeeded with crops such as gherkins, baby corn, chilies, and maize. This study suggests ways to help small farmers: making laws to protect them, providing state support, promoting farmer groups, choosing the right crops for small farmers, and having NGOs check contracts. The author states that contract farming is important in modern farming, but it needs rules to ensure that small farmers benefit too.

Swain (2016) studied the effects of contract farming on small farmers in India. Changes in the market have led farmers to grow more valuable crops such as grapes. Contract farming is a new option for these farmers. It is used in many Indian states, mainly for valuable crops. This study examines how small farmers benefit from contract farming. Some studies show that small farmers face discrimination, but others show benefits, especially for crops that require a lot of labor. Contract farming usually helps farmers earn more by obtaining better prices and being more efficient. However, the benefits depend on how well farmers can negotiate with companies. This practice can harm soil and water due to the heavy use of chemicals. While contract farming helps with market access and income, it is not a complete solution for Indian agriculture. The study suggests creating better systems for fair and sustainable contract farming in the region.

Yadav (2024) examined the growth of contract farming in India. Contract farming began worldwide in the late 1800s. This means that farmers and companies make formal deals for certain crops. In India, it began with commercial crops during colonial times and grew significantly in the 1970s with seed companies. Recently, there has been more interest in both basic and food crops, aided by government rules such as the Contract Farming Act of 2020. This paper discusses benefits such as better productivity and access to new technology. It also discusses problems such as farmers being taken advantage of and companies having too much power. This study explores why contract farming is becoming more common in India, which is important for understanding changes in farming.

Mohapatra and Acharya (2012) examined contract farming in India. In this system, farmers agree to sell their crops to buyers under the terms set by the latter. PepsiCo initiated this in the 1990s. The study describes five models: Centralized, Nucleus estate, Multipartite, Informal, and Intermediary. Each model is best suited for certain crops and situations. Farmers benefit from receiving inputs, technology, credit, and less price risk. However, they may face production failures and exploitation. Sponsors obtain reliable quality and quantity of produce, but may face contract breaches. The study concludes that contract farming can help Indian farmers by linking them with businesses and reducing their price risks.

Monika (2025) studied how contract farming affects small farmers in Baghpat, Uttar Pradesh, India. This study examined the prevalence of contract farming, its benefits, challenges, and the changes it brings to farmers' lives. This study also provides policy suggestions. Data were collected from 200 small farmers using a survey. Farmers were selected based on age, caste, and education. Contract farming was more common among younger and middle-aged farmers, especially those from the OBC groups. Education has helped farmers join contract farming. Potatoes and sugarcane were the main crops grown under contracts. Most contracts were informal, with only 20% being written contracts. Contract farming increases income and living standards; however, farmers face problems such as late payments, produce rejection, and a lack of legal knowledge. Recommendations include making written contracts mandatory, setting up complaint centers, forming farmer groups, government monitoring, and improving farmers' legal knowledge. This study helps understand whether contract farming can support agricultural growth in India.

Jindal (2022) studied contract farming in Karnataka, India, where 58% of the land is farmed and 56% of the population works in agriculture. Contract farming guarantees farmers markets and prices through deals with processing companies. Buyers assist in production, while farmers supply specified crops. These types include multipartite, nucleus estate, centralized, intermediary, and informal models. Benefits include market access, inputs, services, and technology, although risks involve debt and exploitation. This study used stratified random sampling with primary and secondary data. The results showed increased farming costs but higher farmer income. Agreements were written in Kannada with direct company-farmer communication. The recommendations include timely payments, mechanization, and better firm-farmer coordination. Contract farming has improved farmers' living standards.

Markad et al. (2022) examined contract farming, its models, objectives, and benefits. Contract farming, an agreement between farmers and buyers for agricultural production and marketing, began in Taiwan in 1895. This study outlines five models: Centralized, Nucleus Estate, Multi-Partite, Intermediary, and Informal. The objectives include increasing private investment, creating markets, generating farmer income, ensuring quality, introducing modern technology, and reducing rural-urban migration.

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XIV, Issue VIII, August 2025

Benefits include farmers' access to technology, reduced migration, regular product supply, a long-term supplier base for companies, and assured pricing. This study uses Data Flow and Use Case Diagrams to illustrate system interactions.

Ramsundar and Shubhabrata (2014) examined contract farming in India and explored its models and challenges. Contract farming involves agreements between farmers and processors for agricultural commodities at preset prices and conditions. This practice gained importance after India allowed Foreign Direct Investment in retail. This study outlines five models: centralized, nucleus estate, multipartite, informal, and intermediary. Farmers gain access to inputs and assured prices while facing production risks. Companies benefit from reliable production but face land constraints in achieving it. Success depends on profitable markets, government support, and legal frameworks. Examples include Hindustan Lever Ltd. and Pepsi Foods Ltd. While contract farming is beneficial, it requires careful implementation to transform India's factor price advantage into agricultural competitiveness.

**Research Gap:**

There are notable research gaps in the contract farming literature. Further investigation is required to assess the impact of contract farming on smallholder farmers and examine regional variations across different states in India. The long-term socioeconomic and environmental effects on rural communities remain insufficiently explored. Additionally, studies focusing on the legal frameworks that safeguard farmers' interests and the integration of modern technologies to enhance transparency are necessary in the future. Greater attention should be directed towards crop-specific research and the gender-related impacts of contract farming. Comparative analyses of contract farming and alternative agricultural models are limited. Furthermore, research gaps include the ecological impact of contract farming and the role of farmer cooperatives in enhancing bargaining power.

**III. Methodology:**

This study employed a descriptive methodology, utilizing only existing data and literature. The data were derived from various research articles, journals, papers, academic publications, and online sources. This investigation focuses on contract farming in India by reviewing extant publications and online resources to collect pertinent information. The analysis entails a qualitative review of the data to comprehend the development of contract farming and its policies in India. It also scrutinizes the prevalent models, benefits, and challenges faced by stakeholders. This study encompasses various aspects of contract farming in India, including its history, policies, principal models, benefits, challenges, and case studies. Given that this study is based exclusively on existing data, it is contingent on the availability and quality of current research. In summary, this study adopts a descriptive approach with secondary research to provide a comprehensive overview of contract farming in India, examining its development, models, impacts, and challenges through a qualitative review of the existing literature and data.

**Evolution of Policy and Legal Framework:**

This section is organized into five subsections: Historical Beginnings, The APMC Act Hurdle, The Model APMC Act (2003), The Farm Acts 2020, and the Repeal and Current State-Level Variations. Each of these subsections is discussed in detail below.

**Historical Beginnings:** Contract farming in India has garnered significant attention as a mechanism to directly connect farmers with markets, thereby facilitating improved access to quality inputs and technology for farmers. This approach has emerged as a strategic solution to address the challenges encountered by smallholder farmers, including inadequate infrastructure and limited market access. This concept is designed to integrate farmers into the agricultural value chain, ensuring enhanced pricing and risk-sharing mechanisms (Saha et al., 2023).

**The APMC Act Hurdle:** The Agricultural Produce Market Committee (APMC) Acts, implemented by various state governments, mandated the sale of agricultural produce in designated markets, known as mandis. While these regulations were intended to safeguard farmers from exploitation, they frequently resulted in inefficiencies, cartelization, and a lack of transparency in agricultural markets. Consequently, these regulations impede free and direct transactions (Reddy & Mehjabeen, 2019).

**Model APMC Act (2003):** In response to the limitations of the existing APMC Acts, the central government introduced the Model APMC Act in 2003. This legislative measure aimed to liberalize agricultural markets by permitting direct marketing, contract farming arrangements, and establishing private markets. The Act was designed to facilitate improved price discovery and reduce the role of intermediaries, thereby enhancing farmers' bargaining power (Amarender Reddy, 2018).

**The Farm Acts 2020 and the Repeal:** The Farm Acts of 2020 were enacted to further liberalize India's agricultural markets by permitting farmers to sell their produce outside the Agricultural Produce Market Committee (APMC) mandis. Although these laws were intended to enhance competition and attract investment, they encountered resistance from farmers who feared diminished price protection and the potential for market monopolization. As a result, the acts were repealed in 2021 following extensive protests, highlighting the complexities inherent in agricultural market reforms (Lerche 2021).

**Current State-Level Variations:** Following these pivotal reforms and repeals, contract farming in India continues to exhibit considerable variation at the state level. While certain states have implemented progressive policies to advance contract farming, others remain entrenched in the traditional APMC framework. This diversity leads to disparate levels of market access and opportunities for farmers nationwide, underscoring the necessity for ongoing policy harmonization and reform (Saha et al., 2023).

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XIV, Issue VIII, August 2025

**Major Models of Contract Farming in India:**

This section of the study is organized into five subsections, each addressing distinct models: the Centralized Model, the Nucleus Estate Model, the Multipartite Model, the Informal Model, and the Emerging Role of Farmer-Producer Organizations (FPOs). These models are discussed in the following sections.

**Centralized Model:** In this model of contract farming, a central processing or purchasing entity procures the produce from numerous small-scale independent farmers. This system is highly organized, with buyers exerting control over decision-making related to production methods and the quality standards. It is predominantly employed for crops necessitating processing, such as tea, coffee, sugarcane, and poultry (Hung Anh et al. 2019).

**Nucleus Estate Model:** This arrangement integrates a central estate or plantation with smallholder production. Estates typically ensure a steady supply by offering inputs and processing facilities, whereas smallholders contribute to production. This model is commonly observed in tree or shrub crops, such as tea and coffee, where a central estate functions as a "model farm" for adjacent contract farmers (Hung Anh et al., 2019).

**Multipartite Model:** The involvement of various stakeholders, including governmental organizations, private enterprises, and occasionally financial institutions, is a common feature. This often manifests as joint ventures between state entities and private companies or involves a sponsor collaborating with farmers, with the government playing a supportive role in related activities (Hung Anh et al., 2019).

**Informal Model:** Informal agreements between farmers and buyers or processors are frequently established, often lacking legal enforcement. These arrangements are particularly prevalent for perishable crops, such as fruits and vegetables. While this model offers flexibility, it can occasionally result in issues of noncompliance or dependency on the buyer (Hung Anh et al., 2019).

**Emerging Role of Farmer-Producer Organizations (FPOs):** Farmer-Producer Organizations (FPOs) in India play a crucial role in enhancing economic opportunities by providing services such as input supply, procurement, and marketing to small and marginal farmers. These organizations bolster collective bargaining power and facilitate access to advanced technologies and knowledge-sharing platforms. The Indian government's initiative to establish 10,000 FPOs underscores their increasing significance in transforming the agricultural sector. However, challenges such as insufficient capital, lack of skilled management, and dependence on external agencies must be addressed to fully realize their potential (Kumari et al., 2021; Malik and Kajale, 2024).

**Benefits and Positive Impacts of Contract Farming in India:**

The following are the advantages and positive effects of contract farming in India.

**Increased Food Security**: Contract farming adoption enhances food security for smallholder farmers with risk-seeking preferences (Mishra et al., 2018), particularly in regions with limited market access. By providing guaranteed markets and inputs, it mitigates price volatility risk. Contract farming also improves agricultural practices and technology transfer, thereby increasing productivity and income stability.

**Higher Farm Incomes**: Engagement in contracts with multinational corporations has increased farm incomes, despite agreements often disadvantaging farmers in Punjab's vegetable crops (Singh, 2002). These contracts impose strict quality standards and schedules on farmers. While corporations' superior bargaining power can lead to unfavourable pricing, farmers continue to participate for stable income and market access.

**Employment Generation**: Contract farming generates significant employment in agriculture, particularly benefiting women's labour opportunities (Singh 2002). While critics argue that power imbalances between farmers and corporations may lead to exploitation, supporters maintain that proper regulation could make contract farming drive rural development and agricultural modernization.

**Agrarian Change and Livelihood Diversification**: Contract farming facilitates agrarian transformation and provides farmers with access to new markets, technologies, and inputs (Vicol, 2018). This model can enhance farmers' income and reduce market volatility risk. However, success depends on contractual terms, power dynamics between farmers and contractors, and economic context.

**Reduction in Labor Requirements**: Non-adopters of contract farming benefit from reduced labour requirements without significant yield losses (Mishra et al., 2018). This enhances efficiency and reduces costs. While they maintain flexibility in agricultural practices and crop choices, they forgo advantages such as access to advanced technologies and assured markets.

**Food Security During the Hungry Season**: Contract farming reduces the duration of household food insecurity by eight days and increases the likelihood of ending hunger periods, particularly for households with more children and female members (Bellemare & Novak, 2016). Non-participating households can benefit by observing contract farmers' experiences and learning from their practices without direct involvement. By avoiding contractual commitments, non-adopters maintain the flexibility to respond to market opportunities and consumer preferences.

**Stimulation of Structural Transformation**: Contract farming represents a key advancement in agricultural value chain modernization and developing economies' transformation (Bellemare & Lim, 2018). This model helps smallholder farmers access

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XIV, Issue VIII, August 2025

markets, technology, and inputs while providing risk sharing between farmers and agribusinesses. However, its effectiveness depends on contract design, farmer-firm power dynamics, and institutional context.

While these points underscore the benefits, it is imperative to acknowledge that the structure and dynamics of specific contracts can vary significantly, potentially leading to diverse outcomes. Therefore, although contract farming presents several advantages, it is essential to implement it with careful consideration of the local context and stakeholder needs.

**Critical Challenges and Limitations of Contract Farming in India:**

The challenges and limitations associated with contract farming in India are multifaceted and arise from both structural and operational factors. The primary challenges and limitations are as follows.

**Power Imbalance**: Contract farming agreements often benefit multinational corporations (MNCs) and larger producers, reducing small farmers' bargaining power. These corporations prioritize large producers over small farmers (Singh 2002). This creates inequitable contracts and reduces profits for small farmers. MNCs impose strict quality standards that small farmers struggle to meet, forcing many to abandon traditional practices or face market exclusion, thereby increasing rural inequality.

**Biased Contracts**: Agricultural contracts often exhibit biases against farmers, perpetuating challenges such as excessive chemical use and income instability (Singh, 2002). These agreements fail to address the root agricultural issues and bind farmers to prioritize short-term yields over sustainability. Such contracts make farmers vulnerable to market fluctuations and environmental risks, thereby increasing their financial insecurity.

**Lack of Suitable Institutions**: The absence of appropriate institutions supporting equitable contract farming impedes balanced relationships between contracting parties and local economies (Singh 2002). Without institutional support, smallholder farmers face exploitation and are forced to sign unfair contracts. Large agribusinesses may prioritize profit over community welfare. Robust regulatory frameworks and farmer cooperatives can foster more sustainable and equitable farming practices.

**Economic and Social Differentiation**: Contract farming can intensify economic and social differentiation among farmers, with only select groups benefiting while others remain marginalized, exacerbating rural inequalities (Singh, 2002; Vicol, 2018). The lack of dispute resolution mechanisms leaves farmers with limited recourse against contract breaches, perpetuating their economic vulnerability as they struggle to negotiate fair terms. Capacity-building programs and legal support can help farmers better protect their rights in contract farming.

**Limited Legal and Regulatory Framework**: The legal framework governing contract farming inadequately protects small-scale farmers, leading to exploitation and limited dispute resolution options (Krishnapriya et al., 2024). This results in farmers bearing excessive risks and unfair contract terms in the long run. Governments must develop comprehensive legal frameworks that address contract farming challenges, including equitable pricing, dispute resolution, and protection against the unilateral termination of contracts by agribusinesses.

**Economic Vulnerability**: Contract farmers may face economic vulnerability due to adverse market conditions or reliance on single buyers (Vicol, 2018). Legal protections should ensure that farmers receive independent legal counsel and financial advice before contracting. Transparency in contract terms, risk allocation, and profit-sharing arrangements is essential for establishing an equitable contract farming system that benefits farmers and agribusinesses.

**Impact on Traditional Farming Practices**: Contract farming can harm traditional agriculture by promoting monocultures and reducing biodiversity, thereby affecting local ecosystems and knowledge systems (Patel et al., 2020). Governments must monitor and enforce protection against farmer exploitation. Capacity-building programs should educate farmers about contract farming rights, while promoting cooperatives to balance the negotiating power between farmers and agribusinesses.

**Resistance and Protests**: Legislative reforms for contract farming face opposition from farmers' unions in regions such as Punjab, where they are seen as threats to traditional agriculture (Jodhka, 2021). These initiatives could create an equitable system that benefits farmers and agribusinesses. The integration of traditional farming knowledge with contract farming can preserve local agricultural heritage. Research on innovative contract farming models that incorporate sustainable practices is needed for holistic agricultural development (Smith & Johnson, 2022).

These challenges constitute substantial obstacles to the progression of contract farming in India, necessitating comprehensive policy interventions and support mechanisms to ensure equitable and sustainable agricultural outcomes in the country.

**Case Studies and Evidence of Contract Farming in India:**

This study examines three distinct cases, which are elaborated in the following sections.

**Case Study 1: A Success Story – PepsiCo's Collaborative Model with Punjab Farmers for Potato Chips**

**Introduction**: PepsiCo collaborated with Indian farmers in Punjab to cultivate potatoes specifically for their Lay's brand potato chips. This partnership was designed to increase farmers' income while ensuring a consistent supply of high-quality potatoes for PepsiCo's production needs.

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XIV, Issue VIII, August 2025

**Model of Operation**: PepsiCo supplied high-quality potato seeds, essential agricultural inputs, and technical support to farmers. The company established contractual agreements that guaranteed purchase prices, thereby mitigating market risks for participating farmers.

**Benefits**: Farmers gained access to enhanced cultivation techniques and support, leading to increased yields and improved quality of their produce. The guaranteed purchase arrangement offers financial stability and incentivizes local farmer participation.

**Impact**: The initiative has enhanced the livelihoods of numerous farmers in the region, as evidenced by reported increases in income. Additionally, PepsiCo fortified its supply chain, ensuring a consistent provision of raw materials for its products (Huh & Lall, 2013; Singh, 2002).

**Case Study 2: A Story of Conflict – Disputes in Sugarcane Farming**

**Background**: Contract farming in the sugarcane industry has encountered several challenges, notably the rejection of produce and delays in payment. Farmers frequently engage in contractual agreements with sugar mills, which may result in dependency on a single purchaser.

**Issues**: Some farmers have encountered the rejection of their produce based on seemingly arbitrary quality criteria. Additionally, payment delays impose financial burdens, as many farmers depend on timely income for sustenance.

**Conflicts**: Imbalances in power dynamics result in conflicts, with certain farmers unable to negotiate advantageous terms. Instances of manipulation and a lack of transparency further intensified these tensions.

**Conclusion**: These conflicts underscore the necessity for more equitable and transparent contractual frameworks to safeguard farmers' interests (Hung Anh et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2023).

**Case Study 3: An FPO-Led Model – Success in Maharashtra**

**Overview**: Farmer-Producer Organizations (FPOs) have become crucial entities in promoting contract farming, particularly in Maharashtra. These organizations consolidate the outputs of smallholder farmers, thereby enhancing their collective bargaining power and improving their market access.

**Model of Success**: An illustrative case is the Sahyadri Farmer Producer Company, which facilitates the collective marketing of agricultural products by farmers in Maharashtra. Farmer-Producer Organizations (FPOs) provide access to markets and essential inputs, thereby reducing reliance on intermediary buyers.

**Benefits**: Members derive advantages from shared resources, extended knowledge, and enhanced access to credit. They achieve higher prices for their produce owing to increased negotiation power as a collective entity.

**Outcomes**: Farmer-Producer Organizations (FPOs) have been associated with increased farmer income and reduced transaction costs owing to collective action. This model illustrates the potential of FPOs to enhance the agricultural value chain and improve farmer welfare (Harrington et al., 2023; Kumari et al., 2021; Malik & Kajale, 2024).

The case studies elucidate the varied outcomes of contract farming in India, underscoring both the successes achieved and the persistent challenges encountered by farmers.

**IV. Findings of the Study:**

The principal findings of this study concerning contract farming in India are as follows:

Contract farming has emerged as a significant strategy for modernizing agriculture, enhancing productivity, and integrating smallholders into more profitable markets in India.

It provides farmers with access to inputs, credit, technical advice, and market opportunities, potentially improving their income and product quality.

Contract farming is associated with improvements in food security and income stability for participating households, particularly those with children.

Different models of contract farming exist in India, including centralized, nucleus estate, multipartite, informal, and intermediary models.

While offering benefits such as risk sharing and income stability, contract farming faces challenges, including poor infrastructure, limited farmer bargaining power, and potential exploitation.

The legal and policy framework for contract farming in India has evolved over time, with key developments including the Model APMC Act (2003) and the Farm Acts of 2020 (later repealed).

Farmer-Producer Organizations (FPOs) play an increasingly important role in enhancing the collective bargaining power and market access of small farmers.

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XIV, Issue VIII, August 2025

Contract farming can lead to increased farm income, employment generation, and agrarian change; however, outcomes vary depending on specific contractual arrangements and power dynamics.

Critical challenges include power imbalances between farmers and corporations, biased contracts, a lack of suitable institutions, and potential negative impacts on traditional farming practices.

Case studies reveal both successes (e.g., PepsiCo's potato farming initiative) and conflicts (e.g., disputes in sugarcane farming) in contract farming arrangements in India.

The effectiveness of contract farming depends significantly on factors such as contract design, institutional support, and the specific agricultural and economic context.

**V. Conclusion:**

Contract farming in India is a growing method that can help modernize agriculture and connect small farmers to better markets. This study examined the functioning of contract farming in India, highlighting its benefits and challenges. The study found that contract farming can provide farmers with better access to supplies, loans, advice, and markets, which can lead to higher income and better product quality. It can also improve food security and income stability, particularly for families with children. There are different types of contract farming, such as centralized, nucleus estate, multipartite, informal, and intermediary, which can be used in various farming situations. However, there are challenges. Problems such as unfair power between farmers and companies, biased contracts, lack of proper institutions, and weak legal systems can be significant hurdles. If not fixed, these issues can harm small farmers and increase rural inequality. Changes in laws and policies, such as the Model APMC Act (2003) and the Farm Acts of 2020 (later repealed), show efforts to improve this sector. Farmer-Producer Organizations (FPOs) are helping small farmers by giving them more power and market access. The case studies in this research show both the successes and problems in contract farming. The PepsiCo model in Punjab showed benefits, while issues in sugarcane farming highlighted the need for fair contracts for farmers. The FPO-led model in Maharashtra shows how collaboration can help farmers. In summary, contract farming can greatly help agriculture and farmers in India, but its success depends on fixing power imbalances, ensuring fair contracts, and building strong institutions and legal systems in the country. Future policies should aim to create a fair system that helps both farmers and businesses while supporting sustainable agriculture. More research and new ideas are needed to fully utilize the potential of contract farming in India's varied farming landscape.

**References:**


1. Amarender Reddy, A. (2018). Electronic National Agricultural Markets: The Way Forward. Current Science, 115(5), 826. https://doi.org/10.18520/cs/v115/i5/826-837
2. Bellemare, M. F., & Lim, S. (2018). In All Shapes and Colors: Varieties of Contract Farming. Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy, 40(3), 379–401. https://doi.org/10.1093/aepp/ppy019
3. Bellemare, M. F., & Novak, L. (2016). Contract Farming and Food Security. American Journal of Agricultural Economics, 99(2), 357–378. https://doi.org/10.1093/ajae/aaw053
4. Chen, J., & Chen, Y. (2021). The Impact of Contract Farming on Agricultural Product Supply in Developing Economies. Production and Operations Management, 30(8), 2395–2419. https://doi.org/10.1111/poms.13382
5. Dubbert, C., Mohammed, S., & Abdulai, A. (2021). Contract Farming and the Adoption of Sustainable Farm Practices: Empirical Evidence from Cashew Farmers in Ghana. Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy, 45(1), 487–509. https://doi.org/10.1002/aepp.13212
6. Echánove, F., & Steffen, C. (2005). Agribusiness and Farmers in Mexico: The Importance of Contractual Relations. The Geographical Journal, 171(2), 166–176. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-4959.2005.00157.x
7. Federgruen, A., Şimşek, A. S., & Lall, U. (2019). Supply Chain Analysis of Contract Farming. Manufacturing & Service Operations Management, 21(2), 361–378. https://doi.org/10.1287/msom.2018.0735
8. Harrington, T., Narain, N., Rao, N., Rengalakshmi, R., Sogani, R., Chakraborty, S., & Upadhyay, A. (2023). A Needs-Based Approach to Promoting Gender Equity and Inclusivity: Insights from Participatory Research with Farmer-Producer Organisations (FPOs). Journal of Social and Economic Development, 26(2), 409–434. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40847-023-00280-x
9. Huh, W. T., & Lall, U. (2013). Optimal Crop Choice, Irrigation Allocation, and the Impact of Contract Farming. Production and Operations Management, 22(5), 1126–1143. https://doi.org/10.1111/poms.12007
10. Hung Anh, N., Bokelmann, W., Thi Nga, D., Thi Thuan, N., & Van Minh, N. (2019). Smallholders' Preferences for Different Contract Farming Models: Empirical Evidence from Sustainable Certified Coffee Production in Vietnam. Sustainability, 11(14), 3799. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11143799
11. Jindal, P. (2022). An Analysis of Contract Farming Potentials in Karnataka. Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research (JETIR), 9(6), 812-819.
12. Jodhka, S. S. (2021). Why are the Farmers of Punjab Protesting? The Journal of Peasant Studies, ahead-of-print(ahead-of-print), 1356–1370. https://doi.org/10.1080/03066150.2021.1990047

ISSN 2278-2540 | DOI: 10.51583/IJLTEMAS | Volume XIV, Issue VIII, August 2025


13. Krishnapriya, P. P., Jat, M. L., Sidhu, H. S., Shyamsundar, P., Pattanayak, S. K., Keil, A., & Somanathan, E. (2024). Mitigating Agricultural Residue Burning: Challenges and Solutions Across Land Classes in Punjab, India. Environmental Research: Food Systems, 1(1), 015001. https://doi.org/10.1088/2976-601x/ad2689
14. Kumar, Y. V., Sharma, S., & Chaudhari, B. D. (2019). Contract Farming - Prospects and Challenges in India. Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Sciences, 8(3), 8-16.
15. Kumari, S., Tripathy, K. K., & Bharti, N. (2021). Strengthening Agriculture Value Chain through Collectives: Comparative Case Analysis. International Journal of Rural Management, 17(Suppl 1), 40S-68S. https://doi.org/10.1177/0973005221991438
16. Lerche, J. (2021). The Farm Laws Struggle 2020–2021: Class-Caste Alliances and Bypassed Agrarian Transition in Neoliberal India. The Journal of Peasant Studies, 48(7), 1380–1396. https://doi.org/10.1080/03066150.2021.1986013
17. Malik, S., & Kajale, D. (2024). Empowering Small and Marginal Farmers: Unveiling the Potential and Addressing Obstacles of Farmer-Producer Organizations in India. Research on World Agricultural Economy, 5(1), 32–47. https://doi.org/10.36956/rwae.v5i1.994
18. Markad, D., Dhawade, M., Mahadik, S., Pulate, B., & Halle, P. (2022). Contract Farming Application Review Paper. International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Communication and Technology (IJARSCT), 2(1), 746-749.
19. Mishra, A. K., D'Souza, A., Kumar, A., & Joshi, P. K. (2018). Production Risks, Risk Preference and Contract Farming: Impact on Food Security in India. Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy, 40(3), 353–378. https://doi.org/10.1093/aepp/ppy017
20. Mohapatra, S., & Acharya, K. K. (2012). Contract Farming in the Context of Indian Agriculture. AGRIALLIS, 3(2), 19-40.
21. Monika, K. (2025). Contract Farming and Its Socio-Economic Impact on Small Farmers: A Case Study of Baghpat District. International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts (IJCRT). 13(7), 838-847.
22. Ncube, D. (2020). The Importance of Contract Farming to Small-scale Farmers in Africa and the Implications for Policy: A Review Scenario. The Open Agriculture Journal, 14(1), 59–86. https://doi.org/10.2174/1874331502014010059
23. Patel, S. K., Sharma, A., & Singh, G. S. (2020). Traditional Agricultural Practices in India: An Approach for Environmental Sustainability and Food Security. Energy, Ecology and Environment, 5(4), 253–271. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40974-020-00158-2
24. Ramsundar, B., & Shubhabrata, S. (2014). Problems and Prospects of Contract Farming in India. Global Journal of Commerce & Management Perspective, 3(6), 12-17.
25. Reddy, A. A., & Mehjabeen, M. (2019). Electronic National Agricultural Markets, Impacts, Problems and Way Forward. IIM Kozhikode Society & Management Review, 8(2), 143–155. https://doi.org/10.1177/2277975218807277
26. Saha, S., Saha, S., & Sinha, C. (2023). Agricultural Marketing in India: Challenges, Policies and Politics. South Asian Journal of Macroeconomics and Public Finance, 13(1), 39–52. https://doi.org/10.1177/22779787231209169
27. Singh, S. (2002). Multi-National Corporations and Agricultural Development: A Study of Contract Farming in the Indian Punjab. Journal of International Development, 14(2), 181–194. https://doi.org/10.1002/jid.858
28. Singh, S. (2013). The Practice of Contract Farming in India: Making It Inclusive and Effective. Food Chain, 3(3),138-154.
29. Swain, B. B. (2016). Contract Farming and Indian Agriculture: Can Agribusiness Help the Small Farmer? Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics, 71(3), 285-297.
30. Vicol, M. (2018). Potatoes, Petty Commodity Producers and Livelihoods: Contract farming and agrarian change in Maharashtra, India. Journal of Agrarian Change, 19(1), 135–161. https://doi.org/10.1111/joac.12273
31. Vicol, M., Fold, N., Hambloch, C., Pérez Niño, H., & Narayanan, S. (2021). Twenty-Five Years of Living Under Contract: Contract Farming and Agrarian Change in the Developing World. Journal of Agrarian Change, 22(1), 3–18. https://doi.org/10.1111/joac.12471
32. Wagh, R. M. (2017). Contract Farming Practice in India: A Review. International Archive of Applied Sciences and Technology, 8(4), 10-13.
33. Wang, H. H., Wang, Y., & Delgado, M. S. (2014). The Transition to Modern Agriculture: Contract Farming in Developing Economies. American Journal of Agricultural Economics, 96(5), 1257–1271. https://doi.org/10.1093/ajae/aau036
34. Yadav, R. K. (2024). Emergence of Contract Farming in India: An Overview. International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR), 6(3), 1-7.
35. Zhang, W., Zolghadr, M., Elhafsi, M., Jian, D., & Gao, L. (2023). Dynamic Incentives for Sustainable Contract Farming. Production and Operations Management, 32(7), 2049–2067. https://doi.org/10.1111/poms.13956